Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

### Serdica

Bulgariacae mathematicae publicationes

## Сердика

# Българско математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
and its new series Serdica Mathematical Journal
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

#### EIGENVALUE DISTRIBUTION OF INVARIANT LINEAR ELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS OF ARBITRARY ORDER WITH CONSTANT COEFFICIENTS

#### M. BELGER

ABSTRACT. For a  $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant linear elliptic differential operator P with constant coefficients the well-known distribution function  $N(\lambda) := \#\{\mu \in \operatorname{spec}_{\mathfrak{G}}(P)\mu \leq \lambda^q\}$  of the  $\mathfrak{G}$ -automorphic eigenvalue spectrum  $\operatorname{spec}_{\mathfrak{G}}(P)$  has the asymptotic estimation  $N(\lambda) = c_0\lambda^n + c_1\lambda^{n-1} + O(\lambda^{n-2+2/(n+1)})$  where  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$  are geometric invariants, q - the order of P,  $\mathfrak{G}$  a properly discontinuous group of affine transformations.

#### Problem and main result. Notations:

- $\mathfrak V$  *n*-dimensional real affine space (or its corresponding vector space); bas  $\mathfrak V = \{0; \mathfrak b_1, \ldots, \mathfrak b_n\}$  affine base in  $\mathfrak V$  with the origin 0 and  $\mathfrak x = x^i \mathfrak b_i \in \mathfrak V$ ;
- $\mathfrak{D}^*$  its dual; bas  $\mathfrak{D}^* = \{0; \mathfrak{b}^1, \dots, \mathfrak{b}^n\}$  the dual base,  $\mathfrak{v} = v_h \mathfrak{b}^h \in \mathfrak{D}^*$ ;
- $\mathfrak G$  properly discontinuous group of affine transformations  $(\sigma,\mathfrak s)$  acting on  $\mathfrak V$  and having a compact fundamental domain  $\mathcal F(\mathfrak G)\in\mathfrak V$ ;
- $L_2(\mathfrak{G})$  the Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{C}$  of locally square-integrable  $\mathfrak{G}$  automorphic functions (s.[7], §2);

(1) 
$$P(D) := \sum_{|\alpha|=q} c_{\alpha} D^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

 $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant q-th order elliptic differential operator with constant coefficients  $c_{\alpha}$  and the property that its corresponding "gauge domain"

(2) 
$$\mathbf{D} := \{ \mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{V}^{\bullet} | -P(\mathfrak{v}) \le (1/2\pi)^q \}$$

is a strong convex domain in  $\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}$  i.e. the principal curvatures of  $\partial D$  are positive;

(1') 
$$P(\mathfrak{v}) := \sum_{|\alpha|=g} c_{\alpha} v^{\alpha}, \quad v^{\alpha} = v_1^{\alpha_1} \dots v_n^{\alpha_n}$$

the characteristic polynomial of P(D).

Consider the G-automorphic eigenvalue problem

(3) 
$$P(D)[\psi] + \mu \psi = 0, \quad \psi \in L_2(\mathfrak{G}).$$

With respect to the  $\mathfrak{G}$ -automorphic eigenvalue spectrum  $spec_{\mathfrak{G}}(P(D))$  of P(D) we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the distribution function

(4) 
$$N(\lambda) := \#\{\mu \in spec_{\mathfrak{G}}(P(D)) \mid \mu \leq \lambda^q\}$$

(eigenvalues counted with regard to their multiplicity).

The paper is divided into 3 sections. Next follows a brief description of each one of them

- 1. We solve the eigenvalue problem (3) for the so-called f-corresponding functions thus defining  $spec_{\mathfrak{G}}(P(D))$  (Proposition 1);
  - we interpret  $N(\lambda)$  as the number of equivalence classes of the so-called "principal lattice vectors" in the homothetic expansion  $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{D} \subset \mathfrak{V}^*$  of  $\mathbf{D}$  (Proposition 2);
- 2. We prove that for  $N(\lambda)$  the following asymtotic estimation is valid:

Theorem:

(5) 
$$N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \operatorname{vol}_n(\mathbf{D}) \lambda^n + \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{\sigma \in L_{n-1}} \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathbf{D} \cap \mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma)) \delta_{\sigma} \lambda^{n-1} + O(\lambda^{n-2+2/(n+1)}).$$

(see also[7]);

 $\mathfrak{V}^*(\sigma) := \ker(\sigma^T - id) \subset \mathfrak{V}^*$  and  $\sigma^T : \mathfrak{V}^* \to \mathfrak{V}^*$  - the adjoined mapping:  $\sigma^T \mathfrak{v} = \mathfrak{v} \circ \sigma$  to the "fixed point part"  $\sigma : \mathfrak{V} \to \mathfrak{V}$  of the affine transformation  $(\sigma, \mathfrak{s})$  with the "translation part"  $\mathfrak{s}$ ;

 $\mathfrak{L} := \{ \sigma \mid \exists (\sigma, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{G}) \text{ the point group for } \mathfrak{G}; r = \text{ord} \mathfrak{L} \text{ is finite,}$ 

 $\mathfrak{L}_m := \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{L} \mid \dim \mathfrak{D}^{\bullet}(\sigma) = m \}$  for m = 1, 2, ..., n, where  $\mathfrak{L}_n = \{ e \}$  (e is the identity of  $\mathfrak{L}$ );

 $\delta_{\sigma}:=1$  or :=0 as for the  $\delta$ -symbol of E. Landau but here it is used in the following sense:

 $\Gamma:=\operatorname{orb}_{\mathfrak{T}}(\mathfrak{O})$  shall be the orbit to the origin  $\mathfrak{D}\in\mathfrak{V}$  under the action of the invariant subgroup  $\mathfrak{T}\subset\mathfrak{G}$  of all translations  $(e,t)\in\mathfrak{G}$ , i.e.  $\Gamma$  is the lattice of all vectors  $\mathfrak{t}$  fastening to  $\mathfrak{D}$  in  $\mathfrak{V}$ . Then  $\delta_{\sigma}=1$  if there is a lattice vector  $\mathfrak{t}_0\in\Gamma$  which produces a fixed point element  $(\sigma,\mathfrak{s}+\mathfrak{t}_0)\in\mathfrak{G}$ , otherwise  $\delta_{\sigma}=0$  (see also [1], 4.4);

(6) 
$$\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathbb{G}) = \int_{\mathbb{G}} d\mu_{\sigma}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}) = \int_{\mathbb{G}} d\mathfrak{v} / \int_{\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{\bullet}(\sigma))} d\mathfrak{v},$$
$$\operatorname{vol}_{n}(\mathbb{D}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} d\mathfrak{v} / \int_{\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{\bullet})} d\mathfrak{v},$$

where  $G = \mathbb{D} \cap \mathfrak{V}^*(\sigma) \subset \mathfrak{V}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{V}^*(\sigma)$  is equipped with a Lebesgue-measure  $\mu_{\sigma}^*$ , normed by  $\mu_{\sigma}^*(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^*(\sigma))) = 1$  for the fundamental domain  $\mathcal{F}(\cdot)$  of the lattice;

$$\Gamma^*(\sigma) := \Gamma^* \cup \mathfrak{V}^*(\sigma);$$

 $\Gamma^{\star} \subset \mathfrak{V}^{\star}$  is the dual lattice to  $\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{V}$ . Note that for  $\sigma = e$   $\mathfrak{V}^{\star}(e) = \mathfrak{V}^{\star}$ ,  $\Gamma^{\star}(e) = \Gamma^{\star}$ ,  $\mu^{\star} := \mu_{e}^{\star}$ .

Remark 1. The affine volume  $\int_G dv$  in (6) (also for G = D) is a relative invariant of the weight -1 and therefore the quotients (6) are absolute invariants. So Theorem (5) is a result in the affine spectral geometry as well.

Remark 2. In general the strong convexity of  $\mathbb D$  in (2) (which we need so as to prove formula (5)) follows from the ellipticity of P(D) in (1) only for the case q=2. For instance  $P(D)=\partial_1^4+18\partial_1^2\partial_2^2+\partial_2^4$ ,  $\partial_i=\partial/\partial x^i$  is an elliptic operator but the corresponding gauge domain  $\mathbb D:=-P(v_1,v_2)\equiv v_1^4+18v_1^2v_2^2+v_2^4\leq (1/2\pi)^4$  in  $\mathfrak D^*$  is not convex. Elliptic operators with non-convex (resp. strong convex) gauge domains  $\mathbb D\subset \mathfrak D^*$  are given e.g. by Cassinean curves (resp. e.g. by the powers  $\Delta^k$  of the Laplacian). It is easier to prove Theorem (5) for q=2 than for  $q\geq 4$  because it can be reduced to E. Landau's estimation of the lattice remainder relative to the number of lattice points in the ellipse (resp. ellipsoid)  $\mathbb D$  (see [1]).

- 3. We prove the Theorem for an example.
- 1. f-corresponding functions  $\psi_f \in L_2(\mathfrak{G})$  as  $\mathfrak{G}$ -automorphic eigenfunctions of P(D),  $\operatorname{spec}_{\mathfrak{G}}(P(D))$ , and  $N(\lambda)$  as the number of principal classes in  $\lambda \cdot \mathbb{D}$ 
  - 1.1. The translation lattice  $\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{V}$  and its dual  $\Gamma^* \subset \mathfrak{V}^*$  for the group  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

As in [7], §1 we consider the affine transformations  $\mathfrak{G}\ni S:\mathfrak{V}\to\mathfrak{V}$  in form of  $S=(\sigma,\mathfrak{s})$  with  $\mathfrak{z}'=S\mathfrak{z}=\sigma\mathfrak{z}+\mathfrak{s};\ \mathfrak{z},\mathfrak{z}'\in\mathfrak{V}.\ \sigma$  is called a fixed point part,  $\mathfrak{s}$  is a translation part of S. The successive application of two elements  $R=(\rho,\mathfrak{r}),\ S\in\mathfrak{G}$  follows the composition  $R\circ S=(\rho\sigma,\rho\mathfrak{s}+\mathfrak{r})$ . Then  $S^{-1}=(\sigma^{-1},\sigma^{-1}\mathfrak{s})$  is the inverse of S, with

respect to the identity  $E = (e, \mathfrak{O})$  with e = id and  $\mathfrak{O}$  is a null vector. Now we consider the invariant subgroup  $\mathfrak{T} \subset \mathfrak{G}$  of all translations  $(e, \mathfrak{t})$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$ .

$$\mathfrak{T} := \{ (e, \mathfrak{t}) \in \mathfrak{G} \},\$$

and also the point group

$$\mathfrak{L} := \{ \sigma | \exists \mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{V} : (\sigma, \mathfrak{s}) \in \mathfrak{G} \}$$

for  $\mathfrak{G}$ . The factor group  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{T}$  has a finite order  $r = \operatorname{ord}(\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{T})$ . Then because of the isomorphy  $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{T}\cong \mathfrak{L}$  we have

$$r = \operatorname{ord} \mathfrak{L}$$
.

Now we introduce the lattice

$$\Gamma := \{ \mathfrak{t} = t^k \mathfrak{b}_k \mid t^k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \subset \mathfrak{V},$$

where  $\mathfrak{b}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{b}_n$  forms a base bas  $\mathfrak{V}$  of  $\mathfrak{V}$ .  $(e, \mathfrak{b}_1), \ldots, (e, \mathfrak{b}_n)$  are n generators of  $\mathfrak{T}$  with n linear independent translation parts  $\mathfrak{b}_k$ .  $\Gamma$  is  $\mathfrak{L}$ -invariant. In the dual space  $\mathfrak{V}^*$  let

$$\Gamma^* := \{\mathfrak{u} = u_h \mathfrak{b}^h \mid u_h \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \mathfrak{V}^*$$

be the dual lattice to  $\Gamma$  with  $\langle \mathfrak{b}^h, \mathfrak{b}_k \rangle = \delta_k^h$ ; for  $\mathfrak{x} \in \mathfrak{V}$   $\langle \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{x} \rangle$  is the value of the linear functional  $\mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{V}$ . Further on we shall use the dual base bas  $\mathfrak{V}^* = \{\mathfrak{b}^1, \ldots, \mathfrak{b}^n\}$  as a base of  $\mathfrak{V}^*$ .

In this case  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{L}$  should be replaced by the adjoint mapping  $\sigma$ , namely

$$\sigma^T: \mathfrak{D}^* \to \mathfrak{D}^*$$
 with  $\sigma^T \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p} \circ \sigma$ .

If  $(\sigma, \mathfrak{v}) \in \mathfrak{G}$  we also say that "a belongs to  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{L}$ ". In this case all the vectors  $\mathfrak{a} + \Gamma$  belong to  $\sigma$ . Then modulo  $\Gamma$  exactly one vector  $\mathfrak{a}$  can be considered as belonging to  $\sigma$ , and further on we will denote it by  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s}$ .

If  $(\sigma_1, \mathfrak{s}_1), (\sigma_2, \mathfrak{s}_2)$ , then  $(\sigma_1 \sigma_2, \mathfrak{s}) \in \mathfrak{G}$ . So sometimes it is advantageous to think of the Frobenius-congruence

$$\sigma_1 \mathfrak{s}_2 + \mathfrak{s}_1 \equiv \mathfrak{s} \operatorname{mod} \Gamma.$$

1.2. Decomposition of the group  $\mathfrak{G}$  into cosets and decomposition of the dual lattice  $\Gamma^*$  into equivalence classes.

In the coset of decomposition of  $\mathfrak V$  with respect to  $\mathfrak T,$ 

$$\mathfrak{G} = \varkappa(\sigma_1) + \ldots + \varkappa(\sigma_1), \quad \varkappa(\sigma_{\nu}) = S_{\nu} \circ \mathfrak{T}, \quad S_{\nu} = (\sigma_{\nu}, \mathfrak{s}_{\nu})$$

the elements of one and the same coset  $\varkappa(\sigma_{\nu})$  have the same fixed point part  $\sigma_{\nu}$  but distinct cosets have distinct fixed point parts.

Further we need the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{V}^*$  into equivalence classes

$$\mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{v}_1) = \{\mathfrak{v} = \sigma^T \mathfrak{v}_1 \mid \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{L}\} = \{\mathfrak{v}_1, \mathfrak{v}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{v}_l\}.$$

For a fixed functional  $v_1 \in \mathfrak{V}$  and variable  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{L}$  the transform  $\sigma^T v_1$  runs maximally  $l \leq r = \operatorname{ord} \mathfrak{L}$  lattice vectors  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_l$ . So  $\Gamma^*$  is also decomposed into equivalence classes  $\mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{u}_1) = \{\mathfrak{u}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{u}_l\} \subset \Gamma^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{u}_1 \in \Gamma^*$ . We denote the set of all such classes by  $\mathfrak{K}$ .

1.3. The  $\mathfrak{L}$ -automorphic P-norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  in  $\mathfrak{D}^*$ , the class norm  $\|\mathfrak{K}\|$ . Consider the Minkowski functional of the convex domain

(7) 
$$f(\mathfrak{v}; \mathbf{D}) := \inf_{\tau} \{ \tau > 0 \mid \mathfrak{v} \in \tau \cdot \mathbf{D} \}.$$

Lemma 1. f has the properties

[i]  $v \in \partial (f(v; \mathbb{D}) \cdot \mathbb{D}) \ \forall v \in \mathfrak{V}^*;$ 

[ii]  $f(v; \mathbb{D}) \leq 1$  if  $v \in \mathbb{D}$ , otherwise > 1;

[iii]  $f(\lambda \cdot v; \mathbb{D}) = \lambda \cdot f(v; \mathbb{D}) \quad \forall \lambda > 0, \ v \in \mathfrak{D}^*;$ 

[iv]  $F(v + w; \mathbb{D}) \leq f(v; \mathbb{D}) + f(w; \mathbb{D}) \ \forall v, w \in \mathfrak{D}^*;$ 

[v]  $f(\sigma^T w; \mathbb{D}) = f(v; \mathbb{D}) \ \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{L} \text{ is true iff } \mathbb{D} \text{ is } \mathfrak{L}\text{-invariant}.$ 

Proof. [i], [ii] follow immediately from (7); [iii], [iv] are well-known; [v]:

- a)  $\sigma \mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  means by (7)  $f(\sigma^T \mathfrak{v}; \mathbb{D}) \leq f(\mathfrak{v}; \mathbb{D}) \ \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{L}$ . To prove the inverse we rewrite [i] in the form of  $\sigma^T \mathfrak{v} \in \partial (f(\sigma^T \mathfrak{v}; \mathbb{D}) \cdot \mathbb{D})$  and obtain  $\mathfrak{v} = e\mathfrak{v} = (\sigma^T)^{-1}(\sigma^T \mathfrak{v}) \in f(\sigma^T \mathfrak{v}; \mathbb{D}) \cdot \mathbb{D}$ .
- b) Let  $f(\sigma^T \mathfrak{v}; \mathbb{D}) = f(\mathfrak{v}; \mathbb{D}), \ \mathfrak{v} \in \partial(\lambda \cdot \mathbb{D})$ . Then from [i] it follows  $\lambda = f(\mathfrak{v}; \mathbb{D}) = f(\sigma^T \mathfrak{v}; \mathbb{D})$  i.e.  $\sigma^T \mathfrak{v} \in \lambda \cdot \mathbb{D} \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{L}$ .  $\square$

Further, because of (2) the homothetic expansion

(8) 
$$\lambda \cdot \mathbb{D} := \{ \mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{V}^* \mid -P(\mathfrak{v}) \le (\lambda/2\pi)^q \}, \quad \lambda > 0$$

is also strong convex and by Lemma 1, [i] we can write

(9) 
$$P(\mathfrak{v}) = -(\frac{1}{2\pi}f(\mathfrak{v}; \mathbf{D}))^q, \ \forall \mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{V}^*.$$

Because of Lemma 1, [iii] and [iv] we can introduce in  $\mathfrak{V}^*$  (up to the factor  $(2\pi)^q$  the P-norm  $\|\mathfrak{v}\|_p$  or for short  $\|\mathfrak{v}\|$  by

(10) 
$$\|\mathfrak{v}\|^{q} = -P(\mathfrak{v}), \quad \mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{V}^{*}.$$

Lemma 2. The following conditions are equivalent

- (i)  $P(\mathbb{D})$  is  $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant,
- (ii) P(v) and so also ||v|| is  $\mathcal{L}$ -automorphic,

(iii) **D** and so all  $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$  ( $\lambda > 0$ ) are  $\mathfrak{L}$ -invariant.

((i)  $\sim$  (ii) is clear, (ii)  $\sim$  (iii) follows from Lemma 1, [v] and (9)).

From Lemma 2, (ii) it follows that  $\|v\|$  depends on v only by classes, i.e.  $\|\cdot\|$  is a function on the set of equivalence of  $\mathfrak{V}^*$ .

**Definition.**  $\|\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{v}_1)\| := \|\mathfrak{v}\|$  is called class norm of  $\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{v}_1)$  if  $\mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{v}_1)$ .

**Remark 3.** Since the gauge domain D is  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant and therefore the same is valid for all  $\lambda \cdot D$ , then

(11) either 
$$\mathfrak{K} \subset \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$$
 or  $\mathfrak{K} \cap \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D} = \emptyset$ .

1.4. f-corresponding functions as  $\mathfrak{G}$ -automorphic eigenfunctions of P(D) and  $\operatorname{spec}_{\mathfrak{G}}(P(D))$ .

For a fixed lattice functional  $\mathfrak{u} \in \Gamma^*$  we consider the character  $\chi(\mathfrak{u},\cdot)$  of the isotropy group  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{u}) := \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{L} \mid \sigma^T \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u} \},$ 

(12) 
$$\chi(\mathfrak{u},\sigma) := \varphi_{\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{s}) \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{u}), \ (\sigma,\mathfrak{s}) \in \mathfrak{G},$$

(13) 
$$\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{r}) := \exp\{2\pi i \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{r} \rangle\}, \ \mathbf{r} = x^h \mathfrak{b}_h \in \mathfrak{V}.$$

If  $\chi(\mathfrak{u},\cdot)$  is the principal character of  $\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{u})$  (i.e.  $\chi(\mathfrak{u},\sigma)=1 \ \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{u})$  then  $\mathfrak{u}$  is said to be a principal lattice vector and  $\mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{u})$  the principal class  $\mathfrak{f}$  because  $\mathfrak{f}=\mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{u})$  contains only such principal vectors.

**Remark 4.** If  $u \in \Gamma^*$  is non-principal the same is valid for all vectors from  $\mathfrak{k}(u)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be the set of all principal classes  $\mathfrak{f} \subset \Gamma^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathfrak{f} = \{\mathfrak{u}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{u}_l\}$  and let  $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_l\}$  be a system of representatives of the left coset decomposition  $(\mathfrak{L}/\mathfrak{R}(\mathfrak{u}))_L$ . For  $S_{\nu} = (\sigma_{\nu}, \mathfrak{s}_{\nu}) \in \mathfrak{G}$   $(\nu = 1, \ldots, l)$  we consider the "f-corresponding" functions

(14) 
$$\psi_{\mathfrak{f}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l} \varphi_{\mathfrak{u}} \circ S_{\nu}$$

which – normed to 1 – form a complete orthonormal system  $\{\psi_{\mathfrak{f}} \mid \mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{H}\}$  in  $L_2(\mathfrak{G})$  ([7]).

**Proposition 1.** For each principal class  $f \in \mathfrak{H}$  there exists in (3) only one eigenvalue of P(D), namely

(15) 
$$\mu_{\mathfrak{f}} = (2\pi)^{q} \cdot \|\mathfrak{f}\|^{q} \text{ with } m_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mu_{\mathfrak{f}}) = \#\{\mathfrak{f}' \in \mathfrak{H} \mid \|\mathfrak{f}'\| = \|\mathfrak{f}\|\}$$

as multiplicity.  $\psi_{\dagger}$  is the corresponding eigenfunction (to  $\mu_{\dagger}$ ) and  $\operatorname{spec}_{\mathfrak{G}}(P(D)) = \{\mu_{\dagger} \mid {\mathfrak{f}} \in {\mathfrak{H}}\}$  the complete  ${\mathfrak{G}}$ -automorphic eigenvalue spectrum of P(D).

Proof. P(D) is G-invariant i.e.  $P(D)[\varphi \circ S] = P(D)[\varphi] \circ S \ \forall S \in \mathfrak{G}$ . By this the application of P(D) to  $\psi_f$  from (14) yields

(16) 
$$P(D)[\psi_{\mathfrak{f}}] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{l} P(D)[\varphi_{\mathfrak{u}}] \circ S_{\nu}.$$

Simple differentiations  $\partial/\partial x^i$  of  $\varphi_u$  from (13) lead by (1') to

(17) 
$$P(D)[\varphi_{\mathfrak{u}}] = (2\pi)^q \cdot P(\mathfrak{u}) \cdot \varphi_{\mathfrak{u}}.$$

Thus (17), (16), (10) and ||f|| = ||u|| if  $u \in f$  give

(18) 
$$p(D)[\psi_{f}] = -(2\pi)^{q} ||f||^{q} \cdot \psi_{f}, \quad \mathfrak{u} \in \mathfrak{f}.$$

So we have  $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}} = (2\pi)^q \cdot ||\mathfrak{f}||^q$  and all the principal classes  $\mathfrak{f}' \in \mathfrak{H}$  with the same norm as  $\mathfrak{f}$  yield the same eigenvalue  $\mu = \mu_{\mathfrak{f}}$ .

1.5.  $N(\lambda)$  as the number of principal classes  $\mathfrak{f} \subset \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$ .

From Proposition 1 it follows: For each  $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{H}$  with  $\|\mathfrak{f}\| \leq \lambda/(2\pi)$  there is exactly one  $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}} \leq \lambda^q$ . Counting all these eigenvalues  $\mu_{\mathfrak{f}}$  with regard to their multiplicity we find out:  $N(\lambda) = \#\{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{H} \mid \|\mathfrak{f}\| \leq \lambda/(2\pi)\}$ . Because of Remark 3, b) we can read  $N(\lambda)$  also in the form of

#### Proposition 2.

(19) 
$$N(\lambda) = \#\{f \in \mathfrak{H} \mid f \subset \lambda \cdot \mathbb{D}\}.$$

2. The asymptotic estimation of the eigenvalue number  $N(\lambda)$ . We use the method of false position:  $N(\lambda)$  will be included by  $N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda - \varepsilon c) \leq N(\lambda) \leq N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda + \varepsilon c)$  and for both " $\varepsilon$ -neighbours"  $N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda \pm \varepsilon c)$  we will prove one and the same asymptotic estimation, the same as (5) in our Theorem.

2.1. 
$$N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda - \varepsilon c) \leq N(\lambda) \leq N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda + \varepsilon c)$$
.

As  $\mathfrak L$ -invariant domain,  $\lambda \cdot \mathbf D$  has the  $\mathfrak L$ -automorphic characteristic function  $\chi_{\lambda}$ . Therefore  $\chi_{\lambda}$  is a class function and it is well-defined by  $\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak k) := \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak u)$  if  $\mathfrak u \in \mathfrak k$  i.e.

(20) 
$$\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{k}) = 1 \text{ if } \mathfrak{k} \subset \lambda \cdot \mathbb{D}, \quad \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{k}) = 0 \text{ if } \mathfrak{k} \not\subset \lambda \cdot \mathbb{D}.$$

With the help of  $\chi_{\lambda}$  Proposition 2 can be read as

Corollary 1.

(21) 
$$N(\lambda) = \sum_{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{H}} \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{f}).$$

Now instead of  $N(\lambda)$  we investigate its  $\varepsilon$ -neighbour

(22) 
$$N_{\epsilon}(\lambda) := \sum_{\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{H}} (\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\epsilon})(\mathfrak{f}),$$

where the convolution product  $(\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\epsilon})(\mathfrak{f})$  is defined as follows: Consider the measure  $\mu^*$  on  $\mathfrak{D}^*$  which was introduced under (6).  $\mathfrak{L}$  is of finite order and so  $\mu^*$  is a  $\mathfrak{L}$ -invariant measure. Let  $\rho: \mathfrak{D}^* \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be a  $\mathfrak{L}$ -automorphic function from  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{D}^*)$  with  $\operatorname{supp} \rho \subseteq \mathbb{D}$  and  $\int_{\mathfrak{D}^*} \rho(\mathfrak{v}) d\mu^*(\mathfrak{v}) = 1$ . Then  $\rho_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{v}) := \varepsilon^{-n} \cdot \rho(\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{v})$  is also a smooth and  $\mathfrak{L}$ -automorphic function and  $\operatorname{supp} \rho_{\epsilon} = \varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{supp} \rho \subseteq \varepsilon \cdot \mathbb{D}$ . For a fixed  $\mathfrak{u} \in \Gamma^*$  we use the function  $\rho_{\epsilon;\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{v}) := \rho_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{u} - \mathfrak{v})$ ,

(23) 
$$\operatorname{supp} \rho_{\epsilon;\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{v}) = \{\mathfrak{u} - \operatorname{supp} \rho_{\epsilon}\} \subseteq \{\mathfrak{u} - \epsilon \cdot \mathbf{D}\}.$$

**Lemma 3.**  $\tilde{f}(2\pi \mathfrak{v}) := (\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\varepsilon})(\mathfrak{v})$  is  $\mathfrak{L}$ -automorphic and so

(24) 
$$\tilde{f}(2\pi \mathfrak{k}) = (\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\varepsilon})(\mathfrak{k}) := \tilde{f}(2\pi \mathfrak{u}) \text{ if } \mathfrak{u} \in \mathfrak{k}$$

is a well-defined class function on  $\Re$  and naturally also on  $\Re \subset \Re$ .

Proof. In the convolution definition

$$\tilde{f}(2\pi\mathfrak{v}) = \int_{\mathfrak{N}^{\bullet}} \chi(\mathfrak{v} - \mathfrak{y}) \rho_{\varepsilon}(\mathfrak{y}) d\mu^{\star}(\mathfrak{y}), \quad \mathfrak{v} \in \mathfrak{V}^{\star}$$

we transform  $\mathfrak{z} = \sigma^T \mathfrak{y}$ ; the invariance of  $\mu^*$  then leads to

$$= \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}} \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v} - (\sigma^{T})^{-1}\mathfrak{z}) \rho_{\epsilon}((\sigma^{T})^{-1}\mathfrak{z}) d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{z}).$$

Herein the  $\mathfrak{L}$ -automorphy of  $\chi_{\lambda}$  and  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  produces those of  $\tilde{f}$ .  $\square$ 

Lemma 4.

(25) 
$$\tilde{f}(2\pi \mathfrak{k}) = \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{k}) \quad \forall \mathfrak{k} \not\subset \mathbf{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon),$$

where  $\mathbf{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon) := (\lambda + \varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{D} \setminus int(\lambda - \varepsilon) \cdot \mathbf{D}$  is a  $\mathfrak{L}$ -invariant " $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood" of  $\partial(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{D})$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathfrak{u} \notin U_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ . If  $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{C}}^{\varepsilon} \lambda \cdot \mathbb{D}$  then  $\operatorname{supp} \rho_{\varepsilon;\mathfrak{u}_{\mathfrak{C}}} \stackrel{\subset}{\lambda} \cdot \mathbb{D}$  respectively. This result is geometrically grounded and will be needed further on: For  $\mathfrak{u} \in \mathfrak{k}$ 

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}(2\pi\mathfrak{k}) &= \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}} \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v}) \rho_{\epsilon}(\mathfrak{u} - \mathfrak{v}) d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}) \\ &= \int_{\lambda \cdot \mathbb{D} \cup \operatorname{supp} \rho_{\epsilon;\mathfrak{u}}} 1 \cdot \rho_{\epsilon;\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{v}) d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}). \end{split}$$

Let be  $\mathfrak{k} \not\subset U_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$ . a) If  $\mathfrak{k} \not\subset \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$ , then  $\lambda \cdot \mathbf{D} \cap \operatorname{supp} \rho_{\varepsilon;u} = \emptyset$  and  $\tilde{f}(2\pi \mathfrak{k}) = 0$ . b) If  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$  then  $\operatorname{supp} \rho_{\varepsilon;u} \subset \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$  and

$$\begin{split} \tilde{f}(2\pi\mathfrak{k}) &= \int_{\sup \rho_{\mathfrak{e};\mathfrak{u}}} \rho_{\mathfrak{e};\mathfrak{u}}(\mathfrak{v}) d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}) = \varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\{\mathfrak{u}-\mathfrak{e}:\mathbb{D}\}} \rho(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\mathfrak{u}-\mathfrak{v})) d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \rho(\mathfrak{y}) d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{y}) = 1. \end{split}$$

**Proposition 3.** For c > 2 we have

(26) 
$$N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda - \varepsilon c) \le N(\lambda) \le N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda + \varepsilon c), \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Proof. We restrict the proof so as to show the left hand side of (26). From (22), (24) it follows that  $N_{\epsilon}(\lambda) = \sum \tilde{f}(2\pi\mathfrak{f})$  where the summation over all  $\mathfrak{f} \in \mathfrak{H}$  can be distributed into two parts by (25):

$$N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \sum_{\mathfrak{H}\ni \mathfrak{f}\subset \mathbb{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)} \tilde{f}(2\pi\mathfrak{f}) + \sum_{\mathfrak{H}\ni \mathfrak{f}\not\subset \mathbb{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)} \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{f}).$$

Obviously for all  $\mathfrak{k} \in \mathfrak{K}$  we have  $\chi_{\lambda-c\varepsilon}(\mathfrak{k}) \leq \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{k})$  and  $(\chi_{\lambda-c\varepsilon^{\bullet}}(\rho_{\varepsilon})(\mathfrak{K}) \leq (\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\varepsilon})(\mathfrak{k})$ . Therefore

(27) 
$$N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda - c\varepsilon) \leq \sum_{\mathfrak{H}\ni \mathfrak{f}\subset \mathbb{U}_{\lambda - c\varepsilon}(\varepsilon)} \tilde{f}(2\pi\mathfrak{f}) + \sum_{\mathfrak{H}\ni \mathfrak{f}\not\subset \mathbb{U}_{\lambda - c\varepsilon}(\varepsilon)} \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{f}).$$

Because of c > 2 it follows  $\mathbf{U}_{\lambda - c\varepsilon}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathbf{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$ . Thus from  $\mathfrak{f} \subset \mathbf{U}_{\lambda - c\varepsilon}(\varepsilon)$  we have  $\mathfrak{f} \not\subset \mathbf{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)$  and then  $\tilde{f}(2\pi\mathfrak{f}) = \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{f})$  from (25). Therefore the right hand side of (27) is, according to (21), equal to  $N(\lambda)$ .  $\square$ 

2.2. Reformation of  $N_{\epsilon}(\lambda)$  by Günther's Poisson formula. Although used later, we introduce here the vector spaces resp.—modules and the difference module ([7])

(28) 
$$\mathfrak{V}(\sigma) := \ker(\sigma - id), \quad \mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) := \ker(\sigma^{T} - id),$$

$$\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma) := \operatorname{im}(\sigma - id), \quad \mathfrak{V}^{\bullet\perp}(\sigma) := \operatorname{im}(\sigma^{T} - id);$$

$$\Gamma(\sigma) := \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{V}(\sigma), \quad \Gamma^{\bullet}(\sigma) := \Gamma^{\bullet} \cap \mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma),$$

$$\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma) := \Gamma \cap \mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma), \quad \Gamma^{\bullet\perp}(\sigma) := \Gamma^{\bullet} \cap \mathfrak{V}^{\bullet\perp}(\sigma);$$

$$\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma) - \Gamma^{\perp}_{e}(\sigma) \text{ for } \Gamma^{\perp}_{e}(\sigma) := (\sigma - id)(\Gamma) \subseteq \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma)$$

according to the decomposition  $\mathfrak{V} = \mathfrak{V}(\sigma) \oplus \mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet} = \mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) \oplus \mathfrak{V}^{\bullet\perp}(\sigma)$ .

Let  $m = n(\sigma) = \dim \mathfrak{V}(\sigma)$  (= dim  $\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma)$ ,  $\Gamma(\sigma)$ ,  $\Gamma^{\bullet}(\sigma)$ ) and  $n - n(\sigma)$  be the dimension of the belonging complements,  $e(\sigma) := \operatorname{card}(\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma) - \Gamma^{\perp}_{e}(\sigma))$ . Now  $\mu$ ,  $\mu^{\bullet}_{\sigma}$ ,  $\mu^{\perp}_{\sigma}$ 

denote the Lebesgue measures on  $\mathfrak{V}$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}^*(\sigma)$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)$ , normed to 1 with respect to the belonging fundamental domains  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^*(\sigma))$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma))$ .

Günter's Poisson formula for space groups ([7], Theorem, parts (3.13), (3.14)) says: For a Schwartz function  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{V})$  and its Fourier transform  $\tilde{f}$ , for a  $\mathfrak{T}$ -conjugate class  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and for the translation part  $\mathfrak{b}$  of  $S = (\sigma, \mathfrak{b}) \in \tau$  it is valid

(29) 
$$I_{\tau}(f) := \frac{1}{e(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{N}^{\perp}} f(\mathfrak{n} + \mathfrak{b}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{n})$$

$$= (1/(2\pi)^{n(\sigma)}e(\sigma)) \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma)} \exp\{i\langle \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{b} \rangle \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{v}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v});$$

 $I_{\tau}(f)$  depends only on  $\tau$ , i.e. it is independent of the choice of  $S \in \tau$  and

(31) 
$$\sum_{f \in \mathfrak{H}} \frac{1}{\operatorname{card}_{f}} \sum_{u \in f} \tilde{f}(2\pi u) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\Theta \in \Omega} \sum_{\tau \in \Theta} I_{\tau}(f).$$

The summation relative to  $\Theta$ ,  $\tau$  is to be understood from the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{G}$  into the set  $\Omega$  resp.  $\mathcal{T}$  of the  $\mathfrak{G}$ -conjugate resp.  $\mathfrak{T}$ -conjugate classes  $\Theta$  resp.  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and the additional decomposition of each  $\Theta$  into a finite number of  $\tau$ . Of course we can decompose also  $\mathfrak{G} = S_1 \circ \mathfrak{T} + \ldots + S_{\tau} \circ \mathfrak{T}$  into its cosets  $\varkappa(\sigma_{\nu}) = S_{\nu} \circ \mathfrak{T}, S_{\nu} = (\sigma_{\nu}, \mathfrak{s}_{\nu})$  and furthermore  $\varkappa(\sigma_{\nu})$  in classes  $\tau$ . Then we can perform the summation over all  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$  on the right of (31) also by running through  $\tau \in \varkappa(\sigma_{\nu}) \quad \forall \nu = 1, \ldots, \tau$ , i.e.  $\tau \in \varkappa(\sigma) \ \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ . From (22) and (24) on the left of (31) after the summation is  $N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$  and therefore finally we obtain:

#### Corollary 2.

(32) 
$$N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{L}} \sum_{\tau \subseteq \varkappa(\sigma)} I_{\tau}(f).$$

2.3. Decomposition of  $N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$  according to the fixed point behaviour of  $(\sigma, \mathfrak{s}) \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Each  $S \in \varkappa(\sigma)$  is of the form  $S = (\sigma, \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{t})$  with one and the same  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{L}$ , where  $\mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{V}$  is well-defined modulo  $\Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{t} \in \Gamma$ . So the summation over  $\tau \subseteq \varkappa(\sigma)$  in (32) means with regard to (29) only a summation relative to  $\mathfrak{b}$ , namely: The decomposition of the coset  $\varkappa(\sigma) = \cup_{\iota \in \mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \tau_{\iota}$  into  $\mathfrak{T}$ -conjugate classes  $\tau_{\iota}$  with representatives  $S_{\iota} = (\sigma, \mathfrak{b}_{\iota}) \in \tau_{\iota}$  ( $\mathcal{J}_{\sigma}$ : appropriate index set) results into

(33) 
$$\sum_{\tau \subseteq \varkappa(\sigma)} I_{\tau}(f) \sum_{\iota \in \mathcal{J}_{\sigma}} \frac{1}{e(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} f(\mathfrak{y} + \mathfrak{b}_{\iota}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{y})$$

$$\sum_{\iota \in \operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\sigma))} \frac{1}{e(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} f(\mathfrak{y} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{y})$$

where (33) may be explained by the easy-to-prove

Lemma 5.  $(\sigma, \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}'), (\sigma, \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}'')$  are T-conjugate iff  $\mathfrak{t}' - \mathfrak{t}'' \in \Gamma_e^{\perp}(\sigma)$ .

Now in (33)  $S_{\iota} = (\sigma, \mathfrak{b}_{\iota})$ , where  $\mathfrak{b}_{\iota} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}_{\iota}$  runs through a complete system of representatives of the decomposition of  $\varkappa(\sigma)$ , so  $\mathfrak{t}_{\iota}$  runs through the complete system of representatives  $\operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma_{\epsilon}^{\perp}(\sigma))$  and vice versa.

Next (33) can be written as

(34) 
$$\sum_{\tau \subseteq \varkappa(\sigma)} I(f) = \sum_{\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\sigma))} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} f(\mathfrak{y} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}) d\mu_{\varepsilon}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{y}).$$

We know that  $\Gamma_e^{\perp}(\sigma) \subseteq \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma) \subseteq \Gamma$  for these three **Z**-modules.  $\Gamma_e^{\perp}(\sigma)$  is an invariant subgroup in  $\Gamma$  as well as  $\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma)$ . So by the second isomorphism theorem  $\Gamma - \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma) \cong [\Gamma - \Gamma_e^{\perp}(\sigma)] - [\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma) - \Gamma_e^{\perp}(\sigma)]$  and by the fundamental theorem for abelian groups  $\Gamma - \Gamma_e^{\perp}(\sigma) \cong [\Gamma - \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma)] - [\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma) - \Gamma_e^{\perp}(\sigma)]$ . The difference module  $\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma) - \Gamma_e^{\perp}(\sigma)$  contains  $e(\sigma)$  elements.

Now we separate from the sum (34) the "fixed point part" by means of

**Lemma 6.** |i|  $(\sigma, \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}) \in \mathfrak{G}$  has a fixed point  $\mathfrak{x}_0 \in \mathfrak{V}$  iff  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t} \in \mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)$ .

 $|ii| \quad \text{If $\mathfrak{t}_i \in \operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma^\perp(\sigma))$ with $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}_i \in \mathfrak{V}^\perp(\sigma)$ $(i=1,2)$, then $\mathfrak{t}_2 \in (\mathfrak{t}_1 + \Gamma^\perp(\sigma))$ is representative of the same coset of $\Gamma$ relative to $\Gamma^\perp(s)$, and analogously for $\mathfrak{t}_1$.}$ 

|iii| For  $(\sigma, \mathfrak{a}) \in \mathfrak{G}$  there exists at most one  $\mathfrak{t}_0 \in \operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma))$  giving rise to fixed points of  $(\sigma, \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}_0) \in \varkappa(\sigma)$ .

(|i| is the Lemma 4 from [1], |ii| is clear almost by itself and |iii| follows from |ii|). With respect to Lemma 6 let

(35) 
$$\mathring{\mathfrak{L}} := \{ \sigma \in \mathfrak{L} \mid \exists \mathfrak{t}_0 \in \operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma)) \text{ with } \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}_0 \in \mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma) \}.$$

Because of |iii| for each  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$  there is exactly one such  $t_0$ . So for  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$  we can exempt  $\text{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma))$  in (34) from the vector  $t_0$  – if there is any which produces fixed points  $\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathfrak{V}$  according to |i|:

(36) 
$$R(\sigma) := \begin{cases} \operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma)) \setminus \{t_0\} & \sigma \in \mathring{\mathfrak{L}} \\ & \text{if} \\ \operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma)) & \sigma \in {\mathfrak{L}} \setminus \mathring{\mathfrak{L}} \end{cases}.$$

Now we insert (34) in (32) and sum up a) first over all  $\sigma \in \mathring{\mathcal{L}}$  then b) over  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \mathring{\mathcal{L}}$ . Furthermore the inward sum of a) will be decomposed into that part with  $t \in R(\sigma)$  and that with  $t = t_0$ .

Then Corollary 2 can be read as

Corollary 3.

$$(J_0) N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r} \Big\{ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{V}} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} f(\mathfrak{y} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}_0) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{y})$$

$$+ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{L}} \sum_{\mathfrak{t} \in R(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}(\sigma)} f(\mathfrak{y} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{y}) \Big\}.$$

2.4. Estimation of the integral  $J_0$  from  $(J_0)$ . The transformation  $\mathfrak{z}=\mathfrak{y}+\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{t}_0$  of  $J_0$  for  $\sigma\in \mathring{\mathfrak{L}}$  and next the substitution  $\Phi(\mathfrak{z}+\mathfrak{b}):=f(\mathfrak{z})$  with  $\mathfrak{b}$  from  $(\sigma,\mathfrak{b})\in \tau\in \mathcal{T}$  leads by (29) to  $J_0=e(\sigma)I_{\tau}(\Phi)$ . If we take for  $I_{\tau}(\Phi)$  its alternative expression (30) and use (after a substitution) the Fourier transform  $\Phi(\mathfrak{v})=\exp\{-i\langle \mathfrak{v},\mathfrak{v}\rangle\}\tilde{f}(\mathfrak{v})$  we obtain

$$J_0 = (1/2\pi)^{n(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma)} \tilde{f}(\mathfrak{v}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}) = \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma)} (\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\varepsilon})(\mathfrak{v}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}),$$

using the transformation  $v = 2\pi v'$  and Lemma 3. Writing out the product  $\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\varepsilon}$ , using  $\rho_{\varepsilon}(v) = \varepsilon^{-n} \rho(\varepsilon^{-1} \cdot v)$  and the transformation  $w = \varepsilon w'$  of  $\mathfrak{V}^*$  we obtain

(37) 
$$J_0 = \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}} \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v} - \varepsilon \mathfrak{w}') \rho(\mathfrak{w}') d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}') d\mu^{\bullet}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{v}).$$

If we divide into two parts the integrand  $\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v}-\varepsilon\mathfrak{w})\rho(\mathfrak{w})=\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v})\rho(\mathfrak{w})+(\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v}-\varepsilon\mathfrak{w})-\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v}))\rho(\mathfrak{w})$  and pay attention to the definition of  $\chi_{\lambda}$ ,  $\rho$  and  $n(\sigma)=\dim\mathfrak{V}^{*}(\sigma)$ , then the integration in (37) yields

$$J_0 = \operatorname{vol}_{n(\sigma)}(\mathfrak{V}^{\star}(\sigma) \cap \mathbf{D}) \cdot \lambda^{n(\sigma)} \quad (\operatorname{see}(6))$$

$$+ \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} (\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v} - \varepsilon \mathfrak{w}) - \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v})) \rho(\mathfrak{w}) d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{w}) d\mu^{\bullet}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{v}).$$

Estimation of the integral  $J_1$  from  $(J_1)$ :

Lemma 7.

$$\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v}-\varepsilon\mathfrak{w})-\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v})=0\quad\forall\mathfrak{v}\not\in\mathbf{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon),\;\forall\mathfrak{w}\in\mathbf{D}.$$

Proof. This difference is zero only in two cases: a)  $\chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v} - \varepsilon \mathfrak{w}) - \chi_{\lambda}(\mathfrak{v}) = 0 - 0$  iff  $\mathfrak{v} - \varepsilon \mathfrak{w} \notin \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{w} \notin \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$ , b) = 1 - 1 iff  $\mathfrak{v} - \varepsilon \mathfrak{w} \in \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$  and  $\mathfrak{v} \in \lambda \cdot \mathbf{D}$ . Now a simple geometric consideration yields the assertion.

(38) and the definition of  $\rho$  make it possible to estimate  $J_1$ :

$$\begin{split} J_1 & \leq \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} 1 \cdot \rho(\mathfrak{w} d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{w}) d\mu^{\bullet}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{v}) \\ & = \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)} d\mu^{\bullet}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{v}) = \operatorname{vol}_{n(\sigma)}(\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{U}_{\lambda}(\varepsilon)) \end{split}$$

$$= ((\lambda + \varepsilon)^{n(\sigma)} - (\lambda - \varepsilon)^{n(\sigma)}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}_{n(\sigma)}(\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) \cap \mathbf{D}),$$

the latter by (25). Now choose  $\varepsilon = \lambda^{-1+2/(n+1)}$ . Then in (39) the factor before  $\operatorname{vol}_{n(\sigma)}$  is of order  $O(\lambda^{n-2+2/(n+1)})$  because  $n(\sigma) \leq n \ \forall \sigma \in \mathfrak{L}$  (see the notation in (28)). Thus

$$(40) J_0 = \operatorname{vol}_{n(\sigma)}(\mathfrak{D}^{\star}(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{D}) \cdot \lambda^{n(\sigma)} + O(\lambda^{n-2+2/(n+1)}).$$

2.5. Estimation of the integral J in (J). Let  $\mathfrak{t} \in \operatorname{rep}(\Gamma - \Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma))$  with  $\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t} \notin \mathfrak{D}^{\perp}(\sigma)$ , i.e.  $(\sigma, \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}) \in \varkappa(\sigma)$  has no fixed points (Lemma 6, |i|). In J set  $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{y} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t}$  and instead of  $f(\mathfrak{z})$  consider its Fourier transform:

$$J = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}} \exp\{i\langle \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{z} \rangle\} \tilde{f}(\sigma) d\mu^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{v}) d\mu^{\perp}_{\sigma}(\mathfrak{y}).$$

Now we set  $v = 2\pi v'$ , use  $\tilde{f}(2\pi v') = (\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\epsilon})(v')$  (Lemma 3) and write  $\chi_{\lambda} * \rho_{\epsilon}$  from the definition of \*. The so obtained 3-fold integral can be transferred to

(41) 
$$J = (2\pi)^{2n} \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}(\sigma)} \hat{\rho}_{\varepsilon}(2\pi\mathfrak{z}) \hat{\chi}_{\lambda}(2\pi\mathfrak{z}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{y})$$

where " $\Lambda$ " means the inverse Fourier transformation. If we use  $\hat{\chi}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{r}) = \lambda^n \cdot \hat{\chi}(\lambda \cdot \mathbf{r})$  and  $\hat{\rho}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{r}) = \hat{\rho}(\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{r})$  we obtain from (41)

(42) 
$$J = (2\pi)^{2n} \cdot \lambda^n \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{D}^{\perp}(\sigma)} \hat{\rho}(2\pi\varepsilon \cdot \mathfrak{z}) \hat{\chi}(2\pi\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{z}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{y}).$$

For  $v \in \mathfrak{V}^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{x} \in v$  let ||v|| be the norm (10) in  $\mathfrak{V}^*$ ,  $||\mathfrak{x}||$  the belonging infimum norm in  $\mathfrak{V}$  which is equivalent to the euclidean norm  $|\mathfrak{x}|$ :  $c_1|\mathfrak{x}| \leq ||\mathfrak{x}|| \leq c_2|\mathfrak{x}|$ . Since  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{V}^*)$  also  $\hat{\rho}_1 \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{V})$  is a Schwartz function,

(43) 
$$|\hat{\rho}(\mathfrak{x})| \leq M(p)(1+||\mathfrak{x}||^2)^{-p} \leq M(p)(1+\varepsilon^2||\mathfrak{z}||^2)^{-p}, \quad p=0,1,\ldots$$

where  $\mathfrak{x}=2\pi\varepsilon\cdot\mathfrak{z}$  so as in (42) and  $\mathfrak{z}=\mathfrak{y}+\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{t}$ . To estimate  $\hat{\chi}(2\pi\lambda\cdot\mathfrak{z})$  in (42) we can write because  $\mathfrak{z}\neq\mathfrak{o}$ 

(44) 
$$|\hat{\chi}(2\pi\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{z})| = |\hat{\chi}(2\pi\|\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{z}\| \cdot (\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{z})/\|\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{z}\|)|.$$

If  $\mathfrak{z} \neq \mathfrak{o}$  runs through  $\mathfrak{V}$ , then  $\mathfrak{w} := 2\pi(\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{z})/\|\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{z}\|$  varies in the compact  $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathfrak{w} \in \mathfrak{V} \mid ||\mathfrak{w}|| = 2\pi\}.$ 

**Lemma 8.** For every compact  $\mathcal{K} \subset\subset \mathfrak{v} \setminus \{\mathfrak{o}\}$  and  $t \to \infty$  one has

$$|\hat{\chi}(t \cdot \mathbf{w})| \leq M_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot |t|^{-(n+1)/2}$$
 uniformly for  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{K}$ .

This assertion from [10], 1., (1.7) is clearly applicable to (44) because  $\mathbf{w} := \lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}/\|\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}\|$  varies on the unit sphere  $\mathcal{K}$  around of  $\mathfrak{o}$  and  $t := 2\pi \|\lambda \cdot \mathbf{j}\|$ . Thus from (44) it follows

(45) 
$$|\hat{\chi}(2\pi\lambda \cdot \mathfrak{z})| \leq M_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot \lambda^{-(n+1)/2} \cdot ||\mathfrak{z}||^{-(n+1)/2}.$$

Now (43), (45) make possible the following estimation of J from (42):

$$J \leq M \cdot \lambda^{(n-2)/2} \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} (1 + \varepsilon^2 ||\mathfrak{z}||^2)^{-p} \cdot ||\mathfrak{z}||^{-(n+1)/2} d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{y})$$

$$(46) \qquad = M \cdot \lambda^{(n-1)/2} \cdot \varepsilon^{-(n-1)/2} \times \\ \times \int_{\mathfrak{M}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{g})} \varepsilon^{n(\mathfrak{g})} \cdot (1 + \|\mathfrak{x} + \varepsilon(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t})\|^{2})^{-p} \cdot \|\mathfrak{x} + \varepsilon(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{t})\|^{-(n+1)/2} d\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{x}),$$

for  $\mathfrak{x} = \varepsilon \cdot \mathfrak{y}$  and the constant M depending on p. Now we pass on to (J):

(47) 
$$\sum_{t \in R(\sigma)} J \leq M \cdot (\lambda/\varepsilon)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon}$$

where  $\mathcal{J}_{\epsilon}$  is the sum over  $\mathfrak{t} \in R(\sigma)$  of the t-belonging integrals in (46).  $\mathcal{J}_{\epsilon}$  can be interpreted as the intermediate sum to the integral

$$\mathcal{J} = \int_{\mathfrak{V}-\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} (1 + \|\mathfrak{x} + \mathfrak{w}\|^2)^{-p} \cdot \|\mathfrak{x} + \mathfrak{w}\|^{-(n+1)/2} d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{x}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{w});$$

 $\mu_{|\sigma}^{\perp}$  is the Lebesgue measure on the difference module  $\mathfrak{V}-\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)$ , normed by  $\mu_{|\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathcal{F}(\Gamma-\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma)))=1$ ; the difference  $-\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $\Gamma-\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma)$  is to be understood as a lattice in the difference  $-\mathbf{R}$ -module  $\mathfrak{V}-\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)$ ;  $n(\sigma)=\dim(\mathfrak{V}-\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma))=\dim(\Gamma-\Gamma^{\perp}(\sigma))$ . For simplification of  $\mathcal J$  Lemma 3.1, (3.12) from [7] reads: If  $\varphi\in L_1(\mathfrak{V})$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{v}}:=\mathfrak{v}+\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)$  is a coset of  $\mathfrak{V}-\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)$ , so

$$\int_{\mathfrak{V}-\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)}\int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)}\varphi(\mathfrak{x}+\mathfrak{w})d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{x})d\mu_{|\sigma}^{\perp}(\bar{\mathfrak{w}})=\int_{\mathfrak{V}}\varphi(\mathfrak{y})d\mu(\mathfrak{y}).$$

It follows that

$$\mathcal{J} = \int_{\mathfrak{M}} (1 + ||\mathfrak{y}||^2)^{-p} \cdot ||\mathfrak{y}||^{-(n+1)/2} d\mu(\mathfrak{y}).$$

Because of  $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{J}$ , respectively by  $\mathcal{J}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{J} + o(\varepsilon)$ , and according to the choice  $\varepsilon = \lambda^{-1+2/(n+1)}$  (established in (39)) we obtain from (J), (47)

(48) 
$$\sum_{\mathfrak{t}\in R(\sigma)} \int_{\mathfrak{V}^{\perp}(\sigma)} f(\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{t}) d\mu_{\sigma}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{r}) = O(\lambda^{n-2+2/(n+1)}).$$

2.6. Estimation of  $N_{\epsilon}(\lambda)$  and  $N(\lambda)$ . If we consider the estimations (40), (48) and Corollary 3, we obtain

$$(49) N_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}} \operatorname{vol}_{n(\sigma)}(\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{D}) \lambda^{n(\sigma)} + O(\lambda^{n-2+2/(n+1)}).$$

Finally let  $\varepsilon = \lambda^{-1+2/(n+1)}$  (so as chosen for (48)). Because of  $(\lambda + c\varepsilon)^{n(\sigma)} = \lambda^{n(\sigma)} + O(\lambda^{n(\sigma)-2+2/(n+1)})$  and the fact that in (49) all  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{L}$  with  $n(\sigma) \leq n-2$  yield summands belonging to  $O(\ldots)$  we obtain from (26)

(50) 
$$N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathfrak{L} \\ n(\sigma) \geq n-1}} \operatorname{vol}_{n(\sigma)} (\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) \cap \mathbf{D}) \lambda^{n(\sigma)} + O(\lambda^{n-2+2/(n+1)}).$$

- a) The main term in (5) comes from (50) for  $n(\sigma) = n$ . Then  $\sigma = e$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}^*(e) = \mathfrak{V}^* \supset \mathbb{D}$  and  $\delta_e = 1$  (see (35) and Lemma 6 |i|).
  - b) The second term in (5) arises for  $n(\sigma) = n 1$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ .

Thus the proof of the theorem is finished.  $\Box$ 

Remark 5. Theorem (5) is true also for operators P(D) from (1) with  $|\alpha| \leq q$ .

**Example.** For the euclidean spaces  $\mathfrak{V}$ ,  $\mathfrak{V}^*$ , for a properly discontinuous group  $\mathfrak{G}$  of isometries acting on  $\mathfrak{V}$  we consider the operator  $P(D) = \Delta^k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Delta$ : Laplacian.  $\Delta^k$  is  $\mathfrak{G}$ -invariant because  $\Delta$  is isometry-invariant; q = 2k. The characteristic polynomial  $P(\mathfrak{v}) = -(v_1^2 + \ldots + v_n^2)^k$  ( $\mathfrak{v} = v_i \mathfrak{b}^i \in \mathfrak{V}^*$ ,  $\{\mathfrak{b}^i\}$  orthonormal base) leads to the norm  $\|\mathfrak{v}\| = (v_1^2 + \ldots + v_n^2)^{1/2}$  and defines the gauge domain  $\mathbf{D} : \|\mathfrak{v}\| \leq 1/(2\pi)$ , which is the n-dimensional ball in  $\mathfrak{V}^*$  with  $\operatorname{vol}_n(\mathbf{D}) = 1/(2\pi)^n \cdot \operatorname{vol}_n(\mathbf{K}_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{K}_n = 2\pi \cdot \mathbf{D}$  is the n-dimensional unit ball. If k = n - 1 we obtain for the (n - 1)-dimensional hyper-planes  $\mathfrak{V}^*(\sigma) \subset \mathfrak{V}^*$  going throughout  $\mathfrak{o} \in \mathfrak{V}^*$ :  $\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{V}^*(\sigma) \cap \mathbf{D}) = 1/(2\pi)^{n-1}$ .

 $\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{V}^{\bullet}(\sigma) \cap \mathbb{K}_n) = 1/(2\pi)^{n-1} \cdot \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\mathbb{K}_{n-1})$ . Denote by  $|\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{\bullet})|$ ,  $|\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{\bullet}(\sigma))|$  the volumes of the fundamental domains of the lattices  $\Gamma^{\bullet}$ ,  $\Gamma^{\bullet}(\sigma)$ . Then

$$(51) N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{\pi})^n |\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{\bullet})| \Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \cdot \lambda^n + \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{\pi})^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{2}+1)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{L}_{n-1}} \frac{\delta_{\sigma}}{|\mathcal{F}(\Gamma^{\bullet}(\sigma))|} \cdot \lambda^{n-1} \right) + O(\lambda^{n-2+2/(n+1)}).$$

To understand how to calculate  $\mathcal{L}_{n-1}$ ,  $\delta_{\sigma}$  let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a crystallographic group, e.g.  $\mathfrak{G} = \Delta_{p31m}^2$  for n=2 (see [1], 4., Example). Then we obtain  $N(\lambda) = \sqrt{3}(48\pi)^{-1} \cdot \lambda^2 + (4\pi)^{-1} \cdot \lambda + O(\lambda^{2/3})$ .

#### REFERENCES

- Belger, M. Eigenvalue distribution of invariant linear second order elliptic differential operators with constant coefficients. To appear in Zeitschr. f. Anal. u. Anwendgn., Lpzg., 1992.
- [2] BERARD, P.H. Spectres et groups cristallographiques I: Domain euclidiens. Invent. math., 58 (1980) 179-199.
- [3] BIEBERBACH, L. Über die Bewegungsgruppen der Euklidischen Räume. 1. Abhdlg. Math. Ann., 70 (1911) 297-336; 2. Abhdlg. Die Gruppen mit endlichem Fundamentalbereich. Math. Ann., 72 (1912) 400-412.
- [4] BRÜNING, J. Zur Abschätzung der Spektralfunktion elliptischer Operatoren. Math. Zeitschr., 137, NI (1974) 75-85.
- [5] BURKHARDT, J. Die Bewegungsgruppen der Kristallographie. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Stuttgart, 1966.
- [6] COURANT, R. Über die Eigenwerte bei den Differentialgleichungen der mathematischen Physik. Math. Zeitschr., 7 (1920).
- [7] GÜNTHER, P. The Poisson formula for Euclidean space groups and some applications I. Zeitschr. f. Anal. u. ihre Anwdgn., 1 (1982) 12-23.
- [8] GÜNTHER, P. Über eine funktionsgleichung für den gitterrest. Math. Nachr., 76 (1977) 5-27.
- [9] GÜNTHER, P. Gitterpunktprobleme in symmetrischen Riemannschen Räumen vom Rang 1. Math. Nachr., 9 (1980) 5-27.

- [10] GUILLEMIN, V. Some classical theorems in spectral theory revisited. Princenton University Press, 1978, Seminar on Singularities of Solutions.
- [11] HÖRMANDER, L. The spectral function of an elliptic operator. Acta Math., 121 (1968) 139-218.
- [12] IVRII, V.YA. The Weyl asymptotic formula for the Laplace-Beltrami Operator in Riemannian polyhedra and in domains with canonical boundary singularities. Soviet Math. Dokl., 33 (1986) 596-599.
- [13] KOBAYASHI, S., K. NOMIZU. Foundations of Differential Geometry. Interscience Publishers. Vol.I, 1963.
- [14] LANDAU, E. Ausgewählte Abhandlunden zur Gitterpunktlehre. DVW, Berlin, 1962.
- [15] LASUTKIN, W.F., D.YA. TERMAN. On the estimation of the remainder in the formula of H. Weyl. Funktional. Anal. i Prilozhen., 15 (1981) 81-82 (in Russian).
- [16] LAX, P.D., R.S. PHILLIPS. The asymptotic distribution of lattice points in euclidean and non-euclidean spaces. Journal of Functional Analysis, 46 (1982).
- [17] Melrose, R. Weyl's conjecture for manifolds with concave boundary. Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., 36, Amer. Math. Soc., à paraître, Providence 1980.
- [18] MÜLLER, W. Zetafunktion in Geometrie und Analysis. Abhdlg. d. Adw. d. DDR, Euler Festband. (1983), 57.
- [19] NOVAK, B. A remark on the theory of lattice points in ellipsoids II. Comm. Mathem. Univ. Carolinae, 9 (1968) 547-561.
- [20] SAFAROV, YU.G. Asymptotical spectrum of pseudo-differential operators with periodical bicharacteristics. Reports of scientific seminars LOMI, 152, Leningrad, Nauka, 1986 (in Russian).
- [21] WASILIEV, D.G. Asymptotical spectrum of boundary problems. Trudy Moscov. Mat. Obšč., 49 (1986) (in Russian).
- [22] WEYL, H. Über die asymptotische Verteilung der Eigenwerte. Göttingen Nachr., 1911, 110-117.
- [23] WEYL, H. Das asymptotische verteilungsgesetz der eigenwerte lin. part. digl. (mit anwdg. auf d. theorie d. hohlraumstrahlung). Math. Ann., 71 (1912) 441-479.
- [24] WEYL, H. Über das Spectrum der Hohlraumstrahlung. Journ. r. u. a. Math., 141 (1912).

- [25] Weyl, H. Das asymptot. verteilungsges. d. eigenschw. eines beliebig gestalteten elast. Körpers. Rendiconti del Circolo Mathematice di Palermo. 39 (1915).
- [26] WINTGEN, G. Zur darstellungstheorie der raumgruppen. Math. Ann., 118 (1941/43) 195-215.
- [27] WOLF, J.A. Spaces of Constant Curvature. New York, McGraw-Hill, 1967.

Sektion Mathematik Universität Leipzig Augustusplatz 10 0-7010 Leipzig GERMANY

Received 20.03.1992