

**AN ELEMENTARY PROOF OF THE LOCALIZED  
 SUBELLIPTIC ESTIMATES FOR NON-DEGENERATE  
 PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS OF PRINCIPAL  
 TYPE\***

**Petar Popivanov**

It is given a short elementary proof of the localized subelliptic estimates for non-degenerate pseudodifferential operators of principal type.

**1. Introduction.** There is a long history of the subelliptic estimates stated for the first time by Hörmander in [2] and proved by Hörmander, Egorov and Trèves (see [6], [7], [5]). The aim of this short note is to propose an elementary proof of the subelliptic estimates in the case of non-degenerate pseudodifferential operators of principal type. We remind of the reader that a classical pseudodifferential operator  $P \in L_{ce}^m \Omega$  with principal symbol  $p_m^0(x, \xi)$  is called operator of principal type if  $p_m^0(x, \xi) = 0, \xi \neq 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{\xi} p_m^0(x, \xi) \neq 0$ . Definitions, properties and detailed study of pseudodifferential operators can be found in [6], [7]. The operator  $P(x, D)$  is nondegenerate and of principal type if  $p_m^0(x, \xi) = 0, \xi \neq 0 \Rightarrow \nabla_{x, \xi} \Re p_m^0(x, \xi) \not\parallel \nabla_{x, \xi} \Im p_m^0(x, \xi)$ . We shall say that the pseudodifferential operator  $P(x, D) \in L_{ce}^m(\Omega)$  is subelliptic in  $\Omega$  if for each compact  $K \subset \Omega$  there exist constants  $C(K), s$  and  $\delta$  such that in the Sobolev spaces  $H_s$  the following inequality holds:

$$(1) \quad \|u\|_s \leq C_s(K) (\|Pu\|_{s-m+\delta} + \|u\|_{s-1}), \quad \forall C_0^\infty(K), \quad 0 \leq \delta < 1.$$

Due to Hörmander, Egorov and Trèves there exists a full characterization of the scalar subelliptic operators (1) via the algebraic properties of their principal symbol  $p_m^0(x, \xi)$ . The necessary and sufficient condition for the fulfilment of (1) is proved in several steps and by simplifying microlocally the symbol  $p_m^0$  to the normal form  $\xi_1 + i\xi_2 + iq(x, \xi)$ ,  $q(x, t\xi) = tq(x, \xi), \forall t \geq 1$  near to the point  $\rho^0 = (x_0, \xi^0), \xi^0 = (\xi_1^0, \dots, \xi_n^0) \neq 0, \xi_1^0 = \xi_2^0 = q(x_0, \xi^0) = \nabla_{x, \xi} q(x_0, \xi^0) = 0$ . Certainly, in this case  $m = 1, s = 1 - \delta$ .

The details of the above mentioned reduction could be found in Chapter VIII of [6]. The crucial result in proving of (1) for nondegenerate pseudodifferential operators of principal type can be formulated as follows:

**Theorem 1** (Egorov, Th. 4.3 from Chapter VIII of [6]). *Let  $Q = \{(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2, |t| \leq 1, |x| \leq 1\}$ . Then the estimate*

$$(2) \quad \lambda \|u\|_0 \leq C \|u'_t(t, x) + D_x + \lambda^{k+1} B(t, x)\|_0,$$

*$\forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q), \forall \lambda$  sufficiently large,  $C = \text{const} > 0$  and with  $B(t, x)$  – polynomial of order  $k$  having real coefficients is valid if and only if*

---

\*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35H20.

Key words: Pseudodifferential operator, subelliptic estimates.

(i)  $\partial_t B(t, x) \leq 0$

(ii) there exists a constant  $c_0 > 0$  and such that

$$\sum_{i+j \leq k-1} |\partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B(t, x)| \geq c_0 \text{ in } Q.$$

Certainly,  $D_x = \frac{1}{i} \partial_x$  in (2).

The necessary part of the proof of Theorem 1 is more or less simpler. Because of this fact we shall concentrate on the proof of the sufficiency part of Theorem 1.

**2. Proof of the sufficiency of Theorem 1.** 1. We shall divide the proof into several propositions.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $P = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + D_x + \lambda^{k+1} B$  satisfy the condition (i). Then

$$(3) \quad \|P^* u\| \leq \|Pu\|, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q),$$

where  $P^* = -\partial_t + D_x + \lambda^{k+1} B$  is the  $L_2(Q)$  adjoint operator of  $P = \partial_t + D_x + \lambda^{k+1} B$ .

**Proof.** We start from the identity

$$\|Pu\|_0^2 = \|P^*u\|_0^2 + \Re([C_1 u, u], u), \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q)$$

and  $C_1 = [P^*, P] = -2\lambda^{k+1} \partial_t B \geq 0$ . Therefore, (3) holds.

Put  $L_1 = \partial_t$ ,  $L_2 = -(\partial_x + i\lambda^{k+1} B)$ . Evidently,  $P = L_1 + iL_2$ . Consequently, the commutator  $[L_1, L_2] = -i\lambda^{k+1} \frac{\partial B}{\partial t}$ ,  $[L_1, [L_1, L_2]] = -i\lambda^{k+1} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t^2}$ ,  $[L_2, [L_1, L_2]] = +i\lambda^{k+1} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial t \partial x}$ . Then we shall use induction. Let  $L_{i\Gamma}$  stand for  $L_1$  or  $L_2$ . Then the repeated commutator  $[L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_{\Gamma-1}}, [L_1, L_2]] = \pm i\lambda^{k+1} \partial_t^{s'} \partial_x^{s''} B$ , where  $s'$  is the number of such  $i'_j$  for which  $i'_j = 1$  and  $s''$  is the number of such  $i''_j$ ,  $i''_j = 2$ ;  $s' \geq 1$ ,  $s' + s'' = \Gamma \geq 1$ . Having in mind that  $\text{ord } Q = k$  we conclude that the vector fields  $L_1, L_2$  form a nilpotent Lie algebra of rank  $k$ .

**Proposition 2.** The estimate

$$(4) \quad \lambda \|u\|_0 \leq \|Pu\|_0, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q)$$

and for each  $\lambda$  - sufficiently large is valid under the conditions (i), (ii).

Proposition 2 coincides with Egorov's Theorem 1.

Before proving (4) we shall make the following remark.

**Remark 1.** The estimate (4) can be localized in  $Q$ .

In fact, let  $V_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , be a finite open covering of the closed rectangle  $Q$  and  $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(V_j)$  form a partition of unity, i. e.  $\sum_{j=1}^s \varphi_j^2 = 1$  near  $Q$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi_j \leq 1$ . Assume that

$\lambda \|\psi\|_0 \leq \|P\psi\|_0$ ,  $\forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(V_j)$ ,  $\lambda \geq \lambda_0 \gg 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq s$ . Thus,  $\forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q)$  we have that

$$\lambda \|\varphi_j u\|_0 \leq \|P(\varphi_j u)\|_0 = \|u T_j \varphi_j + \varphi_j P u\| \leq \|\varphi_j P u\| + \|u\|_0 \|T_j \varphi_j\|_0,$$

where  $T_j = \partial_t \varphi_j + D_x \varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(V_j)$ .

The elementary inequality  $(a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$ ,  $a \geq 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$ , enables us to conclude

that

$$(5) \quad \lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^s \|\varphi_j u\|_0^2 \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^s \|\varphi_j P u\|_0^2 + 2sc^2 \|u\|_0^2, \quad c = \max_j \|T_j \varphi_j\|_0,$$

i. e.  $\lambda^2 \|u\|_0^2 \leq 2 \|P u\|_0^2 + \text{const} \|u\|_0^2$ ,  $\forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q)$  and for  $\lambda$  sufficiently large.

2. Suppose that  $(t_0, x_0) \in Q$ . According to (ii) one can find an open neighbourhood  $V_{(t_0, x_0)}$  of  $(t_0, x_0)$  and integers  $i(t_0, x_0), j(t_0, x_0)$  such that  $|\partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B| \geq c'_0 > 0$  in  $V_{(t_0, x_0)}$ . As  $V_{(t_0, x_0)}$  form an open covering of  $Q$  there exists a finite open covering  $\{V_\tau\}_{\tau=1}^s$  of  $Q$ . Put  $\varphi_\tau \in C_0^\infty(V_\tau)$  for the functions forming the corresponding partition of unity in  $Q$ . Having in mind that (4) is localizable in  $Q$  and  $|\partial_t^{i(\tau)+1} \partial_x^{j(\tau)} B| \geq c'_0 > 0$  in  $V_\tau$  we can assume without loss of generality that  $|\partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B| \geq c'_0 > 0$  everywhere in  $Q$  with some integers  $i, j, 0 \leq i+j \leq k-1$ . Put  $M = \max_{\substack{i,j \\ i+j \leq k-1}} \sup_Q |\partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B|^{\frac{1}{i+j+1}} \geq \text{const} > 0$ .

Consider now the following Cauchy problem in  $L_2(Q)$

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_h z_j = L_j z_j, & j = 1, 2, \quad h \in \mathbb{R}^1 \\ z_j|_{h=0} = v(t, x) \in C_0^\infty(Q). \end{cases}$$

In the Appendix of the paper we shall show that (6) possesses a unique solution  $z_j(h, t, x)$  written in the form  $z_j = e^{hL_j} v$ , i. e.  $\partial_h (e^{hL_j} v) = L_j e^{hL_j} v$ .

Moreover,  $\|e^{hL_j}\| = 1, j = 1, 2$ . We shall put everywhere  $hL_1, hL_2$  instead of  $L_1, L_2$  and we will obtain the following formula for the repeated commutators:

$$(7) \quad [hL_{i_1}, \dots, hL_{i_{\Gamma-1}}, [hL_1, hL_2]] = \pm i \lambda^{k+1} h^{\Gamma+1} \partial_t^{s'} \partial_x^{s''} B(t, x),$$

$s' + s'' = \Gamma \geq 1, \Gamma \leq k$ . The famous Campbell-Hausdorff formula on nilpotent Lie groups of rank  $k$  (see [1], [3], [4]) gives us that

$$(8) \quad e^{[L_{i_1}, \dots, L_{i_{\Gamma-1}}, [L_1, L_2]] h^{\Gamma+1}} = \prod e^{\pm hL_j}, \quad \Gamma \leq k$$

and in the right-hand side of (8) are participating finitely many factors  $e^{\pm hL_j}, j = 1, 2$  (may be huge amount of factors). Thus, (7) implies:

$$(9) \quad e^{\pm i \lambda^{k+1} h^{\Gamma+1} \partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B(t, x)} = \prod e^{\pm hL_j}, \quad i+j = \Gamma \geq 1.$$

Put now  $h = \frac{1}{M} \lambda^{-\frac{k+1}{\Gamma+1}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{h} = \lambda^{\frac{k+1}{\Gamma+1}} M, |h| \leq \text{const}$ , as  $\lambda \geq 1, \lambda^{k+1} h^{\Gamma+1} \partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B = \frac{\partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B}{M^{i+j+1}}$ , i. e.  $0 < c''_0 \leq \frac{|\partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B|}{M^{i+j+1}} \leq 1; 1 \leq \Gamma \leq k \Rightarrow \frac{k+1}{\Gamma+1} \geq 1$ . As either  $\partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B > 0$  in  $Q$  or  $\partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B < 0$  in  $Q$  it is evident from geometrical reasons that there exists a constant  $d_0 > 0$  and such that

$$(10) \quad \left| e^{\pm i \lambda^{k+1} h^{\Gamma+1} \partial_t^{i+1} \partial_x^j B} - 1 \right| \geq d_0 > 0.$$

**Remark 2.** Let  $A_p, p = 1, \dots, s$ , be bounded operators in  $L_2$ . Then

$$(11) \quad \|A_1 \dots A_s \psi - \psi\| \leq C \sum_{p=1}^s \|A_p \psi - \psi\|, \quad \forall \psi \in L_2(Q).$$

The proof is obvious but we shall verify it only for  $p = 3$  (induction could be used in the general case). In fact,  $A_1 A_2 A_3 \psi - \psi = A_1 A_2 (A_3 \psi - \psi) + A_1 A_2 \psi - \psi = A_1 A_2 (A_3 \psi - \psi) -$

$\psi) + A_1(A_2\psi - \psi) + A_1\psi - \psi$ , etc.

Combining (8), (9), (10), (11) we get

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} d_0 \|u\| &\leq \left\| \left( e^{[L_{i_1, \dots, L_{i_{\Gamma-1}}, [L_1, L_2]] h^{\Gamma+1}} - 1 \right) u \right\| \leq \\ &\leq C \sum \| (e^{\pm h L_j} - 1) u \|_0, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q) \end{aligned}$$

and the sum in the right-hand side of (12) is finite.

**Proposition 3**([3], [4]). *One can find a constant  $C > 0$  and such that*

$$(13) \quad \| (e^{h L_j} - 1) \psi \|_0 \leq C |h| \|L_j \psi\|_0, \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(Q), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

We shall prove in the Appendix that  $e^{h L_j}$  is unitarian operator in  $L_2$ , i. e.  $\|e^{h L_j}\| = 1$ . Consider now  $0 \leq \Gamma_j(h) = \| (e^{h L_j} - 1) \psi \|_0^2$ ,  $\Gamma_j(0) = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma'_j(h) &= (L_j e^{h L_j} \psi, e^{h L_j} \psi - \psi) + (e^{h L_j} \psi - \psi, L_j e^{h L_j} \psi) \\ &\Rightarrow |\Gamma'_j(h)| \leq 2 \| (e^{h L_j} - 1) \psi \|_0 \|L_j \psi\|_0 \\ &= 2 \sqrt{\Gamma_j(h)} \|L_j \psi\|_0 \Rightarrow \sqrt{\Gamma_j(h)} \leq |h| \|L_j \psi\|_0, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of  $h$ , (12), (13) and with some constant  $C > 0$ ,  $\|u\| \leq C|h|(\|L_1 u\| + \|L_2 u\|)$ ,  $\forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q)$ , i. e.

$$(15) \quad \|u\| \leq \text{const } \lambda^{-\frac{k+1}{\Gamma+1}} (\|L_1 u\|_0 + \|L_2 u\|_0), \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(Q).$$

The simple observation  $P + P^* = 2iL_2$ ,  $P - P^* = 2\partial_t$ , Proposition 1 and (15) complete the proof of Proposition 2. Thus Egorov's Theorem 1 is proved.

### Appendix.

1. Consider in  $L_2(Q)$  the Cauchy problem

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_h v = L_1 v = \partial_t v \\ v|_{h=0} = \psi, \quad \psi = \psi(t, x) \in C_0^\infty(Q). \end{cases}$$

Evidently,  $v(h, t, x) = \psi(t + h, x) = e^{h L_1} \psi(t, x) \Rightarrow$  for each  $h \in \mathbb{R}^1$  fixed,  $\|e^{h L_1} \psi\|_0 = \|\psi\|_0$ , i. e.  $\|e^{h L_1}\| = 1$ .

2. Consider now in  $L_2(Q)$

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_h v = L_2 v = \partial_x v + i\lambda^{k+1} B v \\ v|_{h=0} = \psi(t, x) \in C_0^\infty(Q). \end{cases}$$

The standard change  $\begin{cases} p = h + x \\ q = x \end{cases}$  in (17) transforms (17) into

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial q} + i\lambda^{k+1} B(t, q) v = 0 \\ v|_{q=p} = \psi(t, p), \end{cases}$$

i. e.  $v = e^{-i\lambda^{k+1} \int_p^q B(t, r) dr} \cdot A(t, p) \Rightarrow A(t, p) = \psi(t, p)$  and therefore  $v(h, t, x) = e^{h L_2} \psi(t, x) = \psi(t, x + h) e^{-i\lambda^{k+1} \int_{h+x}^x B(t, r) dr} \Rightarrow \|e^{h L_2} \psi\|_0 = \|\psi\|_0$  as  $B$  is real valued polynomial.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. P. SERRE. Lie Algebras and Lie groups, Lectures given at Harvard Univ., New York – Amsterdam, Benjamin, 1965.
- [2] L. HÖRMANDER. Pseudodifferential operators and non-elliptic boundary value problems. *Ann. of Math.*, **83** (1966), 129–209.
- [3] L. HÖRMANDER. Hypoelliptic second order differential operators. *Acta Math.*, **119**, (1967), 147–171.
- [4] YU. V. EGOROV. Nondegenerate pseudodifferential operators of principal type. *Math. Sbornik*, **82**, (1970), 323–342 (in Russian).
- [5] F. TRÈVES. A new method of proof of the subelliptic estimates. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **24**, (1971), 71–115.
- [6] L. HÖRMANDER. The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators, vol. I–IV. Springer Verlag, 1983–1985.
- [7] YU. V. EGOROV. Linear differential operators of principal type. Nauka, Moscow, 1984 (in Russian).

Petar Popivanov  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
1113 Sofia, Bulgaria  
e-mail: popivano@math.bas.bg

### ЕЛЕМЕНТАРНО ДОКАЗАТЕЛСТВО НА ЛОКАЛИЗИРАНИТЕ СУБЕЛИПТИЧНИ ОЦЕНКИ ЗА НЕИЗРОДЕНИ ПСЕВДОДИФЕРЕНЦИАЛНИ ОПЕРАТОРИ ОТ ГЛАВЕН ТИП

**Петър Попиванов**

Предложено е кратко елементарно доказателство на локализирана субелиптична оценка за неизродени оператори от главен тип.