

**МАТЕМАТИКА И МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКО ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ, 2004**  
**MATHEMATICS AND EDUCATION IN MATHEMATICS, 2004**  
*Proceedings of the Thirty Third Spring Conference of  
the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians*  
*Borovets, April 1–4, 2004*

**APPROXIMATION BY OPERATORS OF CAO-GONSKA  
TYPE  $G_{s,n}$  AND  $G_{s,n}^*$ . DIRECT AND CONVERSE  
THEOREMS**

Teodora D. Zapryanova

The aim of this paper is to establish equivalence between the approximating rate of a linear process and the appropriate Peetre K-functional. A general method is applied (developed by Z. Ditzian and K. Ivanov) for proving converse inequalities.

**Introduction.** Let  $f \in \mathbf{C}[-1, 1]$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$G_{s,n}(f, x) = \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\cos(\arccos x + v)) K_{s,n}(v) dv,$$

$$K_{s,n} = c_{n,s} \left( \frac{\sin(nv/2)^{2s}}{\sin(v/2)} \right), \quad \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K_{s,n}(v) dv = 1.$$

$$L(f, x) = \frac{1}{2} f(1)(x+1) + \frac{1}{2} f(-1)(1-x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1.$$

We consider the sequence of operators  $G_{s,n}^* = G_{s,n} + L - G_{s,n} \circ L$ .

For a normed linear space  $S$  and a dense subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  induced by the operator  $D$  and given by  $Y = \{f \in X; Df \in X\}$  the Peetre  $K$ -functional is defined for  $f \in X$  by  $K(f, t) = \inf_{g \in Y} (\|f - g\|_X + t \|Df\|_X)$ ,  $t > 0$ .

Generally,  $D$  can be thought as a differential operator, for instance  $Df = f'$ ,  $Df = \tilde{f}'$ ,  $Df = \varphi f'$ . In many situation in which we have a sequence of a family of uniformly bounded operators, i.e.,  $Q_n : X \rightarrow X$  with  $\|Q_n\| \leq M$ , it is proved the equivalence  $K(f, \lambda(n)) \sim \|f - Q_n f\|$ .

We consider the operators  $H_1(g(x)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (1 - x^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d}{dx}(g(x))$ ,  $H = (H_1)^2$  and  $I$  – the identity.

Let for  $f \in \mathbf{C}[-1, 1]$   $\|f\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max \{|f(x)| : -1 \leq x \leq 1\}$ .

For a normed space  $X = \mathbf{C}[-1, 1]$  and a subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  induced by the operator  $H$  and given by

$$Y = \{f \in X : Hf \in X\}$$

we define the Peetre K-functional for  $f \in X$  by

$$\mathbf{K}\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = \inf_{g \in Y} \left\{ \|f - g\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|Hg\|\right\}.$$

Apart from the basic space  $X$  we define also the space  $Z \subset Y \subset X$

$$Z = \{f \in X : \|H^2 f\| < \infty\}.$$

For a normed space  $X = \mathbf{C}[-1, 1]$  and a subspace  $Y_1$  of  $X$  induced by the operator  $H(I - L)$  and given by

$$Y_1 = \{f \in X : H(I - L)f \in X\}$$

we define the related  $K$ -functional by  $K^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = \inf_{g \in Y_1} \left\{ \|f - g\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|H(I - L)g\|\right\}$ .

We will prove that

$$\|G_{s,n}f - f\| \sim K\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \|G_{s,n}^*f - f\| \sim K^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \quad \text{for } s \geq 2.$$

### 1. Operator $G_{s,n}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $f \in \mathbf{C}[-1, 1]$ , then for the operator  $G_{s,n}$  and Peetre K-functional  $\mathbf{K}\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$ , defined as above, we have*

$$\|G_{s,n}f - f\| \sim \mathbf{K}\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad s \geq 2.$$

**Proof.** Let  $f(\cos(\arccos x + v)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(\cos(t + v)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h(t + v)$ , where  $\arccos x = t$ . Then  $H_1 f(\cos(\arccos x + v)) = -h'(t + v)$ .  $H_1(H_1 f(\cos(\arccos x + v))) = h'(t + v)$ . For  $H = (\stackrel{\text{def}}{H_1})^2$  we obtain  $Hf(\cos(\arccos x + v)) = h'(t + v)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} G_{s,n}f &= \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\cos(\arccos x + v)) c_{n,s} \left( \frac{\sin(nv/2)}{\sin(v/2)} \right)^{2s} dv \\ &= \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t + v) K_{s,n}(v) dv = \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t - v) K_{s,n}(v) dv = \pi^{-1} h * K. \end{aligned}$$

We will utilize Theorem 3.1 (see [1, p. 69]) with

$$Q_\alpha f = G_{s,n}f \equiv \widetilde{G_{s,n}h} = \pi^{-1} K * h, \quad Df = Hf = h'', \quad \Phi(f) = \|H^2 f\| = \|h^{(4)}\|,$$

$$\text{where } K(v) = K^1(v) = \begin{cases} c_{n,s} \left( \frac{\sin(nv/2)}{\sin(v/2)} \right)^{2s}, & |v| \leq \pi \\ 0, & |v| > \pi \end{cases}.$$

Using Lemma 6.3 (see [1, p. 80]) as

$$\pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} K(v) dv = 1, \quad \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v K(v) dv = 0,$$

$$\pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v^2 K(v) dv = c(s)n^{-2} = \beta_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right),$$

$$\pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v^3 K(v) dv = 0, \quad \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v^4 K(v) dv = c(s)n^{-4} = \gamma_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

we obtain for  $h^{(4)} \in \mathbf{C}[-1, 1]$  i.e.  $f \in Z$

$$(1.1) \quad \left\| h - \frac{1}{\pi} K * h + \frac{\beta_1(\frac{1}{n})}{2!} h' \right\| \leq \frac{\gamma_1(\frac{1}{n})}{4!} \|h^{(4)}\|.$$

This inequality will serve for (3.4) (see [1, p. 69, Th. 3.1]) with

$$\lambda_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{\gamma_1(\frac{1}{n})}{4!} = O(n^{-4}), \quad \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = \frac{\beta_1(\frac{1}{n})}{2!} = O(n^{-2}).$$

Let

$$G_{s,n} f \equiv \widetilde{G_{s,n}} h = \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t-v) K(v) dv = \pi^{-1} h * K,$$

$$\widetilde{G_{s,n}}^m h \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\pi^m} \underbrace{K * K * \dots * K}_m, \quad K^m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K * K^{m-1}.$$

### Assertion 1.2.

$$\frac{1}{n} \|H_1 G_{s,n}^m f\| \leq \text{const} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \|f\|.$$

This estimation is like (6.10) (see [1, p. 82] ) with  $\delta = m^{-\frac{1}{2}}$  and implies

$$(1.2) \quad \|HG_{s,n}^{2m} f\| = \|(H_1 G_{s,n}^m)(H_1 G_{s,n}^m f)\| \leq cnm^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|H_1 G_{s,n}^m f\| \leq cn^2 m^{-1} \|f\|.$$

This will serve for (3.6) (see [1, p. 69, Th. 3.1]).

We also have

$$(1.3) \quad \|H^2 G_{s,n}^k f\| \leq cn^2 m^{-1} \|HG_{s,n}^{2m} f\|, \quad \text{for } k \geq 4m.$$

The inequality (1.3) will serve for (3.5) (see [1, p. 69, Th. 3.1]).

We have (3.3) (see [1, p. 69, Th. 3.1]) with  $M = 1$ .

To match the conditions of Theorem 4.1 (see [1, p. 72] it is sufficient to show that  $A \frac{\lambda(n)}{\lambda_1(n)} = c \frac{n^2}{m}$  is satisfied with  $A < 1$  i.e.  $A \frac{O(n^{-2})}{O(n^{-4})} = c \frac{n^2}{m}$  is satisfied with  $A < 1$  i.e

$$(1.4) \quad A = cm^{-1} < 1$$

which is true for big  $m$ .

From (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) we obtain that (see [1, p. 72, Th. 4.1])

$$K\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = \inf_g \left\{ \|f - g\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|Hg\|\right\} \leq c \|G_{s,n}f - f\|.$$

To obtain the inequality in the opposite direction (see [1, p. 72, Th. 3.4]), we apply the following assertion.

**Assertion 1.3.**

$$\|G_{s,n}f - f\| \leq cn^{-2} \|Hf\|.$$

**Proof.** Expanding  $h(t+v)$  by Taylor's formula we have

$$h(t+v) - h(t) = v \cdot h'(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+v} h''(s)(t+v-s)ds,$$

hence,

$$G_{s,n}f - f = \pi^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t+v) - h(t) K_{s,n}(v) dv = \pi^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_t^{t+v} h''(s)(t+v-s) ds K_{s,n}(v) dv.$$

Then

$$\left\| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_t^{t+v} h''(s)(t+v-s) ds K_{s,n}(v) dv \right\| \leq \|h''(s)\| \left\| \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_t^{t+v} (t+v-s) ds K_{s,n}(v) dv \right\|.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_t^{t+v} (t+v-s) ds K_{s,n}(v) dv &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( (t+v)s - \frac{s^2}{2} \right) \Big|_t^{t+v} K(v) dv \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[ \left( (t+v)^2 - \frac{(t+v)^2}{2} \right) - \left( (t+v)t - \frac{t^2}{2} \right) \right] K(v) dv \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{v^2}{2} K(v) dv = c(s)n^{-2} = \beta_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = \lambda \left( \frac{1}{n} \right), \quad s \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

**2. Operator  $G_{s,n}^*$ .** In contrast to the operator  $G_{s,n}$ , the operator  $G_{s,n}^*$  is not positive.

Note that  $G_{s,n}^* - I = (G_{s,n} - I)(I - L)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** If  $f \in \mathbf{C}[-1, 1]$ , then for the operator  $G_{s,n}^*$  and Peetre K-functional  $K^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$  given as above we have

$$\|G_{s,n}^*f - f\| \sim K^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right), \quad s \geq 2.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$\|G_{s,n}^* f - f\| = \|(G_{s,n} - I)(I - L)f\|.$$

Recall that  $\|(G_{s,n} - I)F\| \sim K\left(F, \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$  (see Theorem 1.1). Hence

$$(2.1) \quad \|G_{s,n}^* f - f\| \sim K((I - L)f, \frac{1}{n}) = \inf_g \left\{ \|(I - L)f - g\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|Hg\| \right\}.$$

If we choose  $g = (I - L)g_1$  we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|G_{s,n}^* f - f\| &\leq c \inf_{g_1} \left\{ \|(I - L)(f - g_1)\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|H(I - L)g_1\| \right\} \\ &\leq 2c \inf \left\{ \|f - g_1\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|H(I - L)g_1\| \right\} = cK^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Observing that  $H(ax + b) = -ax$  i.e.  $H(ax + b) = -(ax + b) + b$ , we have  $HLg(x) = -(Lg)(x) + (Lg)(0)$ .

Choosing  $g = g_1 - Lf$  in (2.1), we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|G_{s,n}^* f - f\| &\sim \inf_{g_1} \left\{ \|(I - L)f - g_1 + Lf\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|Hg_1 - HLf\| \right\} \\ &= \inf_{g_1} \left\{ \|f - g_1\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|Hg_1 - HLf\| \right\} \\ &= \inf_{g_1} \left\{ \|f - g_1\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|H(I - L)g_1 - HL(f - g_1)\| \right\} \\ &= \inf_{g_1} \left\{ \|f - g_1\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|H(I - L)g_1 + L(f - g_1)(x) - (L(f - g_1))(0)\| \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{g_1} \left\{ \|f - g_1\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|H(I - L)g_1\| - \frac{1}{n^2} \|L(f - g_1)(x) - (L(f - g_1))(0)\| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As the operator  $L : L^\infty \rightarrow L^\infty$  is continuous, then we have

$$\|L(f - g_1)(x) - L(f - g_1)(0)\| \leq c \|f - g_1\| \ll n^2 \|f - g_1\|.$$

Thus the expression (2.3) for sufficiently large  $n$  is

$$\geq c \inf_{g_1} \left\{ \|f - g_1\| + \frac{1}{n^2} \|H(I - L)g_1\| \right\} = cK^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

Therefore

$$(2.4) \quad \|G_{s,n}^* f - f\| \geq cK^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right)$$

From (2.2) and (2.4) we obtain

$$cK^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \leq \|G_{s,n}^* f - f\| \leq cK^*\left(f, \frac{1}{n^2}\right).$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Z. DITZIAN, K. IVANOV. *Journal D'analyse mathematique*, **61** (1993), 61–110.

Teodora D. Zapryanova  
Varna University of Economics  
77, Knyaz Boris I Blvd.  
9002 Varna, Bulgaria  
e-mail: teodorazap@abv.bg

## ПРИБЛИЖАВАНЕ С ОПЕРАТОРИ ОТ ТИП НА КАО-ГОНСКА $G_{S,N}$ И $G_{S,N}^*$ . ПРАВИ И ОБРАТНИ ТЕОРЕМИ

Теодора Д. Запрянова

Целта на тази статия е да установи еквивалентност между ръста на приближаване с линейния оператор от типа на Као-Гонска и подходящо дефиниран  $K$ -функционал. Приложен е общ метод (развит от З. Дитциан и К. Иванов) за доказване на обратни неравенства.