

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.  
Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

PLISKA

STUDIA MATHEMATICA

ПЛИСКА

МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИ  
СТУДИИ

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Pliska Studia Mathematica  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~pliska/>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Pliska Studia Mathematica  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [pliska@math.bas.bg](mailto:pliska@math.bas.bg)

## BIFURCATIONS OF PERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND MULTIVALENT GUIDING FUNCTIONS METHOD\*

Valeri Obukhovskii, Pietro Zecca, Nguyen Van Loi,  
Sergei Kornev

In this paper, we define a new class of multivalent guiding functions called *local multivalent guiding functions* and use it to study the global bifurcation problem of periodic solutions to a parameterized differential equation.

### 1. Introduction

The paper deals with the application of the method of multivalent guiding functions to the study of the global bifurcation of periodic solutions of the following parameterized family of differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad x'(t) = f(t, x(t), \mu),$$

where  $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is a given continuous function and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  is a parameter.

Let us recall that the method of guiding functions was developed by A. I. Perov and M. A. Krasnosel'skii and others (see [12, 13]) and is one of effective tools for the study of periodic oscillations in systems governed by differential

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 34C23, 34C25.

*Key words*: multivalent guiding function, global bifurcation, periodic solution.

\*This research is supported by the russian FBR grants 16-01-00386, 17-01-00365 as well as RSF grant 14-21-00066 (in Voronezh State University).

equations or inclusions. Up to now, this method is developed into many various ways (see [16] for more details).

The application of guiding functions to the study of a global bifurcation problem was suggested first by W. Kryszewski (see [14]) and the other approach based on the use of integral guiding functions was developed in the works [16, 17]. It is worth noting that the method of multivalent guiding functions was introduced by D.I. Rachinskii (see [18]). Some of its developments can be found in [10, 11].

In the present paper, we focus ourselves on the global bifurcation of periodic solutions to (1.1) by using multivalent guiding functions. The paper is organized in the following way. In the next section we recall some basic notions from the multivalued analysis, degree theory and bifurcation theory. The main result is given in Section 3.

## 2. Preliminaries

### 2.1. Multimaps

Let  $X, Y$  be metric spaces. Denote by  $P(Y)$  [resp.,  $K(Y)$ ] the collection of all nonempty [respectively, nonempty compact] subsets of  $Y$ .

**Definition 1.** (see, e.g., [1, 4, 8, 9]) *A multivalued map (multimap)  $F: X \rightarrow P(Y)$  is said to be:*

(i) *upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.), if for every open subset  $V \subset Y$  the set*

$$F_+^{-1}(V) = \{x \in X: F(x) \subset V\}$$

*is open in  $X$ ;*

(ii) *completely u.s.c. if it is u.s.c. and maps every bounded subset  $U \subset X$  into a relatively compact subset  $F(U)$  of  $Y$ ;*

(iii) *compact, if the set*

$$F(X) := \bigcup_{x \in X} F(x)$$

*is relatively compact in  $Y$ .*

A set  $M \in K(Y)$  is said to be *aspheric* (or  $UV^\infty$ , or  $\infty$ -proximally connected) (see, e.g., [15, 4, 5]), if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that each continuous map  $\sigma: S^n \rightarrow O_\delta(M)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , can be extended to a continuous map  $\tilde{\sigma}: B^{n+1} \rightarrow O_\varepsilon(M)$ , where  $S^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}: \|x\| = 1\}$ ,

$B^{n+1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ , and  $O_\delta(M)$  [ $O_\varepsilon(M)$ ] denote the  $\delta$ -neighborhood [resp.,  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood] of the set  $M$ .

**Definition 2.** (see [7]) *A nonempty compact space  $A$  is said to be an  $R_\delta$ -set if it can be represented as the intersection of a decreasing sequence of compact, contractible spaces.*

**Definition 3.** (see [4]) *A u.s.c. multimap  $\Sigma : X \rightarrow K(Y)$  is said to be a  $J$ -multimap ( $\Sigma \in J(X, Y)$ ) if each value  $\Sigma(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ , is an aspheric set.*

Now let us recall (see, e.g., [3]) that a metric space  $X$  is called *the absolute retract (the AR-space)* [resp., *the absolute neighborhood retract (the ANR-space)*] provided for each homeomorphism  $h$  taking it into a closed subset of a metric space  $X'$ , the set  $h(X)$  is the retract of  $X'$  [resp., of its open neighborhood in  $X'$ ]. Notice that the class of *ANR*-spaces is broad enough: in particular, a finite-dimensional compact set is the *ANR*-space if and only if it is locally contractible. In turn, it means that compact polyhedrons and compact finite-dimensional manifolds are the *ANR*-spaces. The union of a finite number of convex closed subsets in a normed space is also the *ANR*-space.

**Proposition 4.** (see [4]) *Let  $Z$  be an ANR-space. In each of the following cases an u.s.c. multimap  $\Sigma : X \rightarrow K(Z)$  is a  $J$ -multimap:*  
*for each  $x \in X$  the value  $\Sigma(x)$  is*

- a) a convex set;*
- b) a contractible set;*
- c) an  $R_\delta$ -set;*
- d) an AR-space.*

*In particular, every continuous map  $\sigma : X \rightarrow Z$  is a  $J$ -multimap.*

**Definition 5.** *Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces. By  $CJ(X, Y)$  we will denote the collection of all multimaps  $F : X \rightarrow K(Y)$  that may be represented in the form of the composition*

$$F = \varphi \circ G,$$

*where, for a normed space  $Z$ ,  $G \in J(X, Z)$  and  $\varphi : Z \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous map.*

Let us mention that if  $U$  is an open bounded subset of a Banach space  $X$  and  $F : \overline{U} \rightarrow K(X)$  is a compact *CJ*-multimap such that  $x \notin F(x)$  for all  $x \in \partial U$ , then the topological degree  $\deg(i - F, \overline{U})$  of the corresponding multivalued vector field  $i - F$  is well-defined (here  $i$  denotes the inclusion map). This topological characteristic has all usual properties of the classical Brouwer topological degree (see, e.g., [2, 4]).

## 2.2. A global bifurcation theorem

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. A ball of radius  $r$  centered at 0 in  $X$  is denoted by  $B_X(0, r)$ . Consider the following inclusion

$$(2.1) \quad x \in F(x, \mu),$$

where  $F: X \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow K(X)$  is a  $CJ$ -multimap and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  is a parameter.

Assume that:

- (F1)  $F$  is a completely u.s.c. multimap with  $0 \in F(0, \mu)$  for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (F2) there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\mu$ ,  $0 < |\mu| < \varepsilon_0$ , there is  $\delta_\mu > 0$  such that  $x \notin F(x, \mu)$  for all  $x \in B_X(0, \delta_\mu) \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- (F3) the bifurcation index at  $(0, 0)$ :

$$Bi(F; (0, 0)) = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} \deg(i - F, B_X(0, \delta_\mu)) - \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^-} \deg(i - F, B_X(0, \delta_\mu))$$

is non-zero.

A point  $(0, \mu_0)$ ,  $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , is said to be a bifurcation point of (2.1) if for every open subset  $U \subset X \times \mathbb{R}$  containing  $(0, \mu_0)$  there is a nontrivial solution of (2.1) in  $U$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of all nontrivial solutions of (2.1).

**Theorem 6.** (see, e.g., [14]) *Let conditions (F1)–(F3) hold. Then  $(0, 0)$  is a bifurcation point for problem (2.1) and there exists a connected subset  $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{S}$  such that  $(0, 0) \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}$  and either  $\mathcal{R}$  is unbounded or  $\overline{\mathcal{R}} \ni (0, \mu_*)$  for some  $\mu_* \neq 0$ .*

## 3. Main result

Consider again problem (1.1) under the following assumptions:

- (f1) the continuous function  $f: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  is  $T$ -periodic with respect to the first argument ( $T > 0$ );
- (f2) there exist a constant  $c > 0$  and a positive function  $h: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $h(0) = 0$  and

$$|f(t, x, \mu)| \leq c h(|\mu|) |x|,$$

for all  $(t, x, \mu) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ ;

- (f3) for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  problem (1.1) admits a solution  $x: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $x(0) = x(T) = 0$ .

Let a two-dimensional plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  be chosen in the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$  be its complementary space. Let  $q: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the projection operator and  $p = i - q$ , where  $i$  denotes the identity operator. Let  $\{\varphi, \rho\}$  be a fixed polar coordinate system on the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and elements of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$  denoted by  $\xi$ .

Problem (1.1) is equivalent to the following one:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\xi}{dt} = g(t, \xi, \varphi, \rho, \mu), \\ \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = h(t, \xi, \varphi, \rho, \mu), \\ \frac{d\rho}{dt} = w(t, \xi, \varphi, \rho, \mu), \end{cases}$$

where continuous functions  $g, h, w$  are  $T$ -periodic with respect to the first argument.

For each  $(z, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  let  $\Pi_z$  be the solution set of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} x' = f(t, x, \mu), \\ x(0) = z. \end{cases}$$

It is well known (see, e.g., [4]) that  $\Pi_z$  is a  $R_\delta$ -set in  $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  and if we define the multimap

$$\Pi: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n), \quad \Pi(z, \mu) = \Pi_{(z, \mu)},$$

then  $\Pi$  is a  $J$ -multimap (see [9]).

Now we define the translation multioperator in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} U_T: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow K(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ U_T(z, \mu) &= \{x(T): x \in \Pi(z, \mu)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can replace problem (1.1) with the equivalent problem

$$(3.2) \quad z \in U_T(z, \mu).$$

From (f1)–(f2) it follows that  $U_T$  is a completely u.s.c.  $CJ$ -multimap and

$$0 \in U_T(0, \mu)$$

for all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{S}$  the set of all nontrivial solutions of problem (3.2), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(z, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}: z \neq 0 \text{ and } z \in U_T(z, \mu)\}.$$

Consider now the Riemann surface

$$\mathcal{R} = \{(\varphi, \rho) : \varphi \in (-\infty, \infty), \rho \in (0, \infty)\}.$$

Let  $W : \mathcal{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be such that for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $W(\cdot, \cdot, \mu)$  is continuously differentiable and

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} W(\varphi, \rho, \mu) > 0, \quad (\varphi, \rho) \in \mathcal{R},$$

$$(3.4) \quad W(\varphi + 2\pi, \rho, \mu) = W(\varphi, \rho, \mu) + 2\pi, \quad (\varphi, \rho) \in \mathcal{R}.$$

From the last equality it follows that

$$\nabla W(\varphi + 2\pi, \rho, \mu) = \nabla W(\varphi, \rho, \mu),$$

where  $\nabla W(\varphi, \rho, \mu) = \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varphi}, \frac{\partial W}{\partial \rho} \right)$ .

For  $r_* > 0$  put

$$G(r_*) = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : |pz| < r_*, |qz| < r_*\}.$$

**Definition 7.** A pair of smooth functions  $V(\xi, \mu), W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)$  satisfying relations (3.3)–(3.4) is said to be a local multivalent guiding function for problem (3.1) at  $(0, 0)$ , if there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $\mu$ ,  $0 < |\mu| \leq \varepsilon_0$ ,  $\nabla V(0, \mu) = 0$  there is  $r_\mu > 0$  such that:

(a1) for  $0 < |pz| < r_\mu$  and  $|qz| < r_\mu$ :

$$\langle \nabla V(\xi, \mu), g(t, \xi, \varphi, \rho, \mu) \rangle < 0;$$

(a2) for  $0 < |qz| < r_\mu$ :

$$\frac{\partial W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)}{\partial \varphi} h(t, 0, \varphi, \rho, \mu) + \frac{\partial W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)}{\partial \rho} w(t, 0, \varphi, \rho, \mu) < 0;$$

(a3) the functions

$$\alpha(t, \mu) = \sup_{z \in G(r_\mu)} \langle \nabla W(qz, \mu), qf(t, z, \mu) \rangle$$

and

$$\beta(t, \mu) = \inf_{z \in G(r_\mu)} \langle \nabla W(qz, \mu), qf(t, z, \mu) \rangle$$

are continuous;

(a4) there is an integer number  $N_\mu$  such that

$$2\pi(N_\mu - 1) < \int_0^T \alpha(s, \mu) ds \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^T \beta(s, \mu) ds < 2\pi N_\mu.$$

From the definition above it follows that for each  $\mu: 0 < |\mu| \leq \varepsilon_0$  the topological degree  $\deg(\nabla V(\cdot, \mu), B_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}(0, r_\mu))$  is well defined. Denote

$$\text{ind } V = \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^+} \deg(\nabla V(\cdot, \mu), B_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}(0, r_\mu)) - \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0^-} \deg(\nabla V(\cdot, \mu), B_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}(0, r_\mu)).$$

**Theorem 8.** *Let conditions (f1)–(f3) hold. Assume, in addition, that there is a local multivalent guiding function for problem (3.1) at  $(0, 0)$  such that  $\text{ind } V \neq 0$  then  $(0, 0)$  is a bifurcation point of (1.1) and there exists a connected subset  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$  such that:*

(i) *each point  $(z, \mu) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$  corresponds to a solution  $x: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of (1.1) with  $x(0) = x(T) = z$ ;*

(ii)  *$(0, 0) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$  and either  $\mathcal{C}$  is unbounded or  $\overline{\mathcal{C}} \ni (0, \mu_*)$  for some  $\mu_* \neq 0$ .*

*In particular, there are a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of solutions to (1.1),  $x_n(0) = x_n(T) = z_n$ ,  $\{z_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}$ , such that either  $\{x_n\}$  is unbounded or  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a solution  $x_*$  of (1.1) with  $x_*(0) = x_*(T) = 0$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that multimap  $U_T$  satisfies condition (F1) of Theorem 6. Let us show that it satisfies also condition (F2). Toward this goal, for each  $\mu, 0 < |\mu| \leq \varepsilon_0$ , let us show that problem (3.2) has only the trivial solution on the ball  $B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, \delta_\mu)$ , where

$$\delta_\mu = \frac{1}{2e^{cTh(|\mu|)}} r_\mu.$$

In fact, assume that there exists a nontrivial solution  $z \in B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, \delta_\mu)$ . Then there exists a function  $x$  such that

$$\begin{cases} x' = f(t, x, \mu) & \text{for } t \in [0, T], \\ x(0) = x(T) = z. \end{cases}$$

From the relation

$$x(t) = x(0) + \int_0^t f(s, x(s), \mu) ds$$



it follows that

$$|x(t)| \leq |z| + \int_0^t |f(s, x(s), \mu)| ds \leq |z| + \int_0^t c h(|\mu|) |x(s)| ds.$$

By applying the Gronwall Lemma (see, e.g., [6]) we obtain

$$|x(t)| \leq |z| e^{cTh(|\mu|)} \leq \frac{1}{2} r_\mu < r_\mu.$$

If there exists  $\Omega \subset (0, T)$  such that

$$\xi(t) = px(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \Omega$$

and

$$\xi(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (0, T) \setminus \Omega,$$

then from Definition 7 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla V(\xi, \mu), g(t, \xi, \varphi, \rho, \mu) \rangle &= 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in \Omega, \quad \text{and} \\ \langle \nabla V(\xi, \mu), g(t, \xi, \varphi, \rho, \mu) \rangle &< 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T) \setminus \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\int_0^T \langle \nabla V(\xi, \mu), g(t, \xi, \varphi, \rho, \mu) \rangle dt < 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \langle \nabla V(\xi, \mu), g(t, \xi, \varphi, \rho, \mu) \rangle dt &= \int_0^T \langle \nabla V(\xi, \mu), \frac{d\xi}{dt} \rangle dt \\ &= V(\xi(T), \mu) - V(\xi(0), \mu) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

giving the contradiction.

If  $\xi(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ , e.g.,  $x(t) = qx(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for all  $t \in (0, T)$ , then from the fact that  $x(\cdot)$  is the nonzero function it follows that there exists  $\Omega \subset (0, T)$  such that  $x(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T] \setminus \Omega$  and  $x(t) = 0$  for  $t \in \Omega$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)}{\partial \varphi} h(t, 0, \varphi(t), \rho(t), \mu) + \frac{\partial W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)}{\partial \rho} w(t, 0, \varphi(t), \rho(t), \mu) < 0,$$

for  $t \in [0, T] \setminus \Omega$ .

From (f2) it follows that  $f(t, x(t), \mu) = 0$  for all  $t \in \Omega$ . So,

$$(3.5) \quad h(t, 0, \varphi(t), \rho(t), \mu) = w(t, 0, \varphi(t), \rho(t), \mu) = 0, \quad \forall t \in \Omega.$$

Hence,

$$\int_0^T \left( \frac{\partial W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)}{\partial \varphi} h(t, 0, \varphi(t), \rho(t), \mu) + \frac{\partial W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)}{\partial \rho} w(t, 0, \varphi(t), \rho(t), \mu) \right) dt < 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \left( \frac{\partial W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)}{\partial \varphi} h(t, 0, \varphi(t), \rho(t), \mu) + \frac{\partial W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)}{\partial \rho} w(t, 0, \varphi(t), \rho(t), \mu) \right) dt \\ = W(\varphi(T), \rho(T), \mu) - W(\varphi(0), \rho(0), \mu) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

giving the contradiction. Thus, condition (F2) holds true.

So, the topological degree  $\deg(i - U_T(\cdot, \mu), B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, \delta_\mu))$  is well defined. For its evaluation, choose  $\tilde{r}_\mu > 0$  such that

$$\overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)} \subset B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, \delta_\mu).$$

Since problem (3.2) has only trivial solution on  $B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, \delta_\mu)$  we have

$$\deg(i - U_T(\cdot, \mu), B_{\mathbb{R}^n}(0, \delta_\mu)) = \deg(i - U_T(\cdot, \mu), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}).$$

Consider the multimap

$$\Phi(t, z, \mu) = z - pU_t(z, \mu) - qU_T(z, \mu), \quad t \in (0, T], z \in \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}.$$

Since  $p\Phi(t, z, \mu) = p(z - U_t(z, \mu))$ ,  $q\Phi(t, z, \mu) = q(z - U_T(z, \mu))$  we have

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \notin p\Phi(t, z, \mu), \quad t \in (0, T], z \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu);$$

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \notin q\Phi(t, z, \mu), \quad t \in (0, T], z \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu).$$

Hence,

$$0 \notin \Phi(t, z, \mu), \quad t \in (0, T], z \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu).$$

If  $U_t(z, \mu)$  is a trajectory starting from the point  $z$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dt} U_t(z, \mu) = f(t, U_t(z, \mu)),$$

consequently,

$$\frac{d}{dt} pU_t(z, \mu) = pf(t, U_t(z, \mu)).$$

For  $t = 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \frac{pU_t(z, \mu) - pz}{t} = pf(0, z, \mu).$$

Therefore, the vector fields  $-pf(0, z, \mu)$  and  $p(z - U_\varepsilon(z, \mu))$  have no opposite directions provided  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small.

From (a1) it follows that

$$\langle \nabla V(pz, \mu), -pf(0, z, \mu) \rangle > 0, \quad z \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu).$$

Since

$$0 \notin q(z - U_T(z, \mu)), \quad t \in (0, T], z \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu),$$

the fields

$$\begin{aligned} & -pf(0, z, \mu) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)), \\ & \nabla V(pz, \mu) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)), \end{aligned}$$

and the fields

$$\begin{aligned} & -pf(0, z, \mu) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)), \\ & p(z - U_\varepsilon(z, \mu)) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)) = \Phi(\varepsilon, z, \mu) \end{aligned}$$

have no opposite directions for  $z \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu)$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \deg(\nabla V(pz, \mu) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}) = \\ & = \deg(-pf(0, z, \mu) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}) = \deg(\Phi(\varepsilon, z, \mu), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(3.8) \quad \deg(i - U_T(\cdot, \mu), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}) = \deg(\nabla V(pz, \mu) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}).$$

From (3.3) it follows that the equation

$$W(\varphi, \rho, \mu) = w$$

has a unique solution for each  $\varphi$ .

Define the function  $\Psi(\lambda, z, \mu) : [0, 1] \times \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad \varphi(\Psi(\lambda, z, \mu)) &= \theta\left((1 - \lambda)\rho(qU_T(z, \mu)), W(\varphi(qU_T(z, \mu)), \rho(qU_T(z, \mu)), \mu)\right), \\ \rho(\Psi(\lambda, z, \mu)) &= (1 - \lambda)\rho(qU_T(z, \mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming  $\varphi = \varphi(qU_T(z, \mu))$  and  $\rho = \rho(qU_T(z, \mu))$  in the relation

$$\theta(\rho, W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)) \equiv \varphi,$$

we have

$$\theta\left(\rho(qU_T(z, \mu)), W(\varphi(qU_T(z, \mu)), \rho(qU_T(z, \mu)), \mu)\right) = \varphi(qU_T(z, \mu))$$

or

$$\theta(\Psi(0, z, \mu)) = \varphi(qU_T(z, \mu)).$$

According to the relation  $\rho(\Psi(0, z, \mu)) = \rho(qU_T(z, \mu))$ ,  $\rho(\Psi(1, z, \mu)) \equiv 0$  we obtain

$$\Psi(0, z, \mu) = qU_T(z, \mu), \quad \Psi(1, z, \mu) \equiv 0.$$

Thus, the curve  $\Gamma_z(\lambda) = \Psi(\lambda, z, \mu)$ , where  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$  and  $z, \mu$  are fixed, connects the points  $\xi_0 = qU_T(z, \mu)$  and  $\xi_1 = 0$ . Further, since

$$W(\theta(\rho, w), \rho, \mu) = w,$$

we have

$$W(\varphi(\Psi(\lambda, z, \mu)), \rho(\Psi(\lambda, z, \mu)), \mu) = W(\varphi(qU_T(z, \mu)), \rho(qU_T(z, \mu)), \mu),$$

i.e., the function  $W(\varphi, \rho, \mu)$  has the values not depending on  $\lambda$  on  $\Gamma_z(\lambda)$ .

Put

$$\Phi_1(\lambda, z, \mu) = \nabla V(pz, \mu) + qz - \Psi(\lambda, z, \mu), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad z \in \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}.$$

The continuous deformation  $\Phi_1(\lambda, z, \mu)$  connects the fields

$$\nabla V(pz, \mu) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla V(pz, \mu) + qz.$$

Let us show that it is non-degenerate on  $\partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu)$ , and moreover,

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \notin q\Phi_1(\lambda, z, \mu), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad z \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu).$$

To the contrary, assume that there exist  $\nu_* \in [0, 1]$ ,  $z_* \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu)$ , such that

$$0 \in q\Phi_1(\lambda_*, z_*, \mu), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad 0 \in qz_* - q\Psi(\lambda_*, z_*, \mu).$$

Then

$$\varphi(\Psi(\lambda_*, z_*, \mu)) = \varphi(qz_*) + 2\pi k, \quad \rho(\Psi(\lambda_*, z_*, \mu)) = \rho(qz_*).$$

From (3.4) it follows that

$$W(\varphi(\Psi(\lambda_*, z_*, \mu)), \rho(\Psi(\lambda_*, z_*, \mu)), \mu) = W(\varphi(qz_*), \rho(qz_*), \mu) + 2\pi k.$$

By virtue of

$$W(\varphi(\Psi(\lambda_*, z_*, \mu)), \rho(\Psi(\lambda_*, z_*, \mu)), \mu) = W(\varphi(qU_T z_*), \rho(qU_T z_*), \mu)$$

we have

$$(3.11) \quad W(\varphi(qU_T(z_*, \mu)), \rho(qU_T(z_*, \mu)), \mu) - W(\varphi(qz_*), \rho(qz_*), \mu) = 2\pi k.$$

Assume that  $\omega_*(t, \mu) = W(\varphi(qU_t(z_*, \mu)), \rho(qU_t(z_*, \mu)), \mu)$ . Since  $z_* \in G(\tilde{r}_\mu)$ , we obtain

$$U_t(z_*, \mu) \in G(r_\mu), \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Therefore

$$2\pi(N_\mu - 1) < \omega_*(T, \mu) - \omega_*(0, \mu) < 2\pi N_\mu.$$

According to the equation

$$\omega_*(0, \mu) = W(\varphi(qz_*), \rho(qz_*), \mu), \quad \omega_*(T, \mu) = W(\varphi(qU_T(z_*, \mu)), \rho(qU_T(z_*, \mu)), \mu),$$

we have

$$2\pi(N_\mu - 1) < W(\varphi(qz_*), \rho(qz_*), \mu) - W(\varphi(qU_T(z_*, \mu)), \rho(qU_T(z_*, \mu)), \mu) < 2\pi N_\mu,$$

giving the contradiction to (3.11). From (3.10) and

$$p\Phi_1(\lambda, z, \mu) = \nabla V(pz, \mu) \neq 0, \quad z_* \in \partial G(\tilde{r}_\mu),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\nabla V(pz, \mu) + q(z - U_T(z, \mu)), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}) &= \\ &= \deg(\nabla V(pz, \mu) + qz, \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}). \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.8)

$$\deg(i - U_T(\cdot, \mu), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}) = \deg(\nabla V(pz, \mu) + qz, \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\nabla V(pz, \mu) + qz, \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}) &= \deg(\nabla V(pz, \mu), \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}) \cdot \deg(qz, \overline{G(\tilde{r}_\mu)}) \\ &= \deg(\nabla V(pz, \mu), B_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}}(0, r_\mu)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, our proof is completed by applying Theorem 6 and the fact that  $\text{ind } V \neq 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] YU. G. BORISOVICH, B. D. GEL'MAN, A. D. MYSHKIS, V. V. OBUKHOVSKII. Introduction to the Theory of Multivalued Maps and Differential inclusions, Second edition, Moscow, Librokom, 2011 (in Russian).
- [2] R. BADER, W. KRYSZEWSKI. Fixed-point index for compositions of set-valued maps with proximally  $\infty$ -connected values on arbitrary ANR's. *Set-Valued Anal.* **2**, 3 (1994), 459–480.
- [3] K. BORSUK. Theory of Retracts. Monografie Mat., vol. **44**, Warsaw, PWN, 1967.
- [4] L. GÓRNIOWICZ. Topological Fixed Point Theory of Multivalued Mappings, 2nd edition. Topological Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications, vol. **4**. Dordrecht, Springer, 2006.
- [5] L. GÓRNIOWICZ, A. GRANAS, W. KRYSZEWSKI. On the homotopy method in the fixed point index theory of multi-valued mappings of compact absolute neighborhood retracts. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **161**, 2 (1991), 457–473.
- [6] PH. HARTMAN. Ordinary Differential Equations. Corrected reprint of the second (1982) edition [Birkhäuser, Boston, MA]. Classics in Applied Mathematics, vol. **38**. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2002.
- [7] D. M. HYMAN. On decreasing sequences of compact absolute retracts. *Fund Math.* **64** (1969), 91–97.
- [8] S. HU, N. S. PAPAGEORGIOU. Handbook of Multivalued Analysis. Vol. I. Theory. Mathematics and its Applications, vol. **419**. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997.
- [9] M. KAMENSKII, V. OBUKHOVSKII, P. ZECCA. Condensing Multivalued Maps and Semilinear Differential Inclusions in Banach Spaces. de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, vol. **7**, Berlin-New York, Walter de Gruyter, 2001.
- [10] S. KORNEV. On the method of multivalent guiding functions for periodic solutions of differential inclusions. *Autom. Remote Control.* **64**, 3 (2003), 409–419.
- [11] S. KORNEV, V. OBUKHOVSKII. On nonsmooth multivalent guiding functions. *Differential Equations* **39**, 11 (2003), 1578–1584.
- [12] M. A. KRASNOSELSKII, A. I. PEROV. On a certain principle of existence of bounded, periodic and almost periodic solutions of systems of ordinary differential equations. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **123** (1958), 235–238.

- [13] M. A. KRASNOSELSKII. The Operator of Translation Along the Trajectory of Differential Equations. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. **19**, 1968, Providence, RI, Amer. Math. Soc.
- [14] W. KRYSZEWSKI. Homotopy Properties of Set-valued Mappings. Torun, Univ. N. Copernicus Publishing, 1997.
- [15] A. D. MYSHKIS. Generalizations of the theorem on a fixed point of a dynamical system inside of a closed trajectory. *Mat. Sb.* **34**, 3 (1954), 525–540 (in Russian).
- [16] V. OBUKHOVSKII, P. ZECCA, N. V. LOI, S. KORNEV. Method of Guiding Functions in Problems of Nonlinear Analysis. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **2076**, Berlin-Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, 2013.
- [17] N. V. LOI. On two-parameter global bifurcation theorem of periodic solutions for a class of differential variational inequalities. *Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods and Appl.* **122** (2015), 83–99.
- [18] D. I. RACHINSKII. Multivalent guiding functions in forced oscillation problems. *Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods and Appl.* **26**, 3 (1996), 631–639.

Valeri Obukhovskii  
 e-mail: [valerio-ob2000@mail.ru](mailto:valerio-ob2000@mail.ru)  
 Sergei Kornev  
 e-mail: [kornev\\_vrn@rambler.ru](mailto:kornev_vrn@rambler.ru)  
 Faculty of Physics and Mathematics  
 Voronezh State Pedagogical University  
 394043 Voronezh, Russia  
 and  
 the RUDN University  
 Moscow, Russia

Pietro Zecca  
 Dipartimento di Matematica  
 Università degli Studi di Firenze  
 Firenze, Italy  
 e-mail: [zecca@unifi.it](mailto:zecca@unifi.it)

Nguyen Van Loi  
 Division of Computational  
 Mathematics and Engineering  
 Institute for Computational Science  
 Ton Duc Thang University  
 Ho Chi Minh city, Viet Nam  
 and  
 Faculty of Civil Engineering  
 Ton Duc Thang University  
 Ho Chi Minh city, Viet Nam  
 e-mail: [nguyenvanloi1@tdt.edu.vn](mailto:nguyenvanloi1@tdt.edu.vn)