

I-FIXED NOT I-CRITICAL VERTICES*

Vladimir Dimitrov Samodivkin

If a vertex x of a graph G is i -fixed and incident only to bridges, then x is i -critical.

1. Introduction. For a graph theory terminology not presented here we follow [2]. All graphs discussed here are finite and undirected with no loops or multiple edges. We denote the vertex set and the edge set of a graph G by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. If $X \subseteq V(G)$ then $\langle X, G \rangle$ is the induced subgraph of G with the vertex set X . For a vertex v of G its neighborhood $N(v, G)$ is $\{x \in V(G) | vx \in E(G)\}$ and its closed neighborhood $N[v, G]$ is $N(v, G) \cup \{v\}$. For a set $S \subseteq V(G)$, its open neighborhood $N(S, G)$ is the set of all vertices adjacent to any vertex in S , and its closed neighborhood $N[S, G]$ is $N(S, G) \cup S$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *dominating set* of a graph G if $N[S, G] = V(G)$. The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G . A dominating set S is an *independent dominating set* (*id-set*) if no two vertices in S are adjacent, that is, S is an independent set. The *independent domination number* $i(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum cardinality of an *id-set* of G . A set S of vertices in a graph G is a *vertex neighborhood set* (n -set) if $G = \bigcup_{v \in S} \langle N[v, G], G \rangle$. The *vertex neighborhood number* $n_0(G)$ of G is the minimum cardinality of an n -set.

We shall employ e to represent an element, either a vertex or an edge, of a graph G and t to be the cardinality of a set of elements with some prescribed property. Then a t -set is a set with that property. Following *Sampathkumar* ([2], pp. 291), an element e of G is:

- (i) t -critical if $t(G - e) \neq t(G)$;
- (ii) t^+ -critical if $t(G - e) > t(G)$;
- (iii) t^- -critical if $t(G - e) < t(G)$;
- (iv) t -fixed if e belongs to every t -set;
- (v) t -free if e belongs to some t -set but not to all t -sets;
- (vi) t -totally free if e belongs to no t -set.

Sampathkumar and Neerlagi [3] have studied the relationship among such types of elements when $t = \gamma$ or $t = n_0$. Here we shall be concerned with the independent domination number. For a graph G we define:

- $\mathcal{I}(G)$ - the set of all i -sets of G ;
- $I(G) = \{x \in V(G) | x \text{ belongs to some } i\text{-set of } G\}$.

*Math. Subject Classification: 05C69

$$\begin{aligned}
IN(G) &= \{x \in V(G) | x \text{ is } i\text{-totally free}\}; \\
IK(G) &= \{x \in V(G) | x \text{ is } i\text{-fixed}\}; \\
I_0(G) &= \{x \in V(G) | x \text{ is } i\text{-free and } i(G-x) = i(G)\}; \\
I_{-1}(G) &= \{x \in V(G) | x \text{ is } i\text{-free and } i(G-x) = i(G) - 1\}; \\
IK_p(G) &= \begin{cases} \{x \in V(G) | i(G-x) = i(G) + p\} & \text{for } p \geq 1 \\ \{x \in IK(G) | i(G-x) = i(G) + p\} & \text{for } p \leq 0 \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

We shall begin with two lemmas.

Lemma A ([2]). *Let G be a graph of an order at least two and $x \in V(G)$. Then $i(G) - 1 \leq i(G-x) \leq |V(G)| - 1$.*

Lemma 1. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices. Then $IK(G) = \bigcup_{p=-1}^{n-2} IK_p(G)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in V(G)$. Then by Lemma A, it follows that:

$$(1) \quad i(G-x) = i(G) + r \text{ for some } r, -1 \leq r \leq n-2.$$

If $x \in IK(G)$, then by (1) $x \in \bigcup_{p=-1}^{n-2} IK_p(G)$. Let now $x \in IK_p(G)$. Hence by (1) : $-1 \leq p \leq n-2$. Let $p \geq 1$. Then every id -set M of G such that $x \notin M$ is an id -set of $G-x$. Hence $|M| \geq i(G-x) > i(G)$ and then x is i -fixed.

By the above definitions and lemmas we have:

Proposition 2. *For a graph G of an order at least two:*

- 1) $I(G) = I_{-1}(G) \cup I_0(G) \cup IK(G)$.
- 2) $\{x \in V(G) | i(G-x) = i(G)\} = IN(G) \cup I_0(G) \cup IK_0(G)$.
- 3) $\{x \in V(G) | x \text{ is } i\text{-free}\} = I_{-1}(G) \cup I_0(G)$.
- 4) $\{x \in V(G) | x \text{ is } i^-\text{-critical}\} = I_{-1}(G) \cup IK_{-1}(G)$.

Proposition 3. *Let G be a graph, $|V(G)| \geq 2$ and $x \in V(G)$.*

- 1) x is i -fixed if and only if $N(x, G) \subseteq IN(G)$.
- 2) Let x be $i^-\text{-critical}$. Then $N(x, G) \subseteq IN(G-x)$.
- 3) Let $x \in IK_0(G)$. Then x is incident to no pendant edge. If $Q \in \mathcal{I}(G-x)$ then $Q \cup \{x\}$ is an id -set of G .

Proof. 1) Let x be i -fixed. Then for every $M \in \mathcal{I}(G) : M \cap N(x, G) = \emptyset$. Hence $N(x, G) \subseteq IN(G)$.

Let $N(x, G) \subseteq IN(G)$. Then x belongs to every i -set. Hence x is i -fixed.

2) Let $M \in \mathcal{I}(G-x)$. Then $|M| = i(G) - 1$ and therefore $M \cap N(x, G) = \emptyset$. Hence $N(x, G) \subseteq IN(G-x)$.

3) Let $xy \in E(G)$. Suppose $N(y, G) = \{x\}$. Let $M \in \mathcal{I}(G-x)$. Hence $y \in M$ and then M is an id -set of G with $|M| = i(G-x) = i(G)$. Therefore $M \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ and $x \notin M$ - a contradiction. So, $\deg(y, G) > 1$.

Suppose $N(x, G) = \{y\}$. Let $M \in \mathcal{I}(G)$. Then $x \in M, y \notin M$ and $|M| > 1$. Hence $N[M - \{x\}, G] = V(G) - \{x, y\}$ - otherwise x is $i^-\text{-critical}$. But then $S = (M - \{x\}) \cup \{y\} \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ and $x \notin S$ - a contradiction. So, $\deg(x, G) > 1$.

Since $x \in IK_0(G)$, if $Q \in \mathcal{I}(G-x)$ then $|Q| = i(G-x) = i(G)$ and $M \cap N(x, G) = \emptyset$. Hence $Q \cup \{x\}$ is an id -set of G .

Theorem 4. Let G_1 and G_2 be vertex disjoint graphs, $x_j \in V(G_j)$, $j = 1, 2$ and $G = (G_1 \cup G_2) + x_1x_2$. If $x_1 \in IK_0(G)$, then $x_1 \in IK_0(G_1)$ and $x_2 \in IN(G) \cap IN(G_2)$.

Proof. Let $x_1 \in IK_0(G)$ and $i(x_1, G) = \min\{|M| \mid M \text{ is an } id\text{-set of } G \text{ and } x_1 \in M\}$. By Proposition 3: $x_2 \in IN(G)$ and $deg(x_j, G) > 1$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then:

$$(2) \quad i(G) = i(G - x_1) = i(G_1 - x_1) + i(G_2)$$

and

$$(3) \quad i(G) = i(x_1, G_1) + i(G_2 - x_2).$$

Suppose $x_1 \in I_0(G_1) \cup IN(G_1)$. Then by (2): $i(G) = i(G_1) + i(G_2)$. Let $x_1 \notin M_1 \in \mathcal{I}(G_1)$ and $M_2 \in \mathcal{I}(G_2)$. Then $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ is an *id*-set of G and $|M| = i(G_1) + i(G_2) = i(G)$. Hence $M \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ and $x_1 \notin M$ - a contradiction.

Suppose $x_1 \in I_{-1}(G_1) \cup IK_{-1}(G_1)$. Then by (2) and (3): $i(G) = i(G_1) + i(G_2) - 1$ and $i(G) = i(G_1) + i(G_2 - x_2)$. Hence $i(G_2 - x_2) = i(G_2) - 1$ and then $x_2 \in I_{-1}(G_2) \cup IK_{-1}(G_2)$. Let $M_j \in \mathcal{I}(G_j - x_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. By Proposition 3 the set $L = M_1 \cup M_2 \cup \{x_2\}$ is an *id*-set of G with $|L| = i(G)$. Hence $L \in \mathcal{I}(G)$ and $x_1 \notin L$ - a contradiction.

So, $x_1 \in IK_p(G_1)$ for some $p \geq 0$. Now, by (2) and (3) it follows that $i(G) = i(G_1) + i(G_2) + p$ and $i(G) = i(G_1) + i(G_2 - x_2)$. Hence $i(G_2 - x_2) = i(G_2) + p$. Suppose $x_2 \notin IN(G_2)$. Let then $x_2 \in M_2 \in \mathcal{I}(G_2)$ and $M_1 \in \mathcal{I}(G_1 - x_1)$. Hence $M = M_1 \cup M_2$ is an *id*-set of G with $|M| = i(G_1) + p + i(G_2) = i(G)$ and then $M \in \mathcal{I}(G)$. But $x_1 \notin M$ - a contradiction. Hence $x_2 \in IN(G_2)$ and then $i(G_2 - x_2) = i(G_2)$. So $p = 0$ and we have the result.

Corollary 5. Let a vertex x of a graph G be incident only to bridges. Then $x \notin IK_0(G)$.

Proof. Suppose $x \in IK_0(G)$. Let e_1, \dots, e_k be the edges which are incident to x . Because of Proposition 3 - $k > 1$. Let $G = H_0$ and H_i be the component of $H_{i-1} - e_i$ which contains x , where $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$. By Theorem 4 we have that $x \in IK_0(H_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$. Since x is an endvertex of H_{k-1} , by Proposition 3 follows that $x \notin IK_0(H_{k-1})$. So we have a contradiction.

Corollary 6. For every tree T of order $n \geq 2$: $IK_0(T) = \emptyset$.

Example. For $n \geq 12$, let S_n be a graph defined as follows: $V(S_n) = \{a, b, c, i_1, \dots, i_7, j_{11}, \dots, j_n\}$ and $E(S_n) = \{i_1i_2, i_1i_3, ai_2, \dots, ai_5, i_4i_7, i_5i_7, bi_6, bi_7, i_7j_{11}, cj_{11}, \dots, cj_n\}$. It is easy to see that a cutvertex $a \in IK_0(S_n)$.

Counterexample. In [1] (see also [2] pp.292), it is claimed that if a cutvertex x of G is γ -fixed then x is γ^+ -critical. Note that this is false. It is easy to see that for a graph S_n , $n \geq 12$, the cutvertex a is γ -fixed and $\gamma(G - a) = \gamma(G)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BAUER, F. HARARY, J. NIEMINEN, C.L. SUFFEL. Domination alteration sets in graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, **47** (1983), 153-161.
- [2] T. W. HAYNES, S. T. HEDETNIEMI, P. J. SLATER. Domination in graphs (Advanced topics). New York, Marcel Dekker, 1998.
- [3] E. SAMPATHKUMAR, P. S. NEERLAGI. Domination and neighborhood critical, fixed, free and totally free points. *Sankhya*, **54** (1992), 403-407.

V.D.Samodivkin
University of Architecture and Civil Engineering
1, Hr. Smirnenski Blv.
1421 Sofia, Bulgaria
e-mail: vlsam_fte@uacg.acad.bg

***I*-ФИКСИРАНИ НЕ *I*-КРИТИЧНИ ВЪРХОВЕ**

Владимир Димитров Самодивкин

Ако връх на граф е *i*-фиксиран и е инцидентен само с мостове, то той е *i*-критичен.