# МАТЕМАТИКА И МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКО ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ, 2005 MATHEMATICS AND EDUCATION IN MATHEMATICS, 2005 Proceedings of the Thirty Fourth Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians Borovets, April 6–9, 2005

## ON AN INTERGRID TRANSFER OPERATOR BETWEEN NONNESTED ISOPARAMETRIC SPACES

#### Todor D. Todorov

A second order elliptic problem on a curved domain is considered. An isoparametric approach is used for obtaining a sequence of regular triangulations. A bijection from one approximating domain to another is found. On this basis a new intergrid transfer operator is constructed. The stability and the saturation property of the considered operator are proved. The application of the new operator for obtaining of a nonnested multigrid method is discussed.

**Introduction.** Multigrid methods are among the most efficient methods for solving elliptic partial differential equations. In the most papers multigrid methods, which use a sequence of nested finite element spaces are considered. However, there are many problems where one has to deal with nonnested spaces, e.g., in the cases of some mixed finite element methods, some  $C^1$  finite elements, non-quasi-uniform or degenerate triangulations, noninherited bilinear forms, curved boundaries etc. Many authors consider nonnested multigrid methods for various elliptic problems [1–3, 8–15, etc.]

The determining of the intergrid transfer operator is a basic step for constructing of nonnested multigrid methods. The intergrid transfer operator should have two important properties to be applied for compiling of a multigrid algorithm, namely stability and saturation property. These properties are thoroughly proved in the present paper.

Most of the known results are obtained by the piecewise linear elements, i.e. lowest rate of convergence is obtained. Even those of the authors who consider problems on curved domains have not used up to now isoparametric approach for finding a multigrid solution (see for example [2, 10, 11]). However, there exist many problems, where the usage of linear elements leads to divergence of the approximate solutions (see, e.g., [4, Chapter 8.2]). Therefore the application of the isoparametric approach for constructing of multigrid methods is well motivated.

Let  $\Omega$  be a curved bounded domain in  $\mathbf{R}^2$  with a Lipschitz-continuous boundary  $\Gamma$ . As usual, we denote the real Sobolev space for n nonnegative integer by  $H^n(\Omega)$ . The space  $H^n(\Omega)$  is provided with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{n,\Omega}$  and the seminorm  $|\cdot|_{n,\Omega}$ . Let us define the space  $H_0^1(\Omega) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \mid v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma\}$ , the energy scalar product  $a(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} a(x)\nabla u \cdot \nabla v + b(x)uv \, dx$  and the  $L^2$  inner product  $(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$ ,  $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Assume that  $a \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $b, f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  and there exist positive constants  $\underline{a}, \overline{a}, \underline{b}, \overline{b}$ , such that  $\underline{a} \leq a(x) \leq \overline{a}, \underline{b} \leq b(x) \leq \overline{b}, \, \forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ .

**2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 65N30, 65F10 202

Consider the following model problem:

$$(\mathcal{P}) : \begin{cases} \text{Find a function } u \in \mathbf{V} \text{ that satisfies} \\ a(u,v) &= (f,v), \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{V} \end{cases}$$

Isoparametric finite element discretizations. Let  $\tau_0$  be an initial triangulation of the domain  $\Omega$  with finite elements of degree  $m \geq 2$ . We assume that all finite elements in the triangulation  $\tau_0$  of the domain  $\Omega$  are isoparametric equivalent to one finite element  $(\hat{K}, \hat{P}, \hat{\Sigma})$  called finite element of reference with  $\hat{K} = \{(\hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2) \mid \hat{x}_1 \geq 0, \hat{x}_2 \geq 0, \hat{x}_1 + \hat{x}_2 \leq 1\}$  is the canonical 2-simplex;  $\hat{P} = P_m(\hat{K})$ , where  $P_m$  is the space of all polynomials of degree not exceeding m;  $\hat{\Sigma} = \left\{ \hat{a} = (\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2) \mid \hat{a}_1 = \frac{i}{m}, \hat{a}_2 = \frac{j}{m}; i + j \leq m; i, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \right\}$  is the set of all Lagrangian interpolation nodes.



Fig. 1. The finite element  $K \in \tau_{k-1}$  and the corresponding  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m^2} K_i$ ,  $K_i \in \tau_k$ , m = 3

An arbitrary finite element  $K \in \tau_0$  is defined by  $K = F_K(\hat{K})$ , where  $F_K \in \hat{P}^2$  is an invertible transformation. We use not only straight elements but also isoparametric elements with one curved side for getting a good approximation of the boundary  $\Gamma$ . Thus we obtain a domain  $\Omega_0 = \bigcup_{K \in \tau_0} K$ , which approximates the domain  $\Omega$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , be the set of all nodes of the triangulation  $\tau_k$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_k = \mathcal{N}_k \setminus \mathcal{N}_{k-1}$ . Let  $\Omega_k$  be an approximate domain of the domain  $\Omega$  corresponding to the triangulation  $\tau_k$  and  $\Gamma_k = \partial \Omega_k$ . The triangulation  $\tau_k$  is obtained from  $\tau_{k-1}$  by dividing each element into  $m^2$  elements of degree m (see Fig. 1). We can see that the nodes in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_k \cap \Gamma$  do not belong to  $\Gamma_{k-1}$  (see Fig. 1).

We define the unique affine map  $\widetilde{F}_K$  satisfying the conditions  $\widetilde{F}_K(\hat{a}_i) = a_{i,K}, \ K \in \tau_k$ , where  $a_{i,K}, \ i = 1, 2, 3$ , are the vertex nodes of the finite element K. Denote  $\widetilde{K} = \widetilde{F}_K(\hat{K}), \ h_K = \operatorname{diam}(\widetilde{K}), \ \forall K \in \tau_k, \ h_k = \max_{K \in \tau_k} h_K$ .

203

Suppose that he following hypotheses are valid.

**H1** The boundary  $\Gamma$  is piecewise  $C^{m+1}$ .

**H2** The triangulation  $\tau_k$  consists of isoparametric elements of degree  $m \geq 2$ .

**H3** The triangulation  $\tau_k$  is *m*-regular in the sense of Ciarlet and Raviart [6].

**H4** The sets of the nodes of two consecutive triangulations are connected by  $\mathcal{N}_{k-1} \subset \mathcal{N}_k$  (see Fig. 1).

**H5** All the nodes  $a_i \in \mathcal{N}_k \cap \Gamma_k$  belong to  $\Gamma$  too. Usually the nodes  $a_i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_k \cap \Gamma_k$  do not belong to  $\Gamma_{k-1}$ .

Define a finite element space  $\mathbf{V}_k$  associated with a triangulation  $\tau_k$  by  $\mathbf{V}_k = \{v \in C(\Omega_k) \mid v(x) = 0, x \in \Gamma_k; v_{|K} \in P_K, K \in \tau_k\}$ , where  $P_K = \{p : K \to \mathbf{R} \mid p = \hat{p} \circ F_K^{-1}, \hat{p} \in \hat{P}\}$ .

Since the domain  $\Omega$  is bounded there exists an open set  $\Omega$ , which satisfies  $\Omega \subset \Omega$ ,  $\Omega_k \subset \widetilde{\Omega}$  for all considered triangulations  $\tau_k$ . We define the function  $\widetilde{a}(x)$  as a smooth extension of the coefficient a(x) and functions  $\widetilde{b}(x)$ ,  $\widetilde{f}(x)$  as continuous extensions of f(x), b(x) on  $\widetilde{\Omega}$ . Then, we introduce the approximating bilinear form by

$$a_k(u,v) = \int_{\Omega_k} \widetilde{a}(x) \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \widetilde{b}(x) uv \, dx \,, \ \forall u,v \in \mathbf{H}^1_0(\Omega_k).$$

The  $L^2$ -scalar product in  $\mathbf{V}_k$  is defined by  $(u, v)_k = \int_{\Omega_k} uv \, dx$ ,  $\forall u, v \in \mathbf{V}_k$ . Suppose that the following hypothesis is valid.

**H6** The bilinear forms  $a_k(\cdot, \cdot)$  are uniformly  $\mathbf{V}_k$ -elliptic.

**Prolongations and restrictions.** In this section we define an intergrid transfer operator  $I_k$  from  $\mathbf{V}_{k-1}$  to  $\mathbf{V}_k$ . The operator  $I_k$  plays an essential role in the nonnested multigrid algorithm. For that reason we investigate the properties of  $I_k$ .

We introduce the map  $\chi_k : \Omega_{k-1} \longrightarrow \Omega_k$  by  $\chi_k = \Phi_k^{-1} \circ \Phi_{k-1}$ , where  $\Phi_k : \Omega_k \longrightarrow \Omega_k$  is the map defined by Lenoir [7]. Define the integrid operator  $I_k : \mathbf{V}_{k-1} \longmapsto \mathbf{V}_k$  by  $I_k v = v \circ \chi_k^{-1}$ .

Consider the eigenpairs  $(\lambda_i^{(k)}, \psi_i^{(k)})$  of the problem

$$a_k(\psi_i^{(k)}, v) = \lambda_i^{(k)}(\psi_i^{(k)}, v)_k, \ \forall v \in \mathbf{V}_k,$$

 $i = 1, 2, ..., N_k = \dim \mathbf{V}_k$ . Without loss of generality we suppose that the eigenfunctions are normalized by the following way

$$(\psi_i^{(k)}, \psi_j^{(k)})_k = \delta_{ij}, \ a_k(\psi_i^{(k)}, \psi_j^{(k)}) = \lambda_i^{(k)}\delta_{ij},$$

where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker symbol. For each v in  $\mathbf{V}_k$  we have the following representation  $v = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} c_i \psi_i^{(k)}$ . Define the norm  $||| \cdot |||_{s,k}$  by  $|||v|||_{s,k}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_k} c_i^2 \left(\lambda_i^{(k)}\right)^s$ ,  $s \ge 0$ . Obviously, in  $\mathbf{V}_k$  we have

(1) 
$$||| \cdot |||_{0,k} = || \cdot ||_{0,\Omega_k} \text{ and } ||| \cdot |||_{1,k} = ||| \cdot |||_k \simeq || \cdot ||_{1,\Omega_k},$$

where  $||| \cdot |||_k$  is the usual energy norm.

Further we shall use the classical denotations for the seminorms  $|F|_{n,\infty,K}$  of a *n*-times differentiable map F [4, Section 4.3] and shall drop the index  $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^2; \mathbf{R}^2)$  of the norms of the Fréchet derivatives. In other words we shall write only  $\|\cdot\|$  instead of  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbf{R}^2; \mathbf{R}^2)}$ . 204 Theorem 1. Stability. Let the hypotheses H1-H6 be valid, then

$$|||I_k v|||_{s,k} \lesssim |||v|||_{s,k-1}, \ \forall v \in \mathbf{V}_{k-1}, \ k \in \mathbf{N}, \ s = 0, 1$$

**Proof.** We estimate  $I_k v$  locally in terms of Sobolev norms

$$||I_k v||_{0,\chi_K(K)}^2 = \int_{\chi_K(K)} (I_k v)^2 \, dy = \int_K v^2 |J_{\chi_k}(x)| \, dx \lesssim |J_{\chi_k}|_{0,\infty,K} ||v||_{0,K}^2$$

 $\forall K \in \tau_{k-1}$  and  $y = \chi_k(x)$ . Let  $\mathcal{K} = \Phi_{k-1}(K)$ . Since H2 holds, we have (see e.g. [7])

(2) 
$$|J_{\chi_k}|_{0,\infty,K} \lesssim |J_{\Phi_K^{-1}}|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{K}} |J_{\Phi_{k-1}}|_{0,\infty,K} = O(1),$$

(3) 
$$|\chi_k^{-1}|_{0,\infty,\chi_k(K)} \lesssim |\Phi_k|_{0,\infty,\chi_k(K)} |\Phi_{k-1}^{-1}|_{0,\infty,\mathcal{K}} = O(1).$$

Then  $||I_k v||_{0,\infty,\Omega_k} \lesssim ||v||_{0,\infty,\Omega_{k-1}}$ . We continue with the local estimate of the first Sobolev seminorm of  $I_k v$  on  $\chi_k(K)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} |I_k v|^2_{1,\chi_K(K)} &= \int_{\chi_K(K)} (\nabla I_k v)^2 \, dy \lesssim \int_K ||D(v \circ \chi_k^{-1})||^2 \, |J_{\chi_k}(x)| \, dx \\ &\lesssim |J_{\chi_k}|_{0,\infty,K} |\chi_k^{-1}|^2_{0,\infty,\chi_k(K)} \int_K ||Dv||^2 \, dx \, \lesssim \, |v|^2_{1,K}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain  $||I_k v||_{s,\chi_K(K)} \lesssim ||v||_{s,K}$ , s = 0, 1. Summing over all elements  $K \in \tau_{k-1}$ we have for s = 0, 1

$$||I_k v||_{s,\Omega_k} = \left(\sum_{K \in \tau_{k-1}} ||I_k v||_{s,\chi_K(K)}^2\right)^{1/2} \lesssim \left(\sum_{K \in \tau_{k-1}} ||v||_{s,K}^2\right)^{1/2} = ||v||_{s,k-1}.$$
  
Using the norm equivalence (1) we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.** Saturation property. Let the triangulations  $\tau_k$  satisfy the conditions H1-H6. Then the inequality

(4) 
$$|||w - I_k v|||_k \lesssim |||w \circ \chi_k - v|||_{k-1}, \quad \forall v \in \mathbf{V}_{k-1}, \quad \forall w \in \mathbf{V}_k$$

holds.

**Proof.** Replacing w by  $z + I_k v, z \in \mathbf{V}_k$ , we transform (4) into

(5) 
$$|||z|||_k \lesssim |||z \circ \chi_k|||_{k-1}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{V}_k$$

For verifying the inequality (4), it is enough to prove (5). We use the same approach as in the proof of Theorem 1. Using again (2) and (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |z|_{1,\chi_{k}(K)}^{2} &= \int_{\chi_{k}(K)} (\nabla z)^{2} dy \lesssim \int_{K} \left\| D(z \circ \chi_{k}) D\chi_{k}^{-1} \right\|^{2} |J_{\chi_{k}}(x)| dx \\ \lesssim \|J_{\chi_{k}}\|_{0,\infty,K} |\chi_{k}^{-1}|_{0,\infty,\chi_{k}(K)}^{2} \int_{K} \|D(z \circ \chi_{k})\|^{2} dx \lesssim \|z \circ \chi_{k}\|_{1,K}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$205$$

Summing over all elements  $K \in \tau_{k-1}$ , we have  $|z|_{1,\Omega_k} \lesssim |z \circ \chi_k|_{1,\Omega_{k-1}}$ .

Applying the norm equivalence (1) we get the validity of (5).  $\Box$ 

#### REFERENCES

[1] S. BRENNER. Multigrid methods for parameter dependent problems.  $M^2AN$ , **30**, 3 (1996), 265–297.

[2] J. H. BRAMBLE, J. E. PASCIAK, J. XU. The analysis of multigrid algorithms with nonnested spaces or noninherited quadratic forms. *Math. Comp.*, **56** (1991) 1–34.

[3] J. H. BRAMBLE, X. ZHANG. Multigrid methods for the biharmonic problem discretized by conforming  $C^1$  finite elements on nonnested meshes. *Numer. Func. Anal. and Optimiz.*, **1** (1995) 835–846.

[4] P. CIARLET. The finite element method for elliptic problems. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

[5] P. CIARLET, P. A. RAVIART. Interpolation theory over curved elements with applications to finite element methods. *Comp. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng.*, **1** (1972) 217–249.

[6] P. CIARLET, P. A. RAVIART. The combined effect of curved boundaries and numerical integration in isoparametric finite element methods. In: The mathematical foundations of the finite element method with applications to partial differential equations (Ed. A. K. Aziz), Academic Press, New York, 1972, 409–474.

[7] M. LENOIR. Optimal isoparametric finite elements and error estimates for domains involving curved boundaries. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **23** (1986), 562–580.

[8] V. JOHN, P. KNOBLOCH. On non-nested multilevel solvers for the Stokes and Navier-Stokes equations. In: *Proceedings of the 18th GAMM-Seminar Leipzig 2002*, 77–95.

[9] V. JOHN, P. KNOBLOCH, G. MATTHIES, L. TOBISKA. Non-nested multi-level solvers for finite element discretizations of mixed problems. *Computing*, **68** (2002), 313–341.

[10] N. NEUSS, C. WIENERS. Criteria for the approximation property for multigrid methods in nonnested spaces. Preprint 2000-52, SFB 359, Heidelberg.

[11] R. SCOTT, S. ZHANG. Higher-dimensional nonnested multigrid methods. *Math. Comp.*, 58 (1992), 457–466.

[12] S. ZHANG. Optimal-order nonnested multigrid methods for solving finite element equations I: On quasi-uniform meshes. *Math. Comp.*, **55** (1990), 23–36.

 [13] S. ZHANG. Optimal-order nonnested multigrid methods for solving finite element equations II: On non-quasi-uniform meshes. *Math. Comp.*, 55 (1990), 439–450.

[14] S. ZHANG. Optimal-order nonnested multigrid methods for solving finite element equations III: On degenerate meshes. *Math. Comp.*, **64** (1995), 23–49.

[15] S. ZHANG, Z. ZHANG. Treatments of discontinuity and bubble functions in the multigrid method. *Math. Comp.*, **66** (1997), 1055–1072.

Department of Mathematics Technical University 5300 Gabrovo, Bulgaria e-mail: paralaxview@yahoo.com. 206

#### ВЪРХУ ЕДИН МЕЖДУМРЕЖОВ ТРАНСФЕРЕН ОПЕРАТОР ОПРЕДЕЛЕН ЧРЕЗ НЕВЛОЖЕНИ ИЗОПАРАМЕТРИЧНИ ПРОСТРАНСТВА

### Тодор Д. Тодоров

Разгледна е моделна задача за елиптичен оператор от втори ред върху криволинейна област с непрекъсната по Липшиц граница. За получаване на редица от регулярни триангулации е използван изопараметричен подход. Установена е биекция между две последователни трианглуции в тази редица. На тази база е въведен нов междумрежов трансферен оператор. За този оператор са доказани свойствата устойчивост и сатурация, които са необходими за конструиране на невложен многомрежов метод.