

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae
publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо
списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or
institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or
licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

UNIFORM MATRIX SUMMABILITY OF FOURIER SERIES

RAJENDRA PRASAD, ASHOK SAXENA

In the present note we generalize the theorem of Prasad and Saxena [4].

Let the Fourier Series of 2π periodic and Lebesgue integrable over $(-\pi, \pi)$ function $f(x)$ be given by

$$(1) \quad f(x) \sim \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx).$$

We shall use the following notations: $\Phi(t) = \Phi(x, t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)$, $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\Phi(u)| du$, $\Delta \lambda_{mn} = \lambda_{mn} - \lambda_{m, n+1}$, $\tau = [1/t]$, where $[\lambda]$ denotes the integral part of λ .

Let $T = (C_{m,n})$ ($m=0, 1, 2, \dots; n=0, 1, 2, \dots$), in which $C_{m,n}$ is the element in the m -th row and n -th column, be a matrix of real or complex numbers. Let

$$(2) \quad t_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{m,n} S_n, \quad m=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

A series $\sum a_n$ with n -th partial sum S_n , is said to be summable (T) to sum S if $t_m \rightarrow S$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The matrix $T = (C_{m,n})$ is regular under the usual conditions [1, p. 43, Th. 2].

The object of this paper is to introduce the concept of uniform Toeplitz or (T) summability. Let

$$(3) \quad u_0(x) + u_1(x) + u_2(x) + \dots$$

be any infinite series and $U_n(x) = u_0(x) + u_1(x) + u_2(x) + \dots + u_n(x)$. Let $T = (C_{m,n})$ ($m=0, 1, 2, \dots; n=0, 1, 2, \dots$) be a matrix of real or complex numbers.

If there exists a function $U = U(x)$ such that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{m,n} \{U_n(x) - U(x)\} = o(1)$$

uniformly in a set E as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in which $U = U(x)$ is bounded then we shall say that the series (3) is summable by (T) means uniformly in E to the sum U .

The conditions for the regularity of the method of uniform (T) summability defined by (4) are the same as they are in the case of ordinary (T) summability because they are independent of x .

The particular cases of (4) are
 (i) uniform (A) summability [4], when

$$C_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{m,n} & \text{for } n \leq m, \\ 0 & \text{for } n > m; \end{cases}$$

(ii) uniform harmonic summability [6], when

$$C_{m,n} = \begin{cases} (m-n+1)^{-1}/\log(m+1) & \text{for } n \leq m, \\ 0 & \text{for } n > m; \end{cases}$$

(iii) uniform Nörlund summability [5], when

$$C_{m,n} = \begin{cases} P_{m-n}/P_m & n \leq m, \\ 0 & n > m. \end{cases}$$

In this paper we take $\{\lambda_{m,n}\}_{n=0}^m$ to be real non-negative non-decreasing sequence with respect to n .

Recently we [4] have established the following theorem.

Theorem A. *If $\Phi(t) = o(t/\log(1/t))$ uniformly in a set E as $t \rightarrow +0$ in which $f(x)$ is bounded then the series (1) is summable (A) uniformly in E to the sum $f(x)$.*

Now we generalize the above theorem in the following form.

Theorem. *If $\Phi(t) = o(t\lambda_{m,m-t})$, uniformly in a set E as $t \rightarrow +0$, in which $f(x)$ is bounded then the series (1) is summable (A) uniformly in E to the sum $f(x)$.*

The following lemma is necessary to prove our theorem.

Lemma 1 [3]. *If $\{\lambda_{m,n}\}_{n=0}^m$ is non-negative non-decreasing sequence with respect to n : then as $m \rightarrow \infty$ $\lambda_{m,n} = O(1/(m-n+1))$, uniformly for all $n \leq m$ so that $\lambda_{m,0} = O(1/m)$.*

Proof of the theorem. It is well known that $S_k(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \Phi(t) \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)} dt$. Proceeding as Saxena [5], we get

$$S_k(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\delta \Phi(t) \frac{\sin(k+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)} dt + o(1)$$

uniformly in E , and therefore

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=0}^m \lambda_{m,n} \{S_n(x) - f(x)\} = \left(\int_0^{1/m} + \int_{\alpha_m}^\delta \right) \Phi(t) \cdot J_m(t) dt + o(1)$$

uniformly in E , where $J_m(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^m \lambda_{m,n} \frac{\sin(n+1/2)t}{\sin(t/2)}$.

(i) Now uniformly in $0 < t \leq 1/m$, $J_m(t) = O(m)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. For the proof see [2].

(ii) Now for $1/m \leq t \leq \delta < \pi$, $J_m(t) = O(\lambda_{m,m-t}/t^2)$. For the proof see [2].

Therefore,

$$(6) \quad \int_0^{1/m} \Phi(t) J_m(t) dt = O\left(\int_0^{1/m} |\Phi(t)| \cdot |J_m(t)| dt \right)$$

$$= O(m \cdot o(m^{-1} \cdot \lambda_{m,0})) \text{ (uniformly in } E)$$

$$= o(1) \text{ (uniformly in } E)$$

(by lemma 1 and hypothesis of the theorem) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & \int_{1/m}^{\delta} \Phi(t) J_m(t) dt = O\left(\int_{1/m}^{\delta} |\Phi(t)| \cdot \frac{\lambda_{m,m-\tau}}{t^2} dt\right) \\
 & = O\left(\left[\Phi(t) \cdot \frac{\lambda_{m,m-\tau}}{t^2}\right]_{1/m}^{\delta} + 2 \cdot \int_{1/m}^{\delta} \Phi(t) \frac{\lambda_{m,m-\tau}}{t^3} dt - \int_{1/m}^{\delta} \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^2} \cdot d(\lambda_{m,m-\tau})\right) \\
 & = \{o(1) + o(1/m) + o\left(\int_{\delta^{-1}}^m (\lambda_{m,m-[x]})^2 dx\right) + o\left(\int_{\delta^{-1}}^m x \cdot \lambda_{m,m-[x]} d(\lambda_{m,m-[x]})\right)\} \\
 & \quad \text{(uniformly in } E) \\
 & = \{o(1) + o(1/m) + o\left(\int_{\delta^{-1}}^m \frac{1}{([x]+1)^2} dx\right) + o\left(\int_{\delta^{-1}}^m \frac{x}{[x]+1} d(\lambda_{m,m-[x]})\right)\} \\
 & \quad \text{(uniformly in } E \text{ by lemma 1)} \\
 & = \{o(1) + o(1/m) + o\left(\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{1}{k^2}\right) + o\left(\frac{m}{m+1}\right) [\lambda_{m,m-[x]}]_{\delta^{-1}}^m\} \text{ (uniformly in } E) \\
 & = \{o(1) + o(1/m) + o(m/(m+1)) \cdot O(1/m)\} \text{ (uniformly in } E \text{ by lemma 1)} \\
 & = o(1) \text{ uniformly in } E \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a = [\delta^{-1}] + 1$.

Combining (5), (6) and (7) we get the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy. Divergent series. Oxford, 1919.
2. H. H. Khan. On the degree of approximation to a function by triangular matrix of its Fourier series. *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **6**, 1975, 849—855.
3. K. Nand. On the absolute matrix summability of a Fourier series. *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **13**, 1971, 99—110.
4. R. Prasad, A. Saxena. On the summabilities of the derived series of the Fourier series (to appear).
5. A. Saxena. On the uniform Nörlund summability of Fourier series. *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India, Part A*, **32**, 1966, 502—508.
6. A. Saxena. On the uniform harmonic summability of Fourier series and its conjugate series. *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India*, **31**, 1965, 303—310.

*Applied Mathematics Section
Institute of Technology
Banaras Hindu University
Varanasi-221005, U. P., India*

Received 9. 8. 1979