

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicaciones

Сердика

Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.

Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgariacae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

AN EXTREMAL PROBLEM IN THE SET OF BLASHKE PRODUCTS WITH FIXED MULTIPLICITIES OF THE ZEROS

RUMEN ULUCHEV

We study the problem of existence of Blashke product of minimal L_p -norm with fixed multiplicities of its zeros. This problem may be formulated in the terms of the theory of optimal recovery in the following way: recovering functions from the Hardy space H^∞ on the basis of N pieces Hermitian type of information to find the optimal information operator. A comparison theorem is proved.

1. Introduction. This note is concerned with the problem of optimal recovery of functions from the Hardy space H^∞ on the basis of N pieces Hermitian type of information

$$T_N(f) := \{f_{(x_i)}^{(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda = 0, \dots, v_i - 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\{v_i\}_1^n$ are positive integers,

$$\bar{v} := (v_1, \dots, v_n), \quad |\bar{v}| := v_1 + \dots + v_n = N,$$

and $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega_n$, $\Omega_n := \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) : -1 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < 1\}$.

It is well known (see [3]) that the error $R_N(\bar{v}, \bar{x}; t)$ of the recovery in fixed point $t \in [-1, 1]$ is given by the Blashke product

$$B(\bar{x}; t) := \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{t - x_i}{1 - tx_i} \right)^{v_i}.$$

More precisely, $R_N(\bar{v}, \bar{x}; t) = |B(\bar{x}; t)|$, $t \in [-1, 1]$.

We show first the existence of extremal nodes $\bar{x}^* \in \Omega_n$ for which the infimum

$$(1.1) \quad R_N(\bar{v}) := \inf_{\bar{x} \in \Omega_n} \|R_N(\bar{v}, \bar{x}; \cdot)\|_{L_p[-1,1]} = \inf_{\bar{x} \in \Omega_n} \|B(\bar{x}; \cdot)\|_{L_p[-1,1]}$$

is attained and next, that

$$(1.2) \quad \min \{R_N(\bar{v}) : |\bar{v}| = N, \quad 1 \leq n \leq N\}$$

is attained for $n = N$, $v_1 = \dots = v_n = 1$. The number p is fixed, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

In other words, we seek Blashke product with minimal $L_p[-1, 1]$ -norm varying the zeros and the multiplicities. The problem of uniqueness of the extremal nodes \bar{x}^* in (1.1) remains open.

Analogous problems for polynomials with fixed multiplicities of zeros have been considered by L. Chakalov [5], T. Popoviciu [4], A. Ghizzetti and A. Ossicini [2], ($p = 2$ only). B. Boyanov [1] extend the mentioned results for the case $1 \leq p < \infty$.

To show the existence of \bar{x}^* in (1.1) we shall follow an idea of L. Chakalov.

2. Main results. The next lemma is the central moment in our study.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\{v_i\}_1^n$ be given positive integers, $v_k \geq 2$ for some k , $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $\bar{x} \in \Omega_n$. Let

$$B(\bar{x}; t) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{t-x_i}{1-tx_i} \right)^{v_i},$$

$$B(\bar{x}(\varepsilon); t) = \left[\frac{t-x_k+c_1\varepsilon}{1-t(x_k-c_1\varepsilon)} \right]^{a_0} \cdot \left[\frac{t-x_k-c_2\varepsilon}{1-t(x_k+c_2\varepsilon)} \right]^{\beta_0} \cdot \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n \left(\frac{t-x_i}{1-tx_i} \right)^{v_i},$$

where the integers a_0 and β_0 are such that

$$0 < a_0 < v_k, \quad 0 < \beta_0 < v_k, \quad a_0 + \beta_0 = v_k,$$

and c_1, c_2 are real numbers.

Then for some special choice of the parameters c_1 and c_2 , and sufficiently small positive ε

$$(2.1) \quad \|B(\bar{x}(\varepsilon); \cdot)\|_p < \|B(\bar{x}; \cdot)\|_p.$$

Proof. Set $a = pa_0$, $\beta = p\beta_0$.

$$(2.2) \quad c_1 = 1/a, \quad c_2 = 1/\beta$$

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \min \{ |1+x_1|, |1-x_n|, |x_2-x_1|, \dots, |x_n-x_{n-1}| \},$$

$$g(t) = \left| \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n \left(\frac{t-x_i}{1-tx_i} \right)^{v_i} \right|^p, \quad \varphi_1(t; \varepsilon) = \frac{|t-x_k+c_1\varepsilon|}{1-t(x_k-c_1\varepsilon)}, \quad \varphi_2(t; \varepsilon) = \frac{|t-x_k-c_2\varepsilon|}{1-t(x_k+c_2\varepsilon)}$$

and for $0 \leq \varepsilon < E$

$$f(\varepsilon) = [\|B(\bar{x}(\varepsilon); \cdot)\|_p]^p = \int_{-1}^1 g(t) \varphi_1^a(t; \varepsilon) \varphi_2^\beta(t; \varepsilon) dt.$$

It is clear that $a \geq 1$, $\beta \geq 1$, $g(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in [-1, 1]$ and $g(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = x_i$, $i = 1, \dots, x-1, k+1, \dots, n$.

Our task is to show that $f'(0) = 0$ and $f''(0) < 0$. Then (2.1) would follow immediately. We have

$$f'(\varepsilon) = \int_{-1}^1 g(t) \varphi_1^{a-1}(t; \varepsilon) \varphi_2^{\beta-1}(t; \varepsilon) \varphi(t; \varepsilon) dt,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(t; \varepsilon) &= a \varphi_2(t; \varepsilon) \frac{d\varphi_1(t; \varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} + \beta \varphi_1(t; \varepsilon) \frac{d\varphi_2(t; \varepsilon)}{d\varepsilon} \\ &= a \frac{|t-x_k-c_2\varepsilon|}{1-t(x_k+c_2\varepsilon)} \cdot \frac{[1-t(x_k-c_1\varepsilon)]c_1 \operatorname{sign}(t-x_k+c_1\varepsilon) - tc_1 |t-x_k+c_1\varepsilon|}{[1-t(x_k-c_1\varepsilon)]^2} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{|t-x_k+c_1\varepsilon|}{1-t(x_k-c_1\varepsilon)} \cdot \frac{[1-t(x_k+c_2\varepsilon)](-c_2) \operatorname{sign}(t-x_k-c_2\varepsilon) + tc_2 |t-x_k-c_2\varepsilon|}{[1-t(x_k+c_2\varepsilon)]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\varphi(t; 0) = (ac_1 - \beta c_2)(t - x_k)(1 - t^2)/(1 - tx_k)^3 = 0$ because of (2.2) and consequently $f'(0) = 0$.

Denote by φ_0 the function $\varphi_0(t; \varepsilon) = \varphi_1^{a-1}(t; \varepsilon) \cdot \varphi_2^{\beta-1}(t; \varepsilon)$. The careful calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\varepsilon) &= \int_{-1}^{x_k - c_1 \varepsilon} (1-t^2) g(t) \frac{\varepsilon [p(t) + \varepsilon q(t)] \varphi_0(t; \varepsilon)}{[1-t(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon)]^2 [1-t(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon)]^2} dt \\ &\quad - \int_{x_k - c_1 \varepsilon}^{x_k + c_2 \varepsilon} (1-t^2) g(t) \frac{\varepsilon [p(t) + \varepsilon q(t)] \varphi_0(t; \varepsilon)}{[1-t(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon)]^2 [1-t(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon)]^2} dt \\ &\quad + \int_{x_k + c_2 \varepsilon}^1 (1-t^2) g(t) \frac{\varepsilon [p(t) + \varepsilon q(t)] \varphi_0(t; \varepsilon)}{[1-t(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon)]^2 [1-t(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon)]^2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad p(t) = -(c_1 + c_2)(t^2 - 2tx_k + 1) < 0 \text{ for } t \in [-1, 1], \quad x_k \in (-1, 1), \quad q(t) = (c_2^2 - c_1^2)t.$$

Denote

$$\psi(t; \varepsilon) = \frac{g(t)(1-t^2)\varphi_0(t; \varepsilon)[p(t) + \varepsilon q(t)]}{[1-t(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon)]^2 [1-t(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon)]^2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f''(\varepsilon) &= \int_{-1}^{x_k - c_1 \varepsilon} (1-t^2) g(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \varepsilon \frac{\varphi_0(t; \varepsilon)[p(t) + \varepsilon q(t)]}{[1-t(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon)]^2 [1-t(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon)]^2} \right\} dt - c_1 \varepsilon \psi(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon; \varepsilon) \\ &\quad - \int_{x_k - c_1 \varepsilon}^{x_k + c_2 \varepsilon} (1-t^2) g(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \varepsilon \frac{\varphi_0(t; \varepsilon)[p(t) + \varepsilon q(t)]}{[1-t(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon)]^2 [1-t(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon)]^2} \right\} dt - c_2 \varepsilon \psi(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon; \varepsilon) - c_1 \varepsilon \psi(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon; \varepsilon) \\ &\quad + \int_{x_k + c_2 \varepsilon}^1 (1-t^2) g(t) \frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \varepsilon \frac{\varphi_0(t; \varepsilon)[p(t) + \varepsilon q(t)]}{[1-t(x_k - c_1 \varepsilon)]^2 [1-t(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon)]^2} \right\} dt - c_2 \varepsilon \psi(x_k + c_2 \varepsilon; \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$f''(0) = \int_{-1}^1 g(t)(1-t^2) \frac{\varphi_0(t; 0)p(t)}{(1-tx_k)^4} dt = \int_{-1}^1 g(t)(1-t^2)p(t) \frac{|t-x_k|^{a+\beta-2}}{(1-tx_k)^{a+\beta+2}} dt$$

and (2.3) yields $f''(0) < 0$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{v_i\}_1^n$ be given positive integers. Then there exist external nodes $\bar{x}^* \in \Omega_n$ for the infimum (1.1)

Proof. Suppose the infimum (1.1) is attained for the Blashke product

$$B(\bar{y}; t) = \prod_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{t-y_i}{1-ty_i} \right)^{\mu_i},$$

where $1 \leq m \leq n$, $\bar{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$, $-1 \leq y_1 < \dots < y_m \leq 1$ and $\mu_i = v_{j_i+1} + \dots + v_{j_{i+1}}$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ with some integers

$$0 = : j_1 < \dots < j_m < j_{m+1} := n.$$

Assume, for example, that $y_1 = -1$ and set

$$\tilde{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) = \begin{cases} (0), & m=1 \\ ((y_2-1)/2, y_2, \dots, y_m), & m>1. \end{cases}$$

Then, using the convention $\prod_{i=2}^m a_i = 1$ for $m=1$, we have

$$\|B(\bar{y}; \cdot)\|_p^p - \|B(\bar{\tau}; \cdot)\|_p^p = \int_{-1}^1 \left| \prod_{i=2}^m \left(\frac{t-y_i}{1-ty_i} \right)^{\mu_i} \right|^p \cdot \left[1 - \left| \frac{t-\tau_1}{1-t\tau_1} \right|^{\rho_{\mu_1}} \right] dt > 0,$$

since

$$0 < \left| \frac{t-\xi}{1-t\xi} \right| < 1 \text{ for all } t \in (-1, 1), \xi \in (-1, 1), t \neq \xi.$$

This proves that $y_1 \neq -1$. Similarly we conclude that $y_m \neq 1$. Thus

$$(2.4) \quad -1 < y_1 \text{ and } y_m < 1.$$

Assume now that $1 \leq m < n$. According to (2.4), we have $\bar{y} \notin \Omega_m$ and applying Lemma 2.1, we immediately get a contradiction with the extremality of the nodes \bar{y} .

Therefore $m=n$, $\mu_i=v_i$, $i=1, \dots, n$ and $\bar{y}=x^* \notin \Omega_n$. The theorem is proved.

Now we ready to state and prove the comparison theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\{v_i\}_1^n$ be arbitrary positive integers and $v_k \geq 2$ for some k , $1 \leq k \leq n$. Set $\bar{\mu}=(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k-1, 1, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n)$. Then $R_N(\bar{\mu}) < R_N(\bar{v})$.

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.1 with $a_0=v_k-1$, $\beta_0=1$.

As an immediate consequence we get.

Corollary 2.1. For every set of positive integers $\bar{v}=(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ with $|\bar{v}|=N$ the inequality

$$R_N(\bar{\mu}) < R_N(\bar{v})$$

holds, where $\bar{\mu}=(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ (N times "1").

That is, the function evaluations at the simple optimal nodes is better information than any N pieces Hermitian information.

REFERENCES

1. B. Boyanov. Extremal problems in a set of polynomials with fixed multiplicities of zeros. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.*, 31, 1978, 4, 377–380.
2. A. Ghizzetti, A. Ossicini. Sull'esistenza e unicità delle formule di quadratura gaussiane. *Rend. Mat.*, 1, 1975, 1–15.
3. K. Osipenko. Optimal interpolation of analytic functions. *Mat. Zametki*, 12, 1972, 4, 465–476.
4. T. Popoviciu. Asupra unei generalizări a formulei de integrare numerică a lui Gauss. *Acad. R. P. Române Fil. Iasi Stud. Cerc. Sti.*, 6, 1955, 29–57.
5. L. Chakalov. General quadrature formulae of Gaussian type. *Izv. Mat. Institut*, BAN, 1, fasc. 2, 1954, 67–84 (Bulgarian).