Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

A CONDITION FOR L_p -INTEGRABILITY OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS OF EXPONENTIAL TYPE

TATIANA ARGIROVA

If f(z) is an entire function of exponential type sk, $0 < k < \pi$, $s \ge 1$, and if under some conditions on $\{\lambda_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ the series $\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f^{(v)}(\lambda_n)|^p$, p > 0, $v = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, s-1$, are convergent, then $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx$ is convergent too.

Plancherel and Polya [1] proved the following

Theorem 1. If f(z) is an entire function of exponential type $c, 0 < c < \pi$, and if the series $\Sigma |f(n)|^p$, p>0 is convergent, then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) |^{p} dx < K \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(n)|^{p},$$

where K depends only on p and c (not on f).

In [2] we generalized this theorem. Increasing the type of the function from c to sc, $(0 < c < \pi, s \ge 1)$ is an integer), we required convergence not only of $\Sigma |f(n)|^p$, but also of $\Sigma |f^{(v)}(n)|^p$, $v = 1, 2, \ldots, s - 1$. Under these conditions we established the convergence of $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx$. Now we extend the latter theorem, replacing the integers n by complex numbers λ_n .

Theorem 2. Let f(z) be an entire function of exponential type such that

$$|f(z)| \leq Ae^{sk|z|},$$

 $A = \text{const}, \ 0 < k < \pi, \ s \ge 1$ is an integer. Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence of real or complex numbers satisfying the conditions

(2)
$$\lambda_0 = 0, \quad \lambda_n - n | \langle L, \lambda_{n+m} - \lambda_n | \geq 2\delta > 0,$$

where L = const, $\delta = \text{const}$. (It is convenient for our considerations to suppose L > 1. Evidently, we may do this without any loss of generality.) Let p > 0 and the series

(3)
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda_n)|^p, \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f^{(v)}(\lambda_n)|^p, \quad v=1,2,\ldots,s-1,$$

be convergent. Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^{p} dx \leq K_{0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda_{n})|^{p} + K_{1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(\lambda_{n})|^{p} + \cdots + K_{s-1-\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f^{(s-1)}(\lambda_{n})|^{p}$$

The constants K_r , $r=0,1,\ldots,s-1$, depend on s, p, L and δ only. SERDICA Bulgaricae mathematicae publicationes. Vol. 7, 1981, p. 258—264.

The idea of this theorem aroused from a paper of Boas [3], where he considered the case s=1. In the proof of Theorem 2 we employ his method. For simplicity we shall treat in detail only the case s=2. Thus the conditions (1) and (3) take now the form

(1')
$$f(z) \leq Ae^{2k|z|}, \quad A = \text{const}, \quad 0 < k < \pi.$$

(3')
$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda_n)|^p < \infty, \qquad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(\lambda_n)|^p < \infty.$$

In what follows we need two lemmas. Lemma 1. Let

(4)
$$G(z) = z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - z/\lambda_n) (1 - z/\lambda_{-n}),$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is the sequence from Theorem 2. Then G(z) is an entire function of exponential type π and

(4.1)
$$|G(x+iy)| \le B(|x|+1)^{4L}, |y| \le 3L \quad (z=x+iy)$$

(4.2)
$$G'(\lambda_k) \ge C(1+|\lambda_k|)^{-4L-1}, \quad (k=\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots),$$

(4.3)
$$G''(\lambda_k) \leq D(1+3L+|\lambda_k|)^{4L}, \quad (k=\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots),$$

where the constants B, C and D depend only on L and δ . Lemma 2. For the points $z:|z-\lambda_n|>\delta/2$ and each $\sigma>0$

(4.4)
$$\exp \left\{ \pi r(|\sin \theta| - \sigma) \right\} / G(re^{i\theta}) = O(1).$$

The assertions of these lemmas with an exception of (4.3) are well-known (see for instance [3]). So we shall prove only (4.3). Since $|\lambda_n - n| < L$, by the Cauchy Integral Formula

$$G''(\lambda_n) = \frac{2!}{2\pi i} \int_{|z-n|=2I} \frac{G(z)}{(z-\lambda_n)^3} dz.$$

Estimating $G''(\lambda_n)$ we may apply (4,1), since for the points z: |z-n| = 2L we have $|\operatorname{Im} z| \le 2L$. For the same points $|z-\lambda_n| \ge L$ and $|\operatorname{Re} z| \le |\lambda_n| + 3L$. Hence

$$|G''(\lambda_n)| \le 4B(|\lambda_n| + 3L + 1)^{4L}/L^2 = D(|\lambda_n| + 3L + 1)^{4L},$$

where D depends only on L and δ .

Lemmas 1 and 2 enable us to find a proper interpolation formula for the function f(z), that plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 2.

Let q>0 be an integer. Consider

$$J_n = \int_{C_n} f(\zeta)(\zeta - z)G^2(\zeta)\zeta^q d\zeta, \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots)$$

along suitably chosen contours Cn. According to the Residue Theorem

(5)
$$J_n = 2\pi i \left(\operatorname{Res} z + \operatorname{Res} 0 + \sum_{k \neq 0} \operatorname{Res} \lambda_k \right).$$

It is easy to find the residues of the integrand at the points $\zeta = z$ and $\zeta = \lambda_k$, thus Res $z = f(z)/z^q G^2(z)$,

T. ARGIROVA 260

$$\operatorname{Res} \lambda_{k} = -\frac{f(\lambda_{k})}{(\lambda_{k} - z)^{2}G^{'2}(\lambda_{k})\lambda_{k}^{q}} - \frac{qf(\lambda_{k})}{(\lambda_{k} - z)G^{'2}(\lambda_{k})\lambda_{k}^{q+1}} - \frac{f(\lambda_{k})G''(\lambda_{k})}{(\lambda_{k} - z)G'^{3}(\lambda_{k})\lambda_{k}^{q}} - \frac{f'(\lambda_{k})}{(\lambda_{k} - z)G'^{2}(\lambda_{k})\lambda_{k}^{q}}$$

We shall evaluate here in detail the residue at the point $\zeta=0$, which is a pole of order q+2. Near $\zeta=0$ we have

$$\frac{f(\zeta)}{(\zeta - z)G^{2}(\zeta)\zeta^{q}} = -\frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{\zeta^{q+2}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{G^{2}(\zeta)|\xi^{2}} \frac{1}{1 - \zeta/z}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{z} \frac{1}{\zeta^{q+2}} \left(\varphi(0) + \varphi'(0)\zeta + \dots + \frac{\zeta^{(q+1)}(0)}{(q+1)!} \zeta^{q+1} + \dots \right)$$

$$\times \left(1 + \frac{\zeta}{z} + \frac{\zeta^{2}}{z^{2}} + \dots + \frac{\zeta^{q+1}}{z^{q+1}} + \dots \right),$$

where $\varphi(\zeta) = f(\zeta)\zeta^2/G^2(\zeta)$ is analytic at the point $\zeta = 0$. Thus

Res
$$0 = -\frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{\varphi(0)}{z^{q+1}} + \frac{\varphi'(1)}{z^q} + \dots + \frac{\varphi^{(q+1)}(0)}{(q+1)!} \right)$$

Now if we knew that $J_n \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we would have from (5)

(6)
$$f(z) = G^{2}(z)z^{q} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Res} \lambda_{k} + G^{2}(z)/z^{2}P_{q+1}(z),$$

which would give us an interpolation formula for the function f(z). (Here $P_{q+1}(z) = \varphi(0) + \varphi'(0)z + \cdots + \varphi^{q+1}(0)z^{q+1}/(q+1)! - i$. e. this is the sum of the first q+2 terms of the Maclaurin series of $\varphi(z)=z^2f(z)/G^2(z)$. But we avoid the necessity of proving that $J_n \to 0$ and establish (6) going another way.

Let $q \ge 16L + 5$. Put

(7)
$$H(z) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\lambda_n)G^2(z)z^q}{(\lambda_n - z)^2 G'^2(\lambda_n)(\lambda)_n^q} + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\lambda_n)G^2(z)qz^q}{(\lambda_n - z)G'^2(\lambda_n)\lambda_n^{q+1}} + \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\lambda_n)G''(\lambda_n)G^2(z)z^q}{(\lambda_n - z)G'^3(\lambda_n)\lambda_n^q} - \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f'(\lambda_n)G^2(z)z^q}{(\lambda_n - z)G'^2(\lambda_n)\lambda_n^q}$$
where the prime indicates the omission of the term with $k = 0$.

It follows from the convergence of the series $\Sigma |f(\lambda_n)|^p$ and $\Sigma |f'(\lambda_n)|^p$ that the sequences $\{f(\lambda_n)\}$ and $\{f'(\lambda_n)\}$ are bounded. Let $|f(\lambda_n)| < C_1$, $|f'(\lambda_n)| < C_2$, $(n=0,\pm 1,\pm 2,\ldots)$. Taking this into account, as well as the special choice of q, we find out that all the series in (7) are uniformly convergent in any bounded domain. Hence H(z) is an entire function. Moreover

(8)
$$H(\lambda_n) = f(\lambda_n); \quad H'(\lambda_n) = f'(\lambda_n).$$

The first equation is evident, since $G(z)/z-\lambda_n=G'(\lambda_n)$ for $z=\lambda_n$, and the second can be obtained by considering the Taylor series of $G(z)/z-\lambda_n$ about $z=\lambda_n$.

Now consider the function

(9)
$$\psi(z) = \frac{f(z) - H(z) - G^2(z)P_{q+1}(z)/z^2}{z^q + 2(z)}.$$

The equations (8) show, that the points λ_n are not singularities of $\psi(z)$. The point z=0 is a zero of order q+2 of the function H(z). Having in view what $P_{q+1}(z)$ is, we see, that z=0 is a zero of the same order of the function $(f(z)-G^2(z)P_{q+1})z^{-2}$. The point z=0 is also a q+2 — fold zero of the denominator of $\psi(z)$. Thus $\psi(z)$ has no singularities, so it is an entire function. Moreover it is of exponential type.

Further, to show that $\psi(z) \equiv 0$, we estimate $\psi(re^{i\theta})$ for large r and θ

near $\pm \pi/2$.

Denote by T_1 , T_2 , T_3 and T_4 the consequtive sums on the right-hand side of (7). Then

(10)
$$|\psi(z)| \leq |f(z)/z^q G^2(z)| + |T_1/z^q G^2(z)| + |T_2/z^q G^2(z)| + |T_3/z^q G^2(z)| + |T_4/z^q G^2(z$$

In the first place we notice that the inequality $|f(z)| \le Ae^{2k|z|}$, $(0 < k < \pi)$, ogether with the boundedness of the sequences $\{f(\lambda_n)\}$ and $\{f'(\lambda_n)\}$ implies (see [4]) $f(z) \leq Ae^{2k|y|}$. (y=Im z). By this inequality and (4.4) we get

$$|U_1| \leq \frac{M}{r^q} \exp\left\{-2r[(\pi-k)|\sin\theta| - \pi\sigma]\right\},$$

where M depends on f, θ and σ , while σ is arbitrarily small. Hence U_1 is bounded on the ray $\arg z = \theta$ for large r, if θ is so near to $\pm \pi/2$, that $(\pi - k) | \sin \theta - \pi \sigma > 0$. Moreover, $U_1 \rightarrow 0$, when $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider now U_2 . Since the sequence $\{f(\lambda_n)\}$ is bounded and $G'(\lambda_n)$ satis-

fies (4.2),

$$|U_2| \leq rac{\sum\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}}{|\lambda_n-z|^2 |G^{\prime 2}(\lambda_n)| |\lambda_n^q|} \leq R rac{\sum\limits_{-\infty}^{\infty}}{|\lambda_n-z|^2 |\lambda_n|^q},$$

(R=const). It follows from the condition $|\lambda_n - n| \le L$ that $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n| \le L$. But then for any z for which $|\operatorname{Im} z| \ge 2L$ we have $|z - \lambda_n| \ge |y| - |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_n| \ge y - L \ge L$. Hence, if $|\operatorname{Im} z| \geq 2L$,

$$U_{2} \leq \frac{R}{|y|-L} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1+|\lambda_{n}|^{8L+2})}{|\lambda_{n}|^{q}} \leq \frac{R}{L} \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(1+|\lambda_{n}|)^{8L+2}}{|\lambda_{n}|^{q}}$$

and this is bounded, since $q \ge 16L + 5$. Moreover, we see that $U_2 \rightarrow 0$, when $y \to \infty$.

Proceeding in the same way (using (4.3) when necessary), we find out that U_3 , U_4 and U_5 are also bounded for $|y| \ge 2L$ and tend to zero when $\nu \to \infty$. Finally,

$$|U_6| = |P_{q+1}(z)/z^{q+2}| \to 0,$$

because P_{q+1} is a polinomial of degree at most q+1.

Thus $\psi(z)$ is an entire function of exponential type, bounded on four rays (arg $z=\theta$, θ near $\pm \pi/2$), any two consequtive ones of which make an angle of less than π , and hence by a Phragmen-Lindelöf theorem $\psi(z)$ is bounded everywhere and so is a constant. This constant is zero, since as we noticed, all terms in (10) tend to zero for z=iy, $y\to\infty$. So $\psi(z)\equiv 0$. Once this is proved, we have from (9)

(11)
$$f(z) = H(z) + G^{2}(z)P_{q+1}(z)/z^{2},$$

which is desired interpolation formula for f(z).

T. ARGIROVA 262

Now we have everything we need to prove Theorem 2. We have to verify that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx < \infty$.

Let m be an integer. Obviously

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \leq \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-L}^{L} |f(x+m)|^p dx = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-L}^{L} |f(x+m-\lambda_m+\lambda_m)|^p dx.$$

In view of the inequality $|m-\lambda_m| \le L$, for each x belonging to the interval $-L \le x \le L$ we have $|z| = |x+m-\lambda_m| \le 2L$, i. e. z is a point from the square $-2L \le x \le 2L$, $-2L \le y \le 2L$. Therefore, if Γ is the boundary of the square,

$$\max_{-L \le x \le L} f(x+m) | \le \max_{T} |f(z+\lambda_m)| = \mu_m.$$
 From here, on the base of the above inequalities, we get

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \leq 2L \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_m^p.$$

It is clear now that our task reduces to estimating μ_m . Put $\lambda_n^m = \lambda_{n+m} - \lambda_m$. Clearly $\lambda_0^m = 0$; $|\lambda_n^m - n| \le 2L$; $|\lambda_n^m - \lambda_k^m| \ge 2\delta$. The sequence $\{\lambda_n^m\}$ possesses the properties (2) of the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ with constants 2L and 2δ .

Let $G_m(z)$ denote the function (4) formed with λ_n^m instead of λ_n . Then $G_m(z)$ satisfies (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) with L replaced by 2L and C and D being independent on m. Let $f_m(z) = f(z + \lambda_m) \sin^{q+2}(\eta z)$, where $q \ge 32L + 5$ and $\eta > 0$ is such that $(q+2)\eta + 2k < 2\pi$ and $\eta < 1/L$. Then the type of $f_m(z)$ is less than 2π . Besides, since $\sin \eta z$ and $\cos \eta z$ are bounded in the strip $|y| \le 2L$ containing all the points λ_n^m , we have

$$|f_m(\lambda_n^m)| \le K_1 |f(\lambda_{n+m})| = O(1), \quad f_m'(\lambda_n^m)| \le K_1 |f'(\lambda_{n+m})| + K_2 |f(\lambda_{n+m})| = O(1).$$

It is seen now, that $f_m(z)$ satisfies all the conditions insuring the validity of the interpolation formula (11). In this case $P_{q+1}(z) \equiv 0$, since $f_m(z)$ has a zero of order q+2 at the point z=0. Thus, applying (11) we obtain

(13)
$$f_{m}(z) = f(z + \lambda_{m}) \sin^{q+2}(\eta z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\lambda_{n+m}) G_{m}^{2}(z) \sin^{q+2}(\eta \lambda_{n}^{m}) z^{q}}{(\lambda_{n}^{m} - z)^{2} G_{m}^{\prime 2}(\lambda_{n}^{m}) (\lambda_{n}^{m})^{p}} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\lambda_{n+m}) G_{m}^{2}(z) \sin^{q+2}(\eta \lambda_{n}^{m}) q z^{q}}{(\lambda_{n}^{m} - z) G^{\prime 2}(\lambda_{n}^{m}) (\lambda_{n}^{m})^{q+1}} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(\lambda_{n+m}) G_{m}^{2}(z) \sin^{q+2}(\eta \lambda_{n}^{m}) G_{m}^{\prime \prime}(\lambda_{n}^{m}) z^{q}}{(\lambda_{n}^{m} - z) G_{m}^{\prime 3}(\lambda_{n}^{m}) (\lambda_{n}^{m})^{q}} - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f^{\prime}(\lambda_{n+m}) G_{m}^{2}(z) (q+2) \eta \sin^{q+1}(\eta \lambda_{n}^{m}) \cos(\eta \lambda_{n}^{m}) z^{q}}{(\lambda_{n}^{m} - z) G_{m}^{\prime 2}(\lambda_{n}^{m}) (\lambda_{n}^{m})^{q}}$$

In order to estimate μ_m we make use of (13). Beforehand we consider the function $G_m(z)/z - \lambda_n^m$ for $z \in \Gamma$.

Suppose λ_n^m fixed. Let first $z \in \Gamma$, $|z-\lambda_n^m| \ge 1/2$. Since for any $z \in \Gamma$, $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 2L$, $G_m(z)$ satisfies (4.1) and we have

$$|G_m(z)/z-\lambda_n^m| \leq 2A(|x|-1)^{8L} \leq 2A(2L+1)^{8L}$$

Let now $z \in \Gamma$, but $|z-\lambda_n^m| < 1/2$. Applying the Maximum principle for the function $G_m(z)/z - \lambda_n^m$, which is analytic in the whole plane, we get

$$G_m(z)|z-\lambda_n^m| \leq \max_{|z-\lambda_n^m|=1/2} |\cap_m(z)|z-\lambda_n^m| \leq 2A(|x|+1)^{8L} \leq 2A(2L+3)^{8L},$$

since taking in view that the distance between λ_n^m and Γ is less than 1/2, we may conclude, that for any $z:|z-\lambda_n^m|=1/2$ we have $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 2L+1$ and so (4.1) is valid again. Thus in both cases treated above

(14)
$$|G_m(z)| z - \lambda_n^m | \leq N, \quad z \in \Gamma,$$

where N depends neither on n nor on m (only on L and δ).

Estimating the terms on the right-hand side of (13), we apply (14), as well as (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3). Besides we have in view the boundedness of z^q , $\sin \eta z$ and $\cos \eta z$ along the contour Γ . Let us notice in addition, that min $\sin^{-q+2}\eta z$, $=1/\gamma>0$, $(\eta<1/L)$, and $\lambda_n^m\geq\delta$, $n\neq0$. Thus grouping in (13) the four sums which contain $f(\lambda_{n+m})$, we obtain

$$\mu_{m} \leq \gamma M \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f(\lambda_{n+m})| (1+|\lambda_{n}^{m}|)^{24L+3} (1+|\lambda_{n}^{m}|+6L)^{8L}}{|\lambda_{n}^{m}|^{q}} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|f'(\lambda_{n+m})| (1+|\lambda_{n}^{m}|)^{16L+2}}{|\lambda_{n}^{m}|^{q}} \right\}$$

 $(M = \text{const depends only on } L \text{ and } \delta)$. Next we have

(15)
$$\mu_{m} \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda_{n+m})| a_{n}^{m} + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(\lambda_{n+m})| b_{n}^{m},$$

where

 $a_n^m = M_1(|\lambda_n^m| + 7L)^{32L+3}/|\lambda_n^m|^q; \quad b_n^m = M_2(1 + |\lambda_n^m|)^{16L+2}/|\lambda_n^m|^q; \quad (M_1, M_2 = \text{const}).$ We know that $|\lambda_n^m| \ge \delta$ when $n \ne 0$ and $|n| - 2L \le |\lambda_n^m| \le |n| + 2L$, hence, if n > 2L

$$a_n^m \le M_1(|n+9L)^{32L+3}/(|n-2L)^q; \quad b_n^m \le M_2(|n+3L)^{16L+2}/(|n|-2L)^q,$$

and, in the case when $|n| \le 2L$

$$a_n^m \leq M_1(11L)^{32L+3}/\delta^q$$
; $b_n^m \leq M_2(5L)^{16L+2}/\delta^q$.

Thus we get $a_n^m \le a_n$; $b_n^m \le b_n$, $(a_0 = 0, b_0 = 0)$, where a_n and b_n do not depend on m and by our choice of q ($q \ge 32L + 5$), the series Σa_n and Σb_n are convergent. It follows now (15)

$$\mu_m \leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda_{n+m})| a_n + \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(\lambda_{n+m})| b_n,$$

or, which is the same

(16)
$$\mu_{m} \leq \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda_{v})| a_{v-m} + \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(\lambda_{v})| b_{v-m}.$$

We shall treat separately the cases p>1 and $p\leq 1$.

Let first p>1. In order to complete the proof of Theorem 2 we need the

Lemma 3. (See [1]). Let p>1 and let the series $\sum |x_n|^p$ and $\sum b_n=B$, $b_n > 0$, be convergent. If $V_m \leq \sum b_{v-m} |x_v|$, then $\sum V_m |p| \leq B^p \sum |x_v|^p$.

The inequality (16) implies

$$\mu_{m}^{p} \leq 2^{p} [(\sum_{v} a_{v-m} |f(\lambda_{v})|)^{p} + (\sum_{v} b_{v-m} |f'(\lambda_{v})|)^{p}].$$

Now, summing up along m and applying Lemma 3 we get

$$\sum_{m} u_{m}^{p} \equiv 2^{p} \left[\left(\sum_{v} a_{v} \right)^{p} \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f(\lambda_{v})^{-p} + \left(\sum_{v} b_{v} \right)^{p} \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} \left| f'(\lambda_{v})^{-p} \right| \right]$$

or

(17)
$$\sum_{m} \omega_{m}^{p} \leq 2^{p} (A^{p} \sum_{v} |f(\lambda_{v})|^{p} + B^{p} \sum_{v} |f'(\lambda_{v})|^{p}].$$

Let now $p \le 1$. In this case we chose q in such a way, that $pq \ge 32pL + 3p + 2$ (then $q \ge 32L + 5$ too). By Jensen's inequality we get from (16)

$$|\mu_m^p \leq \sum_{v=m} |f(\lambda_v)|^p + \sum_{v=m} |f'(\lambda_v)|^p$$

By the special choice of q the series $\sum a_n^p = A_1$ and $\sum b_n^p = B_1$ are convergent thus, summing up along m we obtain

(18)
$$\sum_{m} \mu_{m}^{p} \leq A_{1} \sum_{v} |(f\lambda_{v})|^{p} + B_{1} \sum_{v} |f'(\lambda_{v})|^{p}.$$

The inequalities (12), (17) and (18) show that Theorem 2 is true, i. e.

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^p dx \leq K_1 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\lambda_n)|^p + K_2 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f'(\lambda_n)|^p,$$

where the constants K_1 and K_2 depend only on L and δ . The case s>2 of Theorem 2 may be handled in the same way on the base of a respective interpolation formula, which could be found out by means of the integral

$$J_n = \int_{C_n} \frac{f(\zeta)d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)G^s(\zeta)\zeta^q} \cdot$$

REFERENCES

- 1. M. Plancherel, G. Polya. Function entieres et integrales de Fourier multiplies. Comment. Math. Helv., 10, 1938, 110-163.
- T. Argirova. On the integrability of entire functions on a line. Serdica, 2, 1976, 236—240.
- 3. R. Ph. Boas, Jr. Integrability along a line for a class of entire functions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 73, 1952, 191-197.
- 4. Т. Аргирова. Цели функции от експоненциален тип, ограничени върху реалната ос. Годишник Соф. унив., фак. мат. мех., 68, 1977, 399-407.

Centre for Mathematics and Mechanics P. O. Box 373 1090 Sofia

Received 28, 1, 1980