M a t h e m a t i c a
B a l k a n i c a

New Series Vol. 26, 2012, Fasc. 1-2

Some Completely Monotonic Properties for the (p, q)-Gamma Function

Valmir Krasniqi [1], *Faton Merovci* [2]

Presented at 6th International Conference "TMSF' 2011"

It is defined a $\Gamma_{p,q}$-function, as a generalization of the Γ-function. Also, we define a $\psi_{p,q}$-analogue of the psi-function as the log derivative of $\Gamma_{p,q}$. For the $\Gamma_{p,q}$-function, we give some properties related to convexity, log-convexity and completely monotonic functions. Also, some properties of $\psi_{p,q}$ analog of the ψ-function are established. As an application, when $p \to \infty, q \to 1$, we obtain all results from [12] and [21].

MSC 2010: 33B15, 26A51, 26A48

Key Words: completely monotonic function, logarithmically completely monotonic function, (p, q)-Gamma function, (p, q)-psi function, generalization inequality

1. Introduction

The Euler gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ is defined for $x > 0$ by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt.$$

The digamma (or psi) function is defined for positive real numbers x as the logarithmic derivative of Euler's gamma function, that is $\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln \Gamma(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$. The following integral and series representations are valid (see [3]):

$$\psi(x) = -\gamma + \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt = -\gamma - \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x}{n(n+x)}, \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma = 0.57721 \cdots$ denotes Euler's constant.

Euler gave another equivalent definition for the $\Gamma(x)$ (see [2],[19])

$$\Gamma_p(x) = \frac{p!p^x}{x(x+1)\cdot\ldots\cdot(x+p)} = \frac{p^x}{x(1+\frac{x}{1})\cdot\ldots\cdot(1+\frac{x}{p})}, \quad x>0, \tag{2}$$

where p is positive integer, and

$$\Gamma(x) = \lim_{p\to\infty}\Gamma_p(x). \tag{3}$$

The p-analogue of the psi function, as the logarithmic derivative of the Γ_p function (see [12]), is

$$\psi_p(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\ln\Gamma_p(x) = \frac{\Gamma_p'(x)}{\Gamma_p(x)}. \tag{4}$$

The following representations are valid:

$$\Gamma_p(x) = \int_0^p \left(1-\frac{t}{p}\right)^p t^{x-1}dt, \tag{5}$$

$$\psi_p(x) = \ln p - \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-xt}(1-e^{-(p+1)t})}{1-e^{-t}}dt, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\psi_p^{(m)}(x) = (-1)^{m+1}\cdot\int_0^\infty \frac{t^m\cdot e^{-xt}}{1-e^{-t}}(1-e^{-pt})dt. \tag{7}$$

Jackson (see [8, 9, 10, 20]) defined the q-analogue of the gamma function as

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q;q)_\infty}{(q^x;q)_\infty}(1-q)^{1-x},\ 0<q<1, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\Gamma_q(x) = \frac{(q^{-1};q^{-1})_\infty}{(q^{-x};q^{-1})_\infty}(q-1)^{1-x}q^{\binom{x}{2}},\ q>1, \tag{9}$$

where $(a;q)_\infty = \prod_{j\geq 0}(1-aq^j)$.

The q-gamma function has the following integral representation

$$\Gamma_q(t) = \int_0^\infty x^{t-1}E_q^{-qx}d_qx,$$

where $E_q^x = \sum_{j=0}^\infty q^{\frac{j(j-1)}{2}}\frac{x^j}{[j]!} = (1+(1-q)x)_q^\infty$, which is the q-analogue of the classical exponential function. The q-analogue of the psi function is defined for $0<q<1$ as the logarithmic derivative of the q-gamma function, that is,

$\psi_q(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\log\Gamma_q(x)$. Many properties of the q-gamma function were derived by Askey [4]. It is well known that $\Gamma_q(x) \to \Gamma(x)$ and $\psi_q(x) \to \psi(x)$ as $q \to 1^-$. From (8), for $0 < q < 1$ and $x > 0$ we get

$$\psi_q(x) = -\log(1-q) + \log q \sum_{n\geq 0} \frac{q^{n+x}}{1-q^{n+x}} = -\log(1-q) + \log q \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{q^{nx}}{1-q^n} \quad (10)$$

and from (9) for $q > 1$ and $x > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_q(x) &= -\log(q-1) + \log q \left(x - \tfrac{1}{2} - \sum_{n\geq 0} \tfrac{q^{-n-x}}{1-q^{-n-x}} \right) \\ &= -\log(q-1) + \log q \left(x - \tfrac{1}{2} - \sum_{n\geq 1} \tfrac{q^{-nx}}{1-q^{-n}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

A Stieltjes integral representation for $\psi_q(x)$ with $0 < q < 1$ is given in [16]. It is well-known that ψ' is strictly completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, that is,

$$(-1)^n(\psi'(x))^{(n)} > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0,$$

see [3, p. 260]. From (10) and (11) we conclude that ψ_q' has the same property for any $q > 0$,

$$(-1)^n(\psi_q'(x))^{(n)} > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0 \text{ and } n \geq 0.$$

If $q \in (0,1)$, using the second representation of $\psi_q(x)$ given in (10) can be shown that

$$\psi_q^{(k)}(x) = \log^{k+1} q \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{n^k \cdot q^{nx}}{1-q^n} \quad (12)$$

and hence $(-1)^{k-1}\psi_q^{(k)}(x) > 0$ with $x > 1$, for all $k \geq 1$. If $q > 1$, from the second representation of $\psi_q(x)$ given in (11) we obtain

$$\psi_q'(x) = \log q \Big(1 + \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{nq^{-nx}}{1-q^{-nx}} \Big) \quad (13)$$

and for $k \geq 2$,

$$\psi_q^{(k)}(x) = (-1)^{k-1} \log^{k+1} q \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{n^k q^{-nx}}{1-q^{-nx}} \quad (14)$$

and hence $(-1)^{k-1}\psi_q^{(k)}(x) > 0$ with $x > 0$, for all $q > 1$.

Definition 1. For $x > 0, p \in N$ and for $q \in (0,1)$

$$\Gamma_{p,q}(x) = \frac{[p]_q^x [p]_q!}{[x]_q [x+1]_q \cdots [x+p]_q}, \tag{15}$$

where $[p]_q = \frac{1-q^p}{1-q}$.

It is easy to see that $\Gamma_{p,q}(x)$ fits into the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_{p,q}(x) & \xrightarrow{p\to\infty} & \Gamma_q(x) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle q\to 1} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle q\to 1} \\ \Gamma_p(x) & \xrightarrow{p\to\infty} & \Gamma(x) \end{array}$$

We define a (p,q)-analogue of the psi function as the logarithmic derivative of the p,q-gamma function, that is,

$$\psi_{p,q}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \log \Gamma_{p,q}(x). \tag{16}$$

Definition 2. The function f is called log-convex if for all $\alpha, \beta > 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and for all $x, y > 0$ the following inequality holds

$$\log f(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha \log f(x) + \beta \log f(y),$$

or equivalently

$$f(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq (f(x))^\alpha \cdot (f(y))^\beta.$$

Now, we will give some definitions about completely monotonic function:

A function f is said to be *completely monotonic* on an open interval I, if f has derivatives of all orders on I and satisfies

$$(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) \geq 0, (x \in I, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots). \tag{17}$$

If the inequality (17) is strict, then f is said to be *strictly completely monotonic* on I.

A positive function f is said to be *logarithmically completely monotonic* (see [18]) on an open interval I, if f satisfies

$$(-1)^n [\ln f(x)]^{(n)} \geq 0, (x \in I, n = 1, 2, \ldots). \tag{18}$$

If the inequality (18) is strict, then f is said to be *strictly logarithmically completely monotonic.*

Let C and L denote the set of completely monotonic functions and the set of logarithmically completely monotonic functions, respectively. The relationship between completely monotonic functions and logarithmically completely monotonic functions can be presented (see [2]) by $L \subset C$.

The following theorem gives an integral characterization of the completely monotone functions.

Theorem 3. *(Hausdorff-Bernstein-Widder Theorem) A function $\varphi : [0,\infty) \to R$ is completely monotone on $[0,\infty)$ if and only if it is the Laplace transform of a finite non-negative Borel meassure μ on $[0,\infty)$, i.e., φ is of the form*

$$\varphi(r) = \int_0^\infty e^{-rt} d\mu(t) \tag{19}$$

- A non-negative finite linear combination of completely monotone functions is completely monotone.
- The product of two completely monotone functions is completely monotone.

2. Main results

Lemma 4. *For $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we have:*

$$[1+x]_q^\alpha [1+y]_q^\beta \leq [1+\alpha x+\beta y]_q \tag{20}$$

P r o o f. From Young's inequality

$$[x]_q^\alpha [y]_q^\beta \leq \alpha[x]_q + \beta[y]_q, \tag{21}$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned}[1+x]_q^\alpha [1+y]_q^\beta &\leq \alpha[1+x]_q + \beta[1+y]_q \\ &= \alpha\Big(\frac{1-q^{1+x}}{1-q}\Big) + \beta\Big(\frac{1-q^{1+y}}{1-q}\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q}\Big[1-(\alpha q^{1+x}+\beta q^{1+y})\Big].\end{aligned}$$

We have to prove:

$$\alpha q^{1+x} + \beta q^{1+y} \geq q^{1+\alpha x+\beta y}. \tag{22}$$

From Young's inequality we have:

$$q^{1+\alpha x+\beta y} = q((q^x)^\alpha (q^x)^\beta) \leq q(\alpha q^x + \beta q^y) = \alpha q^{1+x} + \beta q^{1+y}. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 5. *The function*

$$\Gamma_{p,q}(x) = \frac{[p]_q^x [p]_q!}{[x]_q[x+1]_q \cdots [x+p]_q}$$

is log-convex.

Proof. We have to prove that for all $\alpha, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta = 1, x, y > 0$

$$\log \Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \alpha \log \Gamma_{p,q}(x) + \beta \log \Gamma_{p,q}(y) \tag{23}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\Gamma_{p,q}(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq (\Gamma_{p,q}(x))^{\alpha} \cdot (\Gamma_{p,q}(y))^{\beta}. \tag{24}$$

By Lemma 4 we obtain:

$$\Big[1 + \frac{x}{k}\Big]_q^{\alpha} \cdot \Big[1 + \frac{y}{k}\Big]_q^{\beta} \leq \alpha\Big[1 + \frac{x}{k}\Big]_q + \beta\Big[1 + \frac{y}{k}\Big]_q = \Big[1 + \frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{k}\Big]_q \tag{25}$$

for all $k \geq 1, k \in \mathbf{N}$.

Multiplying (25) for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ one obtains

$$\Big[1+\frac{x}{1}\Big]_q^{\alpha} \ldots \Big[1+\frac{x}{p}\Big]_q^{\alpha} \cdot \Big[1+\frac{y}{1}\Big]_q^{\beta} \ldots \Big[1+\frac{y}{p}\Big]_q^{\beta} \leq \Big[1+\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{1}\Big]_q \ldots \Big[1+\frac{\alpha x + \beta y}{p}\Big]_q.$$

Now, taking the reciprocal values and multiplying by $[p]_q^{\alpha x + \beta y}$ one obtains (24) and thus the proof is completed. ∎

Lemma 6. *a) The function $\psi_{p,q}$ defined by* (16) *has the following series representation and integral representation:*

$$\psi_{p,q}(x) = -\ln[p]_q - \log q \sum_{k=0}^{p} \frac{q^{x+k}}{1 - q^{x+k}}, \tag{26}$$

$$\psi_{p,q}(x) = -\ln[p]_q - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}}(1 - e^{-(p+1)t}) d\gamma_q(t), \tag{27}$$

where $\gamma_q(t)$ is a discrete measure with positive masses $-\log q$ at the positive points $-k \log q, k = 1, 2, \ldots,$ i.e.

$$\gamma_q(t) = -\log q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta(t + k \log q), \quad 0 < q < 1. \tag{28}$$

b) The function $\psi_{p,q}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

c) The function $\psi'_{p,q}$ is strictly completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$.

P r o o f. a) After logarithmical differentiation of (15) we take (26). Using

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-xt} d\gamma_q(t) = \frac{-q^x \log q}{1-q^x}, \quad 0<q<1$$

(see [7]), we take (27).

b) Let $0<x<y$. Using (26) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{p,q}(x)-\psi_{p,q}(y) &= \log q \sum_{k=0}^{p}\Big(\frac{1-q^{y+k}}{q^{y+k}} - \frac{1-q^{y+k}}{q^{y+k}}\Big)\\ &= \log q \sum_{k=0}^{p}\Big(\frac{q^x - q^{x+y+k} - q^y + q^{x+y+k}}{q^{x+y+k}}\Big)\\ &= \log q \sum_{k=0}^{p}\Big(\frac{q^x - q^y}{q^{x+y+k}}\Big) < 0.\end{aligned}$$

c) Deriving n times the relation (26) one finds that:

$$\psi^{(n)}_{p,q}(x) = (-1)^{n+1}\int_0^\infty \frac{t^n e^{-xt}}{1-e^{-t}}(1-e^{-(p+1)t})d\gamma_q(t). \tag{29}$$

hence $(-1)^n(\psi'_{p,q}(x))^{(n)} > 0$, for $x>0, n\geq 0$, ■

Remark 7. *The function $\psi_{p,q}(x)$ fits into the following commutative diagrams*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\psi_{p,q}(x) & \xrightarrow{p\to\infty} & \psi_q(x)\\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle q\to 1} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle q\to 1}\\ \psi_p(x) & \xrightarrow{p\to\infty} & \psi(x)\end{array}$$

3. Logarithmically completely monotonic function

Theorem 8. *The function $G_{p,q}(x;a_1,b_1,\ldots,a_n,b_n)$ given by*

$$G_{p,q}(x) = G_{p,q}(x;a_1,b_1,\ldots,a_n,b_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(x+a_i)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(x+b_i)}, \quad q\in(0,1) \tag{30}$$

is a completely monotonic function on $(0,\infty)$, for any a_i and b_i, $i=1,2,\ldots,n$, real numbers such that $0<a_1\leq\cdots\leq a_n$, $0<b_1\leq b_2\leq\cdots\leq b_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$ for $k=1,2,\ldots,n$.

Proof. Let $h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\log\Gamma_{p,q}(x+b_i) - \log\Gamma_{p,q}(x+a_i))$. Then for $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(-1)^k(h'(x))^{(k)} &= (-1)^k\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\psi_{p,q}^{(k)}(x+b_i) - \psi_{p,q}^{(k)}(x+a_i))\\ &= (-1)^k\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^{k+1}\int_0^\infty \frac{t^k e^{-xt}}{1-e^{-t}}(1-e^{-(p+1)t})(e^{-bi}-e^{-ai})d\gamma_q(t)\\ &= (-1)^{2k+1}\int_0^\infty \frac{t^k e^{-xt}}{1-e^{-t}}(1-e^{-(p+1)t})\sum_{i=1}^{n}(e^{-bi}-e^{-ai})d\gamma_q(t).\end{aligned}$$

Alzer [1] showed that if f is a decreasing and convex function on R, then it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(b_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(a_i). \tag{31}$$

Thus, since the function $z \mapsto e^{-z}, z > 0$ is decreasing and convex on R, we have that $\sum_{i=1}^{n}(e^{-ai} - e^{-bi}) \geq 0$, so $(-1)^k(G'_{p,q}(x))^{(k)} \geq 0$ for $k \geq 0$. Hence h' is completely monotonic on $(0,\infty)$. Using the fact that if h' is completely monotonic function on $(0,\infty)$, then $\exp(-h)$ is also completely monotonic function on $(0,\infty)$ (see [5]), we get the desired result. ∎

Theorem 9. *The function*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{[\Gamma_{p,q}(x+1)]^{\frac{1}{x}}} \tag{32}$$

is logarithmically completely monotonic in $(0,\infty)$.

Proof. Using the Leibnitz rule

$$[u(x)v(x)]^{(n)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}u^{(k)}(x)v^{(n-k)}(x),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}[\ln f(x)]^{(n)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big)^{(k)}\Big(-\ln\Gamma_{p,q}(x+1)\Big)^{(n-k)}\\ &= -\frac{1}{x^{n+1}}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}(-1)^k k! x^{n-k}\psi_{p,q}^{(n-k-1)}(x+1)\\ &= -\frac{1}{x^{n+1}}g(x),\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{'}(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k k!(n-k) x^{n-k-1} \psi_{p,q}^{(n-k-1)}(x+1) + \\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k k! x^{n-k} \psi_{p,q}^{(n-k)}(x+1) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k k!(n-k) x^{n-k-1} \psi_{p,q}^{(n-k-1)}(x+1) + \\
&+ x^n \psi_{p,q}^{(n)}(x+1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k k! x^{n-k} \psi_{p,q}^{(n-k)}(x+1) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k k!(n-k) x^{n-k-1} \psi_{p,q}^{(n-k-1)}(x+1) + \\
&+ x^n \psi_{p,q}^{(n)}(x+1) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k+1} (-1)^{k+1} (k+1)! x^{n-k-1} \psi_{p,q}^{(n-k-1)}(x+1) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Big[\binom{n}{k} (n-k) - \binom{n}{k+1} (k+1) \Big] (-1)^k k! x^{n-k-1} \psi_{p,q}^{(n-k-1)}(x+1) \\
&+ x^n \psi_{p}^{(n)}(x+1) = x^n \psi_{p,q}^{(n)}(x+1).
\end{aligned}
$$

If n is odd, then for $x > 0$,

$$
g^{'}(x) > 0 \Rightarrow g(x) > g(0) = 0 \Rightarrow (\ln f(x))^{(n)} < 0 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\Rightarrow (-1)^n (\ln f(x))^{(n)} > 0.
$$

If n is even, then for $x > 0$,

$$
g^{'}(x) < 0 \Rightarrow g(x) < g(0) = 0 \Rightarrow (\ln f(x))^{(n)} > 0 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\Rightarrow (-1)^n (\ln f(x))^{(n)} > 0.
$$

Hence,

$$
(-1)^n (\ln f(x))^{(n)} > 0
$$

for all real $x \in (0, \infty)$ and all integers $n \geq 1$. The proof is completed. ■

Remark 10. *Let p tend to ∞, then we obtain Theorem 1 from [6]. Let q tend to 1, then we obtain Theorem 2.1 from [14].*

Let s and t be two real numbers with $s \neq t, \alpha = \min\{s, t\}$ and $\beta \geq -\alpha$, for $x \in (-\alpha, \alpha)$, define

$$h_{\beta,p,q}(x) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\beta+t)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\beta+s)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(x+s)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(x+t)}\right]^{\frac{1}{x-\beta}} & x \neq \beta, \\ \exp[\psi_{p,q}(\beta+s) - \psi_{p,q}(\beta+t)] & x = \beta. \end{cases}$$

The following theorem is a generalization of a result of [15].

Theorem 11. *The function $h_{\beta,p,q}(x)$ is logarithmically completely monotonic on $(-\alpha, +\infty)$ if $s > t$.*

P r o o f. For $x \neq \beta$, taking logarithm of the function $h_{\beta,p}(x)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \ln h_{\beta,p,q}(x) &= \frac{1}{x-\beta}\Big[\ln\frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\beta+t)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\beta+s)} - \ln\frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(x+s)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(x+t)}\Big] \\ &= \frac{\ln\Gamma_{p,q}(x+s) - \ln\Gamma_{p,q}(\beta+s)}{x-\beta} - \frac{\ln\Gamma_{p,q}(x+t) - \ln\Gamma_{p,q}(\beta+t)}{x-\beta} \\ &= \frac{1}{x-\beta}\int_\beta^x \psi_{p,q}(u+s)du - \frac{1}{x-\beta}\int_\beta^x \psi_{p,q}(u+t)du \\ &= \frac{1}{x-\beta}\int_\beta^x [\psi_{p,q}(u+s) - \psi_{p,q}(u+t)]du \\ &= \frac{1}{x-\beta}\int_\beta^x \int_t^s \psi'_{p,q}(u+v)dvdu \\ &= \frac{1}{x-\beta}\int_\beta^x \varphi_{p,q,s,t}(u)du = \int_0^1 \varphi_{p,q,s,t}((x-\beta)u+\beta)du, \end{aligned}$$

and by differentiating $\ln h_{\beta,p,q}(x)$ with respect to x,

$$[\ln h_{\beta,p,q}(x)]^{(k)} = \int_0^1 u^k \varphi^{(k)}_{p,q,s,t}((x-\beta)u+\beta)du. \tag{33}$$

If $x = \beta$, formula (33) is valid. Since functions $\psi'_{p,q}$ and $\varphi_{p,q,s,t}$ are completely monotonic in $(0,\infty)$ and $(-t,\infty)$ respectively, then $(-1)^i[\varphi_{p,q,s,t}(x)]^{(i)} \geq 0$ holds for $n \in (-t,\infty)$ for any nonnegative integer i. Thus

$$(-1)^k[\ln h_{\beta,p,q}(x)]^{(k)} = \int_0^1 u^k(-1)^k\varphi^{(k)}_{p,q,s,t}((x-\beta)u+\beta)du \geq 0$$

in $(-t,\infty)$ for $k \in N$. The proof is completed. ∎

4. Application of the $\Gamma_{p,q}(x)$ function

In the following, we give some results for the $\Gamma_{p,q}$ analogous to these from [21]. Since the proofs are almost similar, we omit them.

Lemma 13. *Let a, b, c, d, e be real numbers such that $a+bx > 0$, $d+ex > 0$ and $a + bx \leq d + ex$. Then*

$$\psi_{p,q}(a + bx) - \psi_{p,q}(d + ex) \leq 0. \tag{34}$$

Lemma 14. *Let a, b, c, d, e, f be real numbers such that $a + bx > 0, d + ex > 0, a + bx \leq d + ex$ and $ef \geq bc > 0$. If*
(i) $\psi_{p,q}(a + bx) > 0$, or
(ii) $\psi_{p,q}(d + ex) > 0$,
then

$$bc\psi_{p,q}(a + bx) - ef\psi_{p,q}(d + ex) \leq 0. \tag{35}$$

Lemma 15. *Let a, b, c, d, e, f be real numbers such that $a + bx > 0, d + ex > 0, a + bx \leq d + ex$ and $bc \geq ef > 0$. If*
(i) $\psi_{p,q}(d + ex) < 0$, or
(ii) $\psi_{p,q}(a + bx) < 0$,
then

$$bc\psi_{p,q}(a + bx) - ef\psi_{p,q}(d + ex) \leq 0. \tag{36}$$

Theorem 16. *Let f_1 be a function defined by*

$$f_1(x) = \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(a + bx)^c}{\Gamma_{p,q}(d + ex)^f}, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{37}$$

where a, b, c, d, e, f are real numbers such that: $a + bx > 0, d + ex > 0, a + bx \leq d+ex, ef \geq bc > 0$. If $\psi_{p,q}(a+bx) > 0$ or $\psi_{p,q}(d+ex) > 0$, then the function f_1 is decreasing for $x \geq 0$ and for $x \in [0, 1]$ the following double inequality holds:

$$\frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(a + b)^c}{\Gamma_{p,q}(d + e)^f} \leq \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(a + bx)^c}{\Gamma_{p,q}(d + ex)^f} \leq \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(a)^c}{\Gamma_{p,q}(d)^f}. \tag{38}$$

In a similar way, using Lemma 15, it is easy to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 17. *Let f_1 be a function defined by*

$$f_1(x) = \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(a + bx)^c}{\Gamma_{p,q}(d + ex)^f}, \quad x \geq 0, \tag{39}$$

where a, b, c, d, e, f *are real numbers such that:* $a + bx > 0, d + ex > 0, a + bx \leq d + ex, bc \geq ef > 0$. *If* $\psi_{p,q}(d + ex) < 0$ *or* $\psi_{p,q}(a + bx) < 0$, *then the function* f_1 *is decreasing for* $x \geq 0$ *and for* $x \in [0, 1]$ *the inequality* (38) *holds.*

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Feng Qi and Armend Shabani for several corrections and suggestions.

References

[1] H. Alzer, On some inequalities for the gamma and psi function, *Math. Comp.* **66** (1997), 373-389.

[2] T.M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, 1976.

[3] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, NewYork, 1965.

[4] R. Askey, The q-gamma and q-beta functions, *Applicable Anal.* **8**, No 2 (1978/79), 125-141.

[5] S. Bocher, *Harmonic Analysis and the Theory of Probability*, Dover Books, 2005.

[6] Ch.-P. Chen and F. Qi, Logarithmically completely monotonic functions relating to the gamma functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **321** (2006), 405-411.

[7] M.E.H. Ismail, M.E. Muldoon, Inequalites and monotonicity properties for gamma and q-gamma functions, *ISNM Aproximation and Computation* **119** (1994), 309-323.

[8] T. Kim, On a q-analogue of the p-adic log gamma functions and related integrals, *J. Number Theory* **76** (1999), 320-329.

[9] T. Kim, A note on the q-multiple zeta functions, *Advan. Stud. Contemp. Math.* **8** (2004), 111-113.

[10] T. Kim and S.H. Rim, A note on the q-integral and q-series, *Advanced Stud. Contemp. Math.* **2** (2000), 37-45.

[11] V. Krasniqi, Senlin Guo, Logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving generalized gamma and q-gamma functions, *J. Inequal. Spec. Funct.*, **1** (2010), 8-16.

[12] V. Krasniqi and A. Shabani, Convexity properties and inequalities for a generalized gamma functions, *Appl. Math. E-Notes* **10** (2010), 27-35.

[13] V. Krasniqi, T. Mansour, A.Sh. Shabani, Some monotonicity properties and inequalities for the Gamma and Riemann Zeta functions, *Math. Commun.* **15**, No 2 (2010), 365-376.

[14] V. Krasniqi, F. Merovci, Logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving the generalized Gamma Function, *Le Matematiche* **LXV**, Fasc. II (2010), 15-23.

[15] F. Qi, Three class of logarithmically completely monotonic functions involving the gamma and psi functions, *Integral Transform Spec. Funct.* **18** (2007), 503-509.

[16] M.E.H. Ismail, M.E. Muldoon, Inequalities and monotonicity properties for gamma and q-gamma functions, in: R.V.M. Zahar (Ed.), *Approximation and Computation, International Series of Numerical Mathematics,* vol. 119, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1994, 309-323.

[17] G.H. Hardy, J.E. Littlewood, G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1988.

[18] F. Qi and Ch.-P. Chen, A complete monotonicity property of the Gamma function, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **296** (2004), 603-607.

[19] J. Sandor, *Selected Chapters of Geometry, Analysis and Number Theory*, RGMIA Monographs, Victoria University, 2005.

[20] H.M. Srivastava, T. Kim and Y. Simsek, q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials associated with multiple q-zeta functions and basic L-series, *Russian J. Math. Phys.* **12** (2005), 241-268.

[21] A.Sh. Shabani, Generalization of some inequalities for the Gamma function, *Mathematical Communications* **13** (2008), 271-275.

Department of Mathematics – University of Prishtina
Mother Teresa No 5, Prishtinë – 10000
Republic of KOSOVO

e-mail: [1] *vali.99@hotmail.com* , [2] *fmerovci@yahoo.com*

Received: October 21, 2011

.