

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

NOTE ON A PAPER OF ELABBASY AND HASSAN

E. M. Elabbasy, Sh. R. Elzeiny, T. S. Hassan

Communicated by I. D. Iliev

ABSTRACT. We give a correction to the proof of Theorem 2.3 in the paper of E. M. Elabbasy and T. S. Hassan, *Serdica Math. J.* **34** (2008), 531–542.

Introduction. In the paper [1], the authors established some new sufficient conditions for oscillation of all solutions of nonlinear neutral delay differential equations. The results extended and improved some of the well known results in the literature. They considered the first order neutral delay differential equation

$$(E) \quad [x(t) - q(t)x(t - \sigma(t))] + f(t, x(t - \tau(t))) = 0, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0 > 0,$$

subject to the conditions

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma, \tau &\in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+), \quad \mathbb{R}^+ = (0, \infty), \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \sigma(t)) &= \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - \tau(t)) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34K15, 34C10.

Key words: Oscillations, first order, neutral delay differential equations.

$$(1.6) \quad q \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+), \quad 0 \leq q_1 \leq q(t) \leq q_2 \leq 1,$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad f \in C[t_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty), \quad uf(u) \geq 0.$$

Moreover, there exist

$$(1.8) \quad p \in C([t_0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^+), \quad \text{and} \quad g \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}),$$

such that

$$(1.9) \quad g'(u) \geq 0, \quad f(t, u) \geq p(t)g(u), \quad \text{and} \quad |g(u) - u| \leq M|u|^{1+r},$$

for $u \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, $\epsilon > 0$, $M \geq 0$, $r > 0$, $ug(u) > 0$ for $u \neq 0$.

E. M. Elabbasy et al. [1] proved that if $\tau(t) = \tau > 0$,

$$0 < \frac{1}{a} \leq \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0,$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} p(t) \exp \left(a \int_{t-\sigma+\tau}^t p(s)ds \right) dt = \infty,$$

then every solution of equation (E) oscillates.

Remark 1.1. In page 538, line 5 of paper [1], the authors assumed that the limits of integration are T and N , where

$$T \leq N \text{ such that } 0 < N - \tau < T.$$

Unfortunately, τ takes very small value ($\tau \rightarrow 0$). So, if N tends to infinity, also T will tend to infinity, and the limits of integration become incorrect (please, see the *R. H. S* of the inequality in page 539, line 3, in [1], and (2.10)). Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.3, in [1] is incorrect.

We present the correct proof in the section below.

2. The correct proof. In this section, we prove Theorem 2.3 in the paper of E. M. Elabbasy and T. S. Hassan [1].

Proof. Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [1], one obtains the following estimate

$$\lambda(t)A(t) - \frac{1}{2}p(t) \int_{t-\tau}^t \lambda(s)ds \geq \frac{1}{2}p(t)A(t),$$

where

$$A(t) = \exp \left(a \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds \right).$$

Integrating from T to N where $N > T + \tau$ yields

$$\int_T^N \lambda(t)A(t)dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_T^N \left(p(t) \int_{t-\tau}^t \lambda(s)ds \right) dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_T^N p(t)A(t)dt.$$

Interchanging the order of integration, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^N \left(p(t) \int_{t-\tau}^t \lambda(s)ds \right) dt &\geq \int_T^{N-\tau} \left(\lambda(s) \int_{s-\tau}^s p(t)dt \right) ds \\ &\geq \int_T^{N-\tau} \left(\lambda(t) \int_{s-\tau}^s p(s)ds \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\int_T^N \lambda(t)A(t)dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_T^{N-\tau} \left(\lambda(t) \int_{s-\tau}^s p(s)ds \right) dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_T^N p(t)A(t)dt.$$

Now, we denote

$$B(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds.$$

Then

$$(i) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{2a} \leq B(t) \leq 1,$$

as

$$\int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds \leq 2, \quad (\text{see [1], page 537}).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} A(t) &= \exp\left(a \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds\right) \geq a \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds = B(t), \quad \text{where } a \geq \frac{1}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(ii) \quad A(t) \geq B(t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq T,$$

and since

$$1 \leq a \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds \leq 2a,$$

then

$$(iii) \quad e \leq A(t) = \exp\left(a \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds\right) \leq e^{2a} = k.$$

From (i), (ii), (iii) we obtain

$$(iv) \quad 0 < e - 1 = k_2 \leq A(t) - B(t) \leq e^{2a} - \frac{1}{2a} = k_1.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T^N \lambda(t)A(t)dt - \int_T^{N-\tau} \lambda(t)A(t)dt + \int_T^{N-\tau} \lambda(t)A(t)dt \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_T^{N-\tau} \left(\lambda(t) \int_{s-\tau}^s p(s)ds \right) dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_T^N p(t)A(t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left[\int_T^N \lambda(t)A(t)dt - \int_T^{N-\tau} \lambda(t)A(t)dt \right] + \int_T^{N-\tau} \lambda(t)[A(t) - B(t)]dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_T^N p(t)A(t)dt,$$

and therefore

$$\int_{N-\tau}^N \lambda(t)A(t)dt + \int_T^{N-\tau} \lambda(t)[A(t) - B(t)]dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_T^N p(t)A(t)dt.$$

From (iii), (iv) we obtain

$$k \int_{N-\tau}^N \lambda(t)dt + k_1 \int_T^{N-\tau} \lambda(t)dt \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_T^N p(t)A(t)dt,$$

and

$$\ln \frac{z(N-\tau)}{z(N)} - \frac{k_1}{k} (\ln(z(N-\tau)) - \ln(z(T))) \geq \frac{1}{2k} \int_T^N p(t) \exp \left(a \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s)ds \right) dt.$$

Since, $z(t) > 0$ (as in [1], page 537), we obtain by (2.10) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z(t-\tau)}{z(t)} = \infty,$$

which contradicts the fact that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{z(t-\tau)}{z(t)} < \infty \text{ is bounded for } t > T.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. M. ELABBASY, T. S. HASSAN. Oscillation of nonlinear neutral delay differential equations. *Serdica Math. J.* **34**, 3 (2008), 531–542.

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science

Mansoura University

Mansoura 35516, Egypt

e-mail: emelabbasy@mans.edu.eg (E. M. Elabbasy)

e-mail: tshassan@mans.edu.eg (T. S. Hassan)

Al-Baha University

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

P. O. Box 1034

e-mail: shrelzeiny@yahoo.com (Sh. R. Elzeiny)

Receive December 19, 2014

Revised October 25, 2015