MATEMATUKA И MATEMATUYECKO ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ, 2018 MATHEMATICS AND EDUCATION IN MATHEMATICS, 2018

Proceedings of the Forty-seventh Spring Conference of the Union of Bulgarian Mathematicians Borovets, April 2–6, 2018

OPTIMAL SELF-DUAL CODES OF LENGTH 74 WITH AN AUTOMORPHISM OF ORDER 9 AND NEW SELF-DUAL [74, 37, 12] CODES*

Nikolay Yankov, Radka Russeva, Emine Karatash

We prove that a self-dual [74, 37, 14] code possessing an automorphism of order 9 does not exists. In the process of constructing optimal self-dual codes of length 74 with an automorphism of order 9 and by shortening all known self-dual [76, 38, 14] codes we obtain new self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes.

1. Introduction. The highest attainable minimum weight for binary self-dual codes of length 74 is 14 [7]. However the existence of a binary self-dual [74, 37, 14] code is still unknown. One of the first examples of binary self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes were constructed in [9].

Let C be a putative self-dual [74, 37, 14] code. The structure of its automorphism group Aut (C) is examined in [3, 9]. It is proved that the order of Aut (C) is either $2^k.3^l$, or $2^k.3^l.7$ where $k \geq 0$ and $l \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

In this paper we investigate the existence of a binary self-dual [74, 37, 14] code under the assumption that such a code possesses an automorphism of order 9. We prove that such codes do not exist. In the process of constructing optimal self-dual codes of length 74 via an automorphism of order 9 and by shortening all known self-dual [76, 38, 14] codes we obtain many new self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes.

2. Codes of length 74 with automorphism of order 9. Let C be a self-dual [74, 37, 14] code having an automorphism σ of order 9. It is known that σ may be only of type 9-(8, 0, 2) [9]. That means that σ has 8 cycles of order 9, no cycles of order 3 and 2 fixed points in its decomposition.

In [4] a method for constructing binary self-dual codes having an automorphism of order p^2 , where p is an odd prime, was presented. We consider the case p = 3.

Thus we have

(1)
$$\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, 9)(10, 11, \dots, 18) \dots (64, 65, \dots, 72)(73)74$$
.

Denote by Ω_i , $i=1,\ldots,10$ the cycles in σ . Define $F_{\sigma}(C)=\{v\in C\mid v\sigma=v\}$, $E_{\sigma}(C)=\{v\in C\mid \mathrm{wt}(v|\Omega_i)\equiv 0\pmod{2}\}$, where $v|\Omega_i$ denotes the restriction of v to Ω_i . Clearly $v\in F_{\sigma}(C)$ iff $v\in C$ is constant on each cycle. Denote $\pi:F_{\sigma}(C)\to F_2^{10}$ the projection map where if $v\in F_{\sigma}(C)$, $(\pi(v))_i=v_j$ for some $j\in\Omega_i$, $i=1,\ldots,10$. Then the following lemma holds.

^{*2010} Mathematics Subject Classification: 94B05.

Key words: self-dual codes, automorphisms, optimal codes.

This research is partially supported by Shumen University under Project No RD-08-111/05.02.2018.

Lemma 1 ([4]). $C = F_{\sigma}(C) \oplus E_{\sigma}(C)$. $C_{\pi} = \pi(F_{\sigma}(C))$ is a binary self-dual code of length 10.

Thus each choice of the codes $F_{\sigma}(C)$ and $E_{\sigma}(C)$ determines a self-dual code C. Denote by $E_{\sigma}(C)^*$ the subcode $E_{\sigma}(C)$ with the last 2 zero coordinates deleted. $E_{\sigma}(C)^*$ is a self-orthogonal binary code of length $8.3^2=72$ and dimension $\frac{8}{2}(3^2-1)=32$. For $v\in E_{\sigma}(C)^*$ we let $v|\Omega_i=(v_0,v_1,\cdots,v_8)$ correspond to the polynomial $v_0+v_1x+\cdots+v_8x^8$ from \mathcal{T} , where \mathcal{T} is the ring of even-weight polynomials in $F_2[x]/(x^9-1)$. Thus we obtain the map $\varphi:E_{\sigma}(C)^*\to \mathcal{T}^8$. Denote $C_{\varphi}=\varphi(E_{\sigma}(C)^*)$.

Let $e_1 = x^8 + x^7 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x$ and $e_2 = x^6 + x^3$. In [4] we proved that $\mathcal{T} = I_1 \oplus I_2$, where $I_1 = \{0, e_1, \omega = xe_1, \overline{\omega} = x^2e_1\}$ is a field with identity e_1 and I_2 is a field with 2^6 elements with identity e_2 . The element $\alpha = (x+1)e_2$ is a primitive element in I_2 so $I_2 = \{0, \alpha^k, 0 \le k \le 62\}$.

The following theorem is from [2].

Theorem 1 ([2]). $C_{\varphi} = M_1 \oplus M_2$, where $M_j = \{u \in E_{\sigma}(C)^* | u_i \in I_j, i = 1, \dots, 8\}$, j = 1, 2. Moreover M_1 and M_2 are Hermitian self-dual codes over the fields I_1 and I_2 , respectively. If C is a binary self-dual code having an automorphism σ of type (1) then $E_{\sigma}(C)^* = E_1 \oplus E_2$ where $M_i = \varphi(E_i)$, i = 1, 2.

This proves that C has a generator matrix of the form

(2)
$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^{-1}(M_2) & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi^{-1}(M_1) & 0 & 0 \\ F_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let A_s , B_s and E_s denote the number of words of weight s in C, $F_{\sigma}(C)$ and $E_{\sigma}(C)^*$, respectively. Every word of weight s in $E_{\sigma}(C)^*$ is in an orbit of length 3, therefore, $E_s \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $A_s \equiv B_s \pmod{3}$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$.

Since the minimum distance of C is 14 the code M_2 is a [8,4] Hermitian self-dual code over F_{64} , having minimal distance $d \geq 4$. Using Singleton bound $d \leq n-k+1$ we have d=5 or d=4. The case d=5 is studied in [2] and there are exactly 96 MDS Hermitian [8,4,5]₆₄ self-dual codes such that the minimum distance of $\varphi^{-1}(M_2)$ is 16. The case for the near MDS codes is completed in [10] and the number of the codes is 26. We state the following theorem.

Theorem 2 ([2], [10]). Up to equivalence, there are exactly 122 Hermitian [8, 4]₆₄ self-dual codes such that the minimum distance of $\varphi^{-1}(M_2)$ is 16.

We denote these codes by $\mathcal{M}_{2,i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 122$. Their generator parameters can be obtained from [10].

We fix the upper part of \mathcal{G} in (2) to be generated by one of the 122 already constructed Hermitian MDS or NMDS [8, 4]₆₄ codes. Now we continue with construction of the middle part, i.e. the code M_1 . Theorem 1 states that M_1 is a quaternary Hermitian self-dual [8, 4, 4] code. There exists a unique such code e_8 [5] with a generator matrix Q_1 =

$$\begin{pmatrix} 10000111\\ 01001011\\ 00101101\\ 00011110 \end{pmatrix}$$
. We have to put together the two codes from M_2 and M_1 in (2), but we

have to examine carefully all transformations on Q_1 that can lead to a different joined code. The full automorphism group of e_8 is of order $2.3^8(8!)$ and we have to consider the 122

following transformations that preserve the decomposition of the code C:

- (i) a permutation $\tau \in S_8$ acting on the set of columns.
- (ii) a multiplication of each column by a nonzero element e_1, ω or $\overline{\omega}$ in I_1 .
- (iii) a Galois automorphism γ which interchanges ω and $\overline{\omega}$.

The action of (i) and (ii) can be represented by a monomial matrix M = PD for a diagonal matrix D and permutational matrix P. Since every column of Q_1 consists only of 0 and 1 the action of $PD\gamma$ on Q_1 can be obtained via $P\overline{D}$. Thus we apply only transformations (i) and (ii).

Denote by M_1^{τ} the code determined by the matrix Q_1 with columns permuted by τ . To narrow down the computations we can use $PAut(M_1) = \langle (47)(56), (45)(67), (12)(3586), (24)(68), (34)(78) \rangle$, $|PAut(M_1)| = 1344$ and the right transversal T of S_8 with respect to $PAut(M_1)$

```
T = \{(), (78), (67), (678), (687), (68), (56), (56), (56), (567), (5678), (5687), (568), (576), (5786), (57), (578), (57), (68), (5768), (5876), (586), (587), (58), (5867), (58), (678), (45678), (4568), (4578), (45768), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458), (458
```

For every one of the 122 codes $\mathcal{M}_{2,i}$ and $\tau \in T$ we considered 3^8 possibilities for $gen(M_1^{\tau})$ and checked the minimum distance in the corresponding binary code $E_{\sigma}(C)^*$. We state the following result.

Theorem 3. There are exactly 36659 inequivalent self-orthogonal [72, 32, 16] codes having an automorphism with 8 cycles of order 9.

Denote the codes obtained by $C_{72,i}$, i = 1, ..., 36659.

The code C_{π} is a [10, 5] binary self-dual code. There are two such codes $5i_2$ and i_2+h_8 [8]. Only the code i_2+h_8 can be arranged so that the minimum distance of the code $F_{\sigma}(C)$ is ≥ 14 . The unique possible generator matrix in this case, up to a permutation of the cycle coordinates is

For a permutation $\mu \in S_8$ we denote by G_1^{μ} the matrix derived from G_1 after permuting its columns by μ . Denote by $C_{74,i}^{\mu}$, $i=1,\ldots,36659$, the [74, 37] binary self-dual code with a generator matrix in the form (2):

$$G_i^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} gen(C_i) & O \\ \pi^{-1}(G_1^{\mu}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and O is a 32×2 all-zeros matrix.

Let A be the subgroup of the automorphism group of the [10, 5] binary code generated by the matrix G_1 consisting of the automorphisms of this code that permute the first 8 coordinates (corresponding to the 9-cycle coordinates) among themselves and permute the last 2 coordinates (corresponding to the fixed point coordinates) among themselves. Let G' be the subgroup of the symmetric group S_8 consisting of the permutations in A restricted to the first 8 coordinates, ignoring the action on the fixed points. Using Bouyukliev's application Q-extensions [1] we computed that $G' = \langle (1,2)(3,5,7)(4,8,6), (3,4)(7,8), (3,8)(4,7)(5,6) \rangle$ is a group of cardinality 420.

The following lemma gives sufficient conditions for the equivalence of two codes $C_{74,i}^{\mu_1}$ and $C_{74,i}^{\mu_2}$, $i=1,\ldots,36659$.

Lemma 2. If μ_1 and μ_2 belong to one and the same right coset of G' in S_8 , then the codes $C_{74,i}^{\mu_1}$ and $C_{74,i}^{\mu_2}$ are equivalent.

Thus we only need the permutations from the set T – a right transversal of S_8 with respect to G'. Our exhaustive search is summarized in the following.

Theorem 4. There does not exists a binary self-dual [74, 37, 14] code with an automorphism of type 9-(8, 0, 2).

Nevertheless we have constructed all [74, 37, 12] self-dual codes and computed their weight enumerators. Due to the fact that in Theorem 3 we have calculated only codes with $E_{\sigma}(C)$ having d=16 we are unable to give full classification of all codes codes of length 74 with minimum weight d=12.

The possible weight enumerators for a self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes. They depend of two integer parameters α and β and are the following:

$$\begin{split} W_{74,12,1}(y) &= 1 + (\alpha - 1295)y^{12} + (5069 + \alpha + 32\beta)y^{14} + (116143 - 12\alpha - 160\beta)y^{16} + \dots \\ W_{74,12,2}(y) &= 1 + (\alpha - 1295)y^{12} + (5069 + \alpha + 32\beta)y^{14} + (153007 - 12\alpha - 160\beta)y^{16} + \dots \\ \text{In [9] at least 294 self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes with both $W_{74,12,1}$ and $W_{74,12,2}$ for different values of the parameters are constructed. After an exhaustive search we have the following result$$

Proposition 1. There exist binary self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes with an automorphism of type 9-(8, 0, 2) with weight enumerator $W_{74,12,1}$ for $\beta = -2, -11, -20, -29, -38$ for $\alpha \in \{1322, 1826, 1829, 1862\} \cup \{1331 + 3\gamma \mid \gamma \in \{0, \dots, 162\}, \gamma \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}\}.$

We constructed more than 8 millions self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes, at this time. Due to the large number of codes obtained we have not check them for equivalence.

3. New [74, 37, 12] codes obtained by subtracting. There exist nine known nonequivalent self-dual [76, 38, 14] codes. Six of them have an automorphism of type 9-(8,0,4) and are presented in [11] and the other three codes are with an automorphism of type 19-(4,0) [6].

In this section, using the known binary self-dual [76, 38, 14] codes, we construct new codes by shortening. Let C be one of the codes $C_{76,i}$ $i=1,2,\ldots,9$. By choosing a pair $1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le 76$ of coordinates we can construct a new code

$$C' = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) | (x_1, \dots, x_{76}) \in C_{76,i}, x_{i_1} = x_{i_2} \}.$$

It is well known that C' is a self-dual code of length 74 and we say that C' is obtained from C by subtracting. Since all codes we are shortening have minimum weight 14, all codes obtained have minimum weight 12 so all C' are self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes. Our search gives the following result.

Proposition 2. Up to equivalence there are exactly 1932 binary self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes obtained by subtracting the [76, 38, 14] self-dual code with an automorphism of type 9-(8, 0, 4).

Exactly 36 of the codes obtained have automorphism of type 9 - (8, 0, 2) are known from Section 2. All other 1896 codes have a trivial automorphism group and are new. 124

The following new values for the parameters in the weight enumerator $W_{74,12,1}$ occur:

- $\beta = 0, \alpha \in \{1419, \dots, 1463\} \cup \{1411, 1414, 1416, 1417, 1465, 1471, 1478\};$
- $\beta = -1, \alpha \in \{1416, \dots, 1471\} \cup \{1413, 1474, 1475\};$
- $\beta = -2$, $\alpha \in \{1416, 1418, 1419, 1422, 1423, 1425, \dots, 1432, 1434, \dots, 1438, 1440, 1441, 1443, \dots, 1447, 1449, 1450, 1452, \dots, 1456, 1458, 1459, 1461, 1462, 1463, 1465, 1468, 1471, 1472\};$
- $\beta = -3$, $\alpha \in \{1420, \dots, 1460\} \cup \{1416, 1417, 1462, 1463, 1465, 1466, 1469, 1470\}$;
- $\beta = -4$, $\alpha \in \{1430, \dots, 1455\} \cup \{1414, 1424, 1427, 1428, 1457, 1458, 1459, 1460, 1463, 1464, 1471\};$
- $\beta = -8$, $\alpha \in \{1435, 1439, 1440, 1441, 1444, 1448, 1449, 1452, 1455\}$;
- $\beta = -11$, $\alpha \in \{1445, 1454, 1472, 1478\}$.

When we subtract the three [76, 38, 14] codes with an automorphism of type 19-(4, 0) obtained in [6] we have the following:

Proposition 3. Up to equivalence there are exactly 450 binary self-dual [74, 37, 12] codes obtained by subtracting the [76, 38, 14] self-dual code with an automorphism of type 19-(4, 0).

All constructed codes are new and have |Aut(C)| = 1. The weight enumerator for all codes obtained is $W_{74,12,1}$ for $\beta = 0$, $\alpha \in \{1422, \dots, 1471, 1474, 1478, 1480\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. BOUYUKLIEV. About the code equivalence. Advances in Coding Theory and Cryptography, 3 (2007), 126–151. World Scientific Publishing Company.
- [2] St. Bouyuklieva. Some MDS codes over GF(64) connected with the binary doubly-even [72, 36, 16] code. Serdica Journal of Computing, 1, (2007), 185–192.
- [3] St. Bouyuklieva, R. Russeva, E. Karatash. Notes on binary optimal self-dual [74, 37, 14] codes. Сборник с доклади от Юбилейна международна научна конференция "25 години факултет Математика и информатика" на BTУ, стр. 86–90, Издателство "Фабер", ISBN 978–619–00–0419–6, 2015.
- [4] St. Bouyuklieva, R. Russeva, N. Yankov. On the Structure of Binary Self-Dual Codes Having an Automorphism of Order a Square of an Odd Prime. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 51, No 10 (2005), 3678–3686.
- [5] J. H. CONWAY, V. S. PLESS, N. J. A. SLOAN. Self-dual codes over GF(3) and GF(4) of length not exceeding 16. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **25**, No 3 (1979), 312–322.
- [6] R. Dontcheva, V. Yorgov. The extremal codes of length 76 with an automorphism of order 19. Finite Fields and Their Applications, 9, No 4 (2003), 395–399.
- [7] S. T. DOUGHERTY, T. A. GULLIVER, M. HARADA. Extremal binary self-dual codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 43, No 6 (1997), 2036–2047.
- [8] V. Pless. A classification of self-orthogonal codes over GF(2)., Discrete Mathematics, 3, No 1–3 (1972), 209–246.

- [9] R. Russeva, N. Yankov, E. Karatash. On the Automorphisms of the Optimal Self-Dual Code of length 74. Proceedings of MATTEX, (2016), 36–42.
- [10] N. Yankov. A putative doubly-even [72, 36, 16] code does not have an automorphism of order 9. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, **58**, No 1 (2012), 159–163.
- [11] N. Yankov, R. Russeva, E. Karatash. Classification of binary self-dual [76, 38, 14] codes with an automorphism of order 9. arXiv: 1711.05710v1 [math.CO], 2017.

Nikolay Yankov

e-mail: n.yankov@shu.bg

Radka Russeva

e-mail: russeva@shu.bg

Emine Karatash

e-mail: e.karatash@abv.bg

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics

University of Shumen 115, Universitetska Str. 970 Shumen, Bulgaria

ОПТИМАЛНИ САМОДУАЛНИ [74, 37, 14] КОДОВЕ С АВТОМОРФИЗЪМ ОТ РЕД 9 И НОВИ САМОДУАЛНИ [74, 37, 12] КОДОВЕ

Николай Янков, Радка Русева, Емине Караташ

Доказано е несъществуването на самодуален [74, 37, 14] код с автоморфизъм от ред 9. Чрез конструиране на оптимални самодуални кодове с дължина 74, притежаващи автоморфизъм от ред 9, и чрез метод за скъсяване на всички известни [76, 38, 14] кодове са получени множество нови самодуални [74, 37, 12] кодове.