# MATEMATИKA И MATEMATИЧЕСКО ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ, 2008 MATHEMATICS AND EDUCATION IN MATHEMATICS, 2008 Proceedings of the Thirty Seventh Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians Borovetz, April 2–6, 2008

## CLASSIFICATION OF THE BINARY SELF-DUAL [44,22,8] CODES WITH AUTOMORPHISMS OF ORDER 7\*

### Nikolay Ivanov Yankov, Radka Peneva Russeva

All binary self-dual [44,22,8] codes with an automorphism of order 7 are classified up to equivalence. There are exactly three nonequivalent codes with automorphism of order 7 with 3 independent cycles, and 154 nonequivalent codes with automorphism of order 7 with 6 independent cycles.

1. Introduction. A linear [n,k] code C is a k-dimensional subspace of the vector space  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is the finite field of q elements. The elements of C are called codewords, and the (Hamming) weight of a codeword is the number of its non-zero coordinates. The minimum weight d of C is the smallest weight among all non-zero codewords of C, and C is called an [n, k, d] code. A matrix whose rows form a basis of C is called a generator matrix of this code. The weight enumerator W(y) of a code C is given by  $W(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i y^i$ , where  $A_i$  is the number of codewords of weight i in C. Two binary codes are equivalent if one can be obtained from the other by a permutation of coordinates. The permutation  $\sigma \in S_n$  is an automorphism of C, if  $C = \sigma(C)$ . The set of all automorphisms of C forms the automorphism group Aut(C) of C. The dual code of C is  $C^{\perp} = \{u \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid (u, v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in C\}$  and  $C^{\perp}$  is a linear [n, n-k] code. If  $C \subseteq C^{\perp}$ , then C is termed self-orthogonal, and if  $C = C^{\perp}$ , then C is self-dual. If C is self-dual, then  $k = \frac{1}{2}n$ . We call a binary code self-complementary if it contains all the ones vector. Every binary self-dual code is self-complementary.

In this paper, we consider optimal binary self-dual [44, 22, 8] codes. The self-dual codes with these parameters have been constructed as double circulant and bordered double circulant codes and via automorphisms [3]. All odd primes p dividing the order of the automorphism group of a self-dual [44, 22, 8] code are 11, 7, 5, and 3. The codes with automorphism of order 11 and 5 are classified in [9], [10], [5], [4]. The codes with automorphisms of order 3 with 6 independent 3-cycles are classified in [5]. In this paper, we give a classification of the self-dual [44, 22, 8] codes with automorphism of order 7. To do that we apply the method developed by Huffman and Yorgov [2], [7].

**2.** Construction Method. Let C be a binary self-dual code of length n = 44 with an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 7 with exactly c independent 7-cycles and f = 44 - 7c fixed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94B05.

Key words: Self-dual codes, automorphisms, optimal codes.

The research is partially supported by Shumen Univesity under Project No 8/2007.

points in its decomposition. We may assume that:  $\sigma = (1, 2, ..., 7)(8, 9, ..., 14) \dots (7(c-1)+1, 7(c-1)+2, ..., 7c)$ , and say shortly that  $\sigma$  is of type 7 - (c, f).

**Theorem 1.** (see [8]) Let the self-dual code C have an automorphism of type 7-(c, f). If  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer not less than x, then one has:

1) 
$$7c \ge \sum_{i=0}^{3c-1} \left\lceil \frac{d}{2^i} \right\rceil$$
, where the sign of equality does not occur if  $d \le 2^{3c-2} - 2$ ;  
2) if  $f > c$ , then  $c \ge \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{f-c}{2}-1} \left\lceil \frac{d}{2^i} \right\rceil$ , where the sign of equality does not occur if  $d \le 2^{\frac{f-c}{2}-2} - 2$ .

Denote the cycles of  $\sigma$  by  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \ldots, \Omega_c$ , and the fixed points by  $\Omega_{c+1}, \ldots, \Omega_{c+f}$ . Let  $F_{\sigma}(C) = \{v \in C \mid v\sigma = v\}$  and  $E_{\sigma}(C) = \{v \in C \mid wt(v|\Omega_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, i = 1, \ldots, c+f\},$ where  $v|\Omega_i$  is the restriction of v on  $\Omega_i$ . Then, we have  $C = F_{\sigma}(C) \oplus E_{\sigma}(C)$  (see [2]).

Clearly,  $v \in F_{\sigma}(C)$  iff  $v \in C$  and v is constant on each cycle. Let  $\pi : F_{\sigma}(C) \to \mathbb{F}_{2}^{c+f}$  be the projection map where if  $v \in F_{\sigma}(C)$ , then  $(v\pi)_{i} = v_{j}$  for some  $j \in \Omega_{i}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, c+f$ . It is well-known that  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$  is a binary  $[c+f, \frac{c+f}{2}]$  self-dual code [2].

Denote by  $E_{\sigma}(C)^*$  the code  $E_{\sigma}(C)$  with the last f coordinates deleted. So  $E_{\sigma}(C)^*$  is a self-orthogonal binary code of length 7c. For v in  $E_{\sigma}(C)^*$ , we let  $v|\Omega_i = (v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_6)$ correspond to the polynomial  $v_0 + v_1 x + v_6 x^6$  from P, where P is the set of even-weight polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x]/(x^7-1)$ . Thus, we obtain the map  $\varphi : E_{\sigma}(C)^* \to P^c$ . P is a cyclic code of length 7 with generating polynomial x + 1 and check polynomial  $1 + x + \cdots + x^6$ .

It is well-known [2], [8] that  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$  is a *P*-module and for each  $u, v \in \varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$  it holds.

(1) 
$$u_1(x)v_1(x^{-1}) + u_2(x)v_2(x^{-1}) + \dots + u_c(x)v_c(x^{-1}) = 0.$$

Denote  $h_1(x) = (x^3 + x + 1)$  and  $h_2(x) = (x^3 + x^2 + 1)$ . As  $x^6 + x^5 + \dots + x + 1 = h_1(x)h_2(x)$ , we have  $P = I_1 \oplus I_2$ , where  $I_j$  is an irreducible cyclic code of length 7 with parity-check polynomial  $h_j(x), j = 1, 2$ . Thus,  $M_j = \{u_i \in \varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*) \mid u_i \in I_j, i = 1, 2\}$  is code over the field  $I_j, j = 1, 2$ . It is well-known [8] that  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*) = M_1 \oplus M_2$  and  $\dim_{I_1} M_1 + \dim_{I_2} M_2 = c$ . The polynomials  $e_1(x) = x^4 + x^2 + x + 1$  and  $e_2(x) = x^6 + x^5 + x^3 + 1$  generate the ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  defined above. Any nonzero element of  $I_j = \{0, e_j, xe_j \dots, x^6e_j\}, j = 1, 2$  generates a binary cyclic [7, 4, 3] code. Since the minimum weight of the code C is 8, every vector of  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$  must contain at least 2 nonzero coordinates.

The following result is a particular case of Theorem 3 from [7]:

**Theorem 2.** Let the permutation  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of the self-dual codes C and C'. A sufficient condition for equivalence of C and C' is that C' can be obtained from C by application of a product of some of the following transformations:

a) ubstitution  $x \to x^t$  for t = 1, 2, ..., 6 in  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$ ;

b) multiplication of the j-th coordinate of  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$  by  $x^{t_j}$  where  $t_j$  is an integer,  $0 \le t_j \le 6$ , for j = 1, 2, ..., c;

c) permutation of the first c cycles of C;

d) permutation of the last f coordinates of C.

Since the transformation  $x \to x^3$  from Theorem 2 a) interchange  $e_1(x)$  into  $e_2(x)$  and 240

vice versa then, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\dim M_1 \leq \dim M_2$ . Once chosen,  $M_1$  determines  $M_2$  and the whole  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$ . Thus, we can examine only  $M_1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$ , respectively  $\mathcal{D}$ , be the largest subcode of  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$  whose support is contained entirely in the left c, respectively, right f, coordinates. Suppose  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  have dimensions  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ , respectively. Let  $k_3 = k - k_1 - k_2$ . Then, there exists a generator matrix for  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$  of the form

(2) 
$$G_{\pi} = \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & D \\ E & F \end{pmatrix}$$

where B is a  $k_1 \times c$  matrix with  $gen(\mathcal{B}) = [B \ O]$ , D is a  $k_2 \times f$  matrix with  $gen(\mathcal{D}) = [O \ D]$ , O is the appropriate size zero matrix, and  $[E \ F]$  is a  $k_3 \times n$  matrix. Let  $\mathcal{B}^*$  be the code of length c generated by B,  $\mathcal{B}_E$  – the code of length c generated by the rows of B and E,  $\mathcal{D}^*$  – the code of length f generated by D, and  $\mathcal{D}_F$  – the code of length f generated by the rows of D and F. Then, we have the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** With the notation of the previous paragraph:

(*i*)  $k_3 = \operatorname{rank}(E) = \operatorname{rank}(F),$ (*ii*)  $k_2 = k + k_1 - c = \frac{c+f}{2} + k_1, and$ (*iii*)  $\mathcal{B}_E^{\perp} = \mathcal{B}^*$  and  $\mathcal{D}_F^{\perp} = \mathcal{D}^*.$ 

**3.** Optimal Self-Dual Codes of Length 44 with automorphisms of order 7. The weight enumerators of self-dual codes of length 44 are known [1]:

 $W_{44,1}(y) = 1 + (44 + 4\beta)y^8 + (976 - 8\beta)y^{10} + (12289 - 20\beta)y^{12} + \cdots$ for  $10 \le \beta \le 122$  and  $W_{44,2}(y) = 1 + (44 + 4\beta)y^8 + (1232 - 8\beta)y^{10} + (10241 - 20\beta)y^{12} + \cdots$ for  $10 \le \beta \le 154$ .

Codes exist for  $W_{44,1}$  when  $\beta = 10, \ldots, 68, 70, 72, 74, 82, 86, 90, 122$  and for  $W_{44,2}$  when  $\beta = 0, \ldots, 56, 58, \ldots, 62, 64, 66, 68, 70, 72, 74, 76, 82, 86, 90, 104, 154$  (see [3]).

**Theorem 3.** If C is a binary self-dual [44, 22, 8] code having an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 7, then  $\sigma$  is of type 7 – (3, 23) or 7 – (6, 2).

**Proof.** If *C* is a binary self-dual [44, 22, 8] code having an automorphism  $\sigma$  of order 7, then  $\sigma$  can be of type 7 – (1, 37), 7 – (2, 30), 7 – (3, 23), 7 – (4, 16), 7 – (5, 9), and 7 – (6, 2). Since d = 8, the cases 7 – (1, 37) and 7 – (2, 30) are impossible due to condition 1) of Theorem 1. The cases 7 – (4, 16) and 7 – (5, 9) are contradictions to the assertion 2) of the same Theorem.

**3.1.** Codes with automorphism of type 7-(3,23). Let *C* be a binary self-dual [44, 22, 8] code having an automorphism of type 7 – (3, 23). Then, the subcode  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$  is a binary [26, 13,  $\geq$  4] self-dual code, dim  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*) = 3$ , and we have dim  $M_1 + \dim M_2 = 3$ . When dim  $M_2 = 3$ , we have that  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$  is a [3,3,1] code and this leads to a contradiction with the minimum weight 8 in *C*. When dim  $M_2 = 2$ , we can choose the  $\begin{pmatrix} e_2 & 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

generator matrix in the form  $gen(\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)) = \begin{pmatrix} e_2 & 0 & e_2 \\ 0 & e_2 & e_2 \\ e_1 & e_1 & e_1 \end{pmatrix}$ . The subcode  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$  is a binary [26, 13, > 4] self-dual code. According to Lemma 1, we can take its respect to

is a binary  $[26, 13, \ge 4]$  self-dual code. According to Lemma 1, we can take its generator 241

matrix in the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 23 \\ \hline B & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D \\ \hline E & F \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $k_1 + k_2 + k_3 = 13$ ,  $k_2 = k_1 + 10$ . So we have

two cases:

**Case I:** 
$$k_1 = 1, k_2 = 11, k_3 = 1$$
. Then,  $B = (110), gen \pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) = \begin{pmatrix} 110 & 0 \\ 0 & D \\ \hline E & F \end{pmatrix}$ 

where the matrix D generates a  $[23, 11, \ge 8]$  binary self-orthogonal code. Since C is selfcomplimentary, E = (111), F = (1...1). All optimal [23, 11] binary self-orthogonal codes are classified in [6]. There is a unique such code – the doubly-even subcode of the Golay code with weight enumerator  $W_{23,11} = 1 + 506y^8 + 1288y^{12} + 253y^{16}$ . So we obtain one possible generator matrix for the code C and it has minimum weight 6.

**Case II:**  $k_1 = 0, k_2 = 10, k_3 = 3.$  gen  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 0 & D \\ \hline E & F \end{array}\right)$ , where the matrix D generates a [23, 10,  $\geq 8$ ] binary self-orthogonal code. There are three such codes [6] –  $A_{23,10,1}, A_{23,10,2}$ , and  $A_{23,10,3}$  with generator matrices of the form  $G_{A_{23,10,i}} = (I_{10}|G^{(i)})$  and all are with minimum distance 8.

Since  $k_3 = 3$ , the matrix  $E = I_3$ , and the matrix F is determined by the condition (iii) of Lemma 1. For each of the three codes there is a unique possibility for the matrix F, up to equivalence. In this way we obtain the codes  $C_{44,i}$ , i = 1, 2, 3. Their weight distributions and order of automorphism group |Aut(C)| are presented in Table 1. All of these codes have automorphism of order 5 and are well-known [4].

Table 1: All codes with automorphism of type 7 - (3, 23)

Code	Weight Distibution	$\beta$	Aut(C)
$C_{44,1}$	$W_{44,1}$	122	$2^{15} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 = 3251404800$
$C_{44,2}$	$W_{44,2}$	104	$2^{13} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$
$C_{44,3}$	$W_{44,2}$	154	$2^{16} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2 = 786839961600$

**Theorem 4.** There are exactly three nonequivalent binary [44, 22, 8] codes having an automorphism of type 7 - (3, 23).

**3.2.** Codes with automorphism of type 7-(6,2). Let C be a binary self-dual [44, 22, 8] code having an automorphism of type 7 - (6,2).  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$  is a binary [8,4] self-dual code equivalent either to  $C_2^4$  or  $H_8$ , generated by the matrices  $G_1 = (I_4|I_4)$  and  $G_2 = (I_4|A + I_4)$ , where  $I_4$  is the 4 × 4 identity matrix and A is the all-one 4 × 4 242

matrix. Then, dim  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*) = 6$  and so dim  $M_1 + \dim M_2 = 6$ . We have four cases: dim  $M_1 = 0, 1, 2,$  and 3.

**Case I:** dim  $M_1 = 0$ . Then, dim  $M_2 = 6$  and we can take for its generator matrix the  $6 \times 6$  diagonal matrix  $diag(e_2, e_2, \dots, e_2)$ . This matrix leads to vectors with weight 4 in C, witch is a contradiction to the minimum weight 8 in C.

**Case II:** dim  $M_1 = 1$ . We have  $gen(\varphi(M_1) = (e_1, e_1, e_1, e_1, e_1, e_1)$ . If  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) \cong C_2^4$ , then we have not obtained any optimal [44, 22] codes. When  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) \cong H_8$ , we found only one code with  $W_{44,1}$  for  $\beta = 38$  and |Aut(C)| = 8064.

**Case III:** dim  $M_1 = 2$ . We can take  $gen(M_1) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 \\ 0 & e_1 & \alpha_5 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \beta_3 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\alpha_i \in \{0, e_1\}, i = 1, \dots, 5$ , and  $\beta_i \in I_1, i = 1, 2, 3$ . Actually, after considering all such matrices, it turns out that there is only one possibility up to equivalence  $-\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & e_1 & 0 & e_1 & e_1 \\ 0 & e_1 & 0 & e_1 & 0 & e_1 \end{pmatrix}$ . We fix the generator matrix of  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$  and consider all possibilities for  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$ . For  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) \cong C_2^4$  we found one code with weight distribution  $W_{44,2}$  for  $\beta = 56$  and  $|Aut(C)| = 2688 = 2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ . When  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) \cong H_8$ , we found one code with weight distribution  $W_{44,1}$  for  $\beta = 59$  and  $|Aut(C)| = 43008 = 2^{11} \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ .

**Case IV:** dim  $M_1$  = dim  $M_2$  = 3. We have  $gen(M_1) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_3 \\ 0 & e_1 & 0 & \alpha_4 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 \\ 0 & 0 & e_1 & \alpha_5 & \beta_3 & \beta_4 \end{pmatrix}$ , where

 $\alpha_i \in \{0, e_1\}, i = 1, \dots, 5, \text{ and } \beta_i \in I_1, i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$  There are 18 nonequivalent such codes with minimum weight  $d \geq 8$ . We can fix the generator matrix for  $\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$  and consider all possibilities for  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$ :

- If  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) \cong H_8$ , then we have 64 nonequivalent codes with  $W_{44,1}$  for  $\beta = 10, 17, 24, 31, 38, 52, 122$ . The orders of their automorphism groups are given in Table 2. The code with  $\beta = 122$  is equivalent to the code  $C_{44,1}$ .

Aut(C)	7	14	28	42	56	84	112	126
Number of codes	11	29	4	6	1	1	1	1
	1.0.0			0 - 0		<b>H</b> 0 1 0	H 0 H 0	a15 a4 ±9 ±9
Aut(C)	168	252	336	672	1344	5040	5376	$2^{13} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2$

Table 2: Self-dual [44, 22, 8] codes for  $C_{\pi} \cong H_8$  and dim  $M_1 = 3$ .

- If  $\pi(F_{\sigma}(C)) \cong C_2^4$ , then we have 87 nonequivalent codes with  $W_{44,2}$  for  $\beta = 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 56, 154$ . The orders of their automorphism groups are presented in Table 3. The code with  $\beta = 154$  is equivalent to  $C_{44,3}$ .

Table 3: Self-dual [44, 22, 8] codes for  $C_{\pi} \cong C_2^4$  and dim  $M_1 = 3$ .

Aut(C)	7	14	28	42	56	112	336
Number of codes	42	28	3	1	2	1	2
Aut(C)	672	1344	2688	10752	43008	$2^{16} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2$	
Number of codes	1	2	1	1	2	1	

**Theorem 5.** There are exactly 155 nonequivalent [44, 22, 8] codes having an automorphism of order 7.

#### REFERENCES

[1] J. H. CONWAY, N. J. A. SLOANE. A new upper bound on the minimal distance of self-dual codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **36** (1991), 1319–1333.

[2] W. C. HUFFMAN. Automorphisms of codes with application to extremal doubly-even codes of lenght 48, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **28** (1982), 511–521.

[3] W. C. HUFFMAN. On the classification and enumeration of self-dual codes, *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, **11** (2005), 451–490.

[4] ST. BUYUKLIEVA. New extremal self-dual codes of lengths 42 and 44, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **43** (1997), 1607–1612.

[5] ST. BUYUKLIEVA. Some optimal self-orthogonal and self-dual codes, *Discrete Mathematics*, **287** (2004), 1–10.

[6] I. BOUYUKLIEV, S. BOUYUKLIEVA, T. A. GULLIVER, P. R. J. OSTERGARD. Classification of optimal binary self-orthogonal codes up to length 24, *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, **59** (2006), 33–87.

[7] V. Y. YORGOV. A method for constructing inequivalent self-dual codes with applications to length 56, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **33** (1987), 77–82.

[8] V. Y. YORGOV. Binary self-dual codes with an automorphism of odd order, *Problems Inform. Transm.*, **4** (1983) 13–24 (in Russian).

[9] V. Y. YORGOV. New extremal singly-even self-dual codes of lenght 44, Proceedings of the Sixth Joint Swedish-Russian International Workshop on Information Theory (Molle, Sweden) (1993), 372–375.

[10] V. YORGOV, R. RUSSEVA. Two extremal codes of length 42 and 44, *Probl. Pered. Inform.*, **29** (1994), 385–388.

University of Shumen

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics 9700 Shumen, Bulgaria e-mail: jankov\_niki@yahoo.com; russeva@fmi.shu-bg.net

## КЛАСИФИКАЦИЯ НА ДВОИЧНИТЕ САМОДУАЛНИ [44,22,8] КОДОВЕ, ПРИТЕЖАВАЩИ АВТОМОРФИЗЪМ ОТ РЕД 7

#### Николай Иванов Янков, Радка Пенева Русева

Класифицирани са всички нееквивалентни двоични самодуални [44, 22, 8] кодове, притежаващи автоморфизми от ред 7. Съществуват точно три нееквивалентни кода с автоморфизъм от ред 7 с три независими цикъла и 154 нееквивалентни кода с автоморфизъм от ред 7 с шест независими цикъла.