Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

# Serdica Mathematical Journal Сердика

# Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints. Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

Serdica Mathematical Journal

Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Institute of Mathematics and Informatics

# CLOSEDNESS OF RADICALS IN TOPOLOGICAL RINGS

Mihail Ursul, Adela Tripe, Raymond Kuna

Communicated by V. Drensky

ABSTRACT. The well-known construction of Hartman-Mycielski delivers a method of embedding of a topological ring  $(R, \mathcal{T})$  into an arcwise connected, locally arcwise connected topological ring  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\mathcal{T}})$ . An important question in the theory of topological rings is: When is the radical  $\rho(R)$  of a topological ring closed? We study in this paper the following question: Let  $\rho$  be a radical in the sense of Kurosh in the class of associative rings and  $(R, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological ring. Under which conditions is closed the radical  $\rho(\tilde{R})$  of the image of R under the Hartman-Mycielski functor? We give in this paper a complete answer for a few classical radicals. This question has been solved for the Jacobson radical in the master thesis of the third author.

1. Introduction. If some radical of a topological ring is closed it can be used to study some properties of a topological ring. From this reason the question when the Jacobson radical of a topological ring is closed was studied in the first papers on topological rings (see [4]).

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W80, 16N20, 16N40.

 $Key\ words:\ Largest\ regular\ ideal,\ upper\ nilradical,\ Brown-McCoy\ radical,\ Hartman-Mycielski\ functor.$ 

We are interested in the question: Let  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{T})$  be the image of a topological ring  $(R, \mathcal{T})$  under the Hartman-Mycielski functor and  $\rho$  be a radical in the sense of Kurosh in the class of associative rings (see [8, 1]). When  $\rho(\tilde{R})$  is closed?

**2. Preliminaries and notation.** All rings are assumed to be associative and unitary. Topological rings are assumed to be Hausdorff. The symbol N(R) stands for the regular radical of R, i.e. for the largest regular ideal of R ([5], p.112). The letter  $\mu$  stands for the Lebesgue measure on the interval [0, 1].

Furthermore,  $Nil^*R$  will denote the upper nilradical of a ring R ([9], Definition (10.26)).

The symbol B(R) stands for the Brown-McCoy radical of a ring R, i.e., for the intersection of all maximal ideals of R ([10]).

Recall the construction of Hartman-Mycielski for topological rings [3], [2]: Let  $(R, \mathcal{T})$  be a topological ring. The set  $\tilde{R}$  consists of functions  $f: [0,1) \to R$  for which there exists a partition  $0 = a_0 < \cdots < a_n = 1$  such that  $f \upharpoonright_{[a_i, a_{i+1})}$  is constant,  $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ .

The operations on R are defined as follows:

$$(f+g)(t) := f(t) + g(t), \quad (fg)(t) := f(t)g(t) \quad (t \in [0,1)).$$

The family  $\mathcal{B} = \{(V, \varepsilon)\}$ , where V runs a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero of  $(R, \mathcal{T})$  and

$$(V,\varepsilon):=\{f\in \tilde{R}|\mu\{t\in [0,1)|f(t)\notin V\}<\varepsilon\}$$

is a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero for  $(\tilde{R}, \tilde{T})$ . Put for  $[\alpha, \beta) \subseteq [0, 1)$ 

$$\chi_{[\alpha,\beta)}(t) := \begin{cases} 1 & (t \in [\alpha,\beta)) \\ 0 & (t \notin [\alpha,\beta)) \end{cases}.$$

We notice that  $\chi_{[\alpha,\beta)}$  is a central idempotent of  $\tilde{R}$ . Henceforth  $S = \{f_r | r \in R\} \subseteq \tilde{R}$ , where  $f_r : [0,1) \to R, t \mapsto r$ . It is easy to check that the mapping  $\lambda : (R,\mathcal{T}) \to (\tilde{R},\tilde{\mathcal{T}}), r \mapsto f_r$  is a topological embedding. Below  $g_{[\alpha,\beta),r} := \chi_{[\alpha,\beta)} f_r$ .

**Remark 2.1.** The mapping  $\varphi_t : \tilde{R} \to R_t = R, f \mapsto f(t)$  is a surjective ring homomorphism for any fixed  $t \in [0,1)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** For any radical  $\rho$  in the sense of Kurosh,  $h \in \rho(\tilde{R})$  and  $t \in [0,1)$  implies  $h(t) \in \rho(R)$ .

Proof. Consider homomorphism  $\varphi_t: \tilde{R} \to R_t = R$ . Then  $\varphi(\rho(\tilde{R})) \subseteq \rho(R)$  and so  $h(t) \in \rho(R)$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $f \in \tilde{R}$ ,  $0 = a_0 < \cdots < a_n = 1$  and  $f = g_{[a_0,a_1),r_0} + \cdots + g_{[a_{n-1},a_n),r_{n-1}}$ . Thus  $f \in N(\tilde{R})$  iff  $g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i} \in N(\tilde{R})$  for every i = 0,...,n-1.

Proof. Indeed,  $\tilde{R} = \chi_{[a_0,a_1)} \tilde{R} \oplus \cdots \oplus \chi_{[a_{n-1},a_n)} \tilde{R}$ , a topological direct sum of ideals.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.3.** An element  $f \in \tilde{R}$  is regular if and only if f(t) is regular for all  $t \in [0,1)$ .

Proof. Assume that f is regular. Then for each  $t \in [0,1), f(t) = \varphi_t(f)$  is a regular element of R.

Conversely, assume that f(t) is a regular element of R for every  $t \in [0, 1)$ . Consider the decomposition  $f = g_{[a_0, a_1), r_0} + \cdots + g_{[a_{n-1}, a_n), r_{n-1}}$  like in Lemma 2.2.

Let  $r_i' \in R$  such that  $r_i r_i' r_i = r_i, i = 0, ..., n-1$ . Then ff'f = f for  $f' = g_{[a_0,a_1),r_0'} + \cdots + g_{[a_{n-1},a_n),r_{n-1}'}$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $r \in N(R)$  and  $[\alpha, \beta) \subseteq [0, 1)$ . Then  $g_{[\alpha, \beta), r} \in N(\tilde{R})$ .

Proof. Let  $f_i, f_i' \in \tilde{R}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $f = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f_i g_{[\alpha,\beta),r} f_i'$ . If  $t \in [0,1)$ ,  $i \in \{0,\ldots,n-1\}$ , then  $\varphi_t(f_i g_{[\alpha,\beta),r} f_i') = \varphi_t(f_i) r \varphi(f_i') \in N(R)$ , hence  $f \in N(R)$ . Therefore  $f(t) \in N(R)$ . By Lemma 2.3 f is regular. Therefore every element of the ideal of  $\tilde{R}$  generated by  $g_{[\alpha,\beta),r}$  is regular, hence  $g_{[\alpha,\beta),r} \in N(\tilde{R})$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 2.5.** If  $\varphi : \tilde{R} \to L$  is a surjective ring homomorphism where L is a prime ring, then  $\varphi(S) = L$ .

Proof. Let  $y \in L$  and  $h \in \tilde{R}$  such that  $\varphi(h) = y$ . Let  $0 = a_0 < \cdots < a_n = 1$  such that  $h([a_i, a_{i+1})) = r_i, i \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$ .

Thus  $h = g_{[a_0,a_1),r_0} + \cdots + g_{[a_{n-1},a_n),r_{n-1}}$  (see Lemma 2.2). Since  $\chi_{[0,a_1)} + \cdots + \chi_{[a_{n-1},1)} = 1_{\tilde{R}}$  (the identity of  $\tilde{R}$ ) and  $\tilde{R}$  is prime, there exists  $i \in \{0,\ldots,n-1\}$  such that  $\varphi(\chi_{[a_i,a_{i+1})}) = 1$  and  $\varphi(\chi_{[a_j,a_{j+1})}) = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ .

Thus

$$y = \varphi(g)$$

$$= \varphi(f_{r_0})\varphi(\chi_{[0,a_1)}) + \dots + \varphi(f_{r_{n-1}})\varphi(\chi_{[a_{n-1},1)})$$

$$= \varphi(f_{r_i})\varphi(\chi_{[a_i,a_{i+1})}) = \varphi(f_{r_i}).$$

# 3. Results.

**Theorem 3.1.** The regular radical N(R) of a topological ring  $(R, \mathcal{T})$  is closed if and only if  $N(\tilde{R})$  is closed.

**Theorem 3.2.** The upper nilradical  $Nil^*R$  of a topological ring R is closed iff  $Nil^*\tilde{R}$  is closed.

Corollary 3.3. If R is a commutative topological ring with 1, then the nilradical  $Nil^*R$  is closed iff the nilradical  $Nil^*\tilde{R}$  is closed.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let R be a topological ring. Then B(R) is closed  $\iff$   $B(\tilde{R})$  is closed.

### 4. Proofs.

Proof of  $\underline{Theorem}$  3.1.  $\Rightarrow$ : Assume on the contrary that  $N(\tilde{R})$  is not closed. Let  $f \in \overline{N(\tilde{R})} \setminus N(\tilde{R})$ . Let  $f = g_{[a_0,a_1),r_0} + \cdots + g_{[a_{n-1},a_n),r_{n-1}}$  be the decomposition of f like in Lemma 2.2. Then by Lemma 2.2 there exists  $i \in \{0,\ldots,n-1\}$  such that  $g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i} \notin N(R)$ . Thus by Lemma 2.4,  $r_i \notin N(R)$ . By continuity of ring operations,  $g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i} = \chi_{a_i,a_{i+1}} f \in \chi_{a_i,a_{i+1}} \overline{N(\tilde{R})} \subseteq \overline{N(\tilde{R})}$ .

Since N(R) is closed there exists a neighborhood V of zero of  $(R, \mathcal{T})$  such that  $(r_i + V) \cap N(R) = \emptyset$ .

We claim that  $(g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i}+(V,a_{i+1}-a_i))\cap N(\tilde{R})=\varnothing$ . Assume on the contrary that there exists  $h\in (g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i}+(V,a_{i+1}-a_i))\cap N(\tilde{R});$  then  $h-g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i}\in (V,a_{i+1}-a_i).$  If were  $(h-g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i})(t_1)\notin V$  for all  $t_1\in [a_i,a_{i+1}),$  then  $[a_i,a_{i+1})\subseteq \{t'|(h-g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i})(t')\notin V\}.$  Thus  $\mu(\{t'|(h-g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i})(t')\notin V\})\geq \mu([a_i,a_{i+1}))=a_{i+1}-a_i,$  a contradiction. It follows that there exists  $t''\in [a_i,a_{i+1})$  such that  $(h-g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i})(t'')\in V$  which implies  $h(t'')-g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i}(t'')\in V$  and so  $h(t'')\in r_i+V$ . However by Lemma 2.1  $h(t'')\in N(R),$  hence  $(r_i+V)\cap N(R)\neq\varnothing,$  a contradiction. We have proved that  $N(\tilde{R})$  is closed.

 $\Leftarrow$ : Assume that  $N(\tilde{R})$  is closed and let  $r \notin N(R)$ . Then by Lemma 2.4  $f_r \notin N(\tilde{R})$ , where  $f_r(t) = r$  for all  $t \in [0,1)$ . There exists  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  such that  $(f_r + (V, \varepsilon) \cap N(\tilde{R}) = \varnothing$ .

We claim that  $(r+V) \cap N(R) = \emptyset$ . Assume on the contrary that there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $j = r + v \in N(R)$ .

Let  $f_j, f_v \in \tilde{R}$ , where  $\forall_{t \in [0,1)} [f_j(t) = j]$  and  $\forall_{t \in [0,1)} [f_t(v) = v]$ . Then according to Lemma 2.4,  $f_j \in N(R)$ . Since  $\{t \in [0,1) | f_v(t) \notin V\} = \varnothing$ ,  $\mu(\{t \in [0,1) | f_v(t) \notin V\}) = 0 < \varepsilon$ , we obtain  $f_v \in (V,\varepsilon)$ . Thus  $f_j = f_r + f_v \in (f_r + (V,\varepsilon)) \cap N(\tilde{R})$ , a contradiction. Thereby we have proved that  $R \setminus N(R)$  is open and so N(R) is closed.  $\square$ 

Proof of Theorem 3.2.  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $f \notin Nil^*\tilde{R}$  and  $0 = a_0 < \cdots < a_n = 1$  be a partition of [0,1) such that  $f \upharpoonright_{[a_i,a_{i+1})}$  is constant for  $i=0,\ldots,n-1$ . By [9] the ideal (f) of  $\tilde{R}$  is not a nil ideal. We have that  $f=g_{[a_0,a_1),r_0}+\cdots+g_{[a_{n-1},a_n),r_{n-1}}=f_0+\cdots+f_{n-1}$ , where  $f_i=r_i\chi_{[a_i,a_{i+1})}$  and  $r_i\in R$ ,  $i=0,\ldots,n-1$ .

Then  $(f_i)$  will be not a nil ideal for some  $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon = a_{i+1} - a_i$  and  $r = r_i = f_i[a_i, a_{i+1})$ . Then  $(f_r)$  is not nil. Since (r) is not a nil ideal, we obtain that  $r \notin Nil^*R$ .

By assumption there exists a neighborhood V of zero such that  $(r+V)\cap Nil^*\tilde{R}=\varnothing$ .

We claim that  $(f + (V, \varepsilon)) \cap Nil^*\tilde{R} = \varnothing$ . Assume on the contrary that there exists  $g \in (V, \varepsilon)$  such that  $f + g \in Nil^*\tilde{R}$ . We notice that  $f(t) + g(t) \in N^*R$  for all  $t \in [0, 1)$ . Since  $g \in (V, \varepsilon)$  there exists  $t_0 \in [a_i, a_{i+1})$  such that  $g(t_0) \in V$ . Thus  $f(t_0) + g(t_0) = r + g(t_0) \in (r + V) \cap Nil^*R$ , a contradiction.

 $\Leftarrow$ : Assume that  $Nil^*\tilde{R}$  is closed. Let  $r \notin Nil^*R$ . Thus  $f_r \notin Nil^*\tilde{R}$ . Let V be a neighborhood of zero and  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  such that  $(f_r + (V,\varepsilon)) \cap Nil^*\tilde{R} = \emptyset$ .

We claim that  $(r+V) \cap Nil^*R = \emptyset$ . Assume on the contrary that there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $r+v \in Nil^*R$ . Thus  $f_{r+v} = f_r + f_v \in Nil^*\tilde{R}$ . Since  $\{t|f_v(t) = v \notin V\} = \emptyset, f_v \in (V,\varepsilon)$ . Thus  $f_r + f_v \in Nil^*\tilde{R} \cap (f_r + (V,\varepsilon))$ , a contradiction.  $\square$ 

Proof of Theorem 3.3.  $\Rightarrow$ : Let  $f \notin B(\tilde{R})$ . Then there exists a maximal ideal M of  $\tilde{R}$  such that  $f \notin M$ .

Let  $f = g_{[a_0,a_1),r_0} + \cdots + g_{[a_{n-1},a_n),r_{n-1}}$  be the decomposition of f like in Lemma 2.2. Let  $i \in \{0,\ldots,n-1\}$  such that  $g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i} \notin M$ .

We have an isomorphism  $\lambda: R \to S, r \mapsto f_r$ , where  $S = \{f_r | r \in R\}$ . Consider the canonical homomorphism  $q: \tilde{R} \to \tilde{R}/M$ . Since every simple ring is prime, by Lemma 2.5  $q(S) = \tilde{R}/M$ . Thus  $S \cap M$  will be a maximal ideal of S and  $g_{[a_i,a_{i+1}),r_i} = f_{r_i}\chi_{[a_i,a_{i+1})} = r_i\chi_{[a_i,a_{i+1})} \notin S \cap M$ .

It follows that  $r_i \notin \lambda^{-1}(S \cap M)$  and  $\lambda^{-1}(S \cap M)$  is a maximal ideal of R. Thus  $r_i \notin B(R)$ . There exists a neighborhood V of  $0_R$  such that  $(r_i + V) \cap B(R) = \emptyset$ .

We claim that  $(f + (V, \varepsilon)) \cap B(\tilde{R}) = \emptyset$ , where  $\varepsilon = a_{i+1} - a_i$ .

Assume on the contrary that there exists  $h \in (V, \varepsilon)$  such that  $f + h \in B(\tilde{R})$ . There exists  $t_0 \in [a_i, a_{i+1})$  such that  $h(t_0) \in V$ . Thus  $f(t_0) + h(t_0) \in r_i + V$ . Furthermore,  $f(t_0) + h(t_0) = \varphi_{t_0}(f + h) \in \varphi_{t_0}(B(\tilde{R})) \subseteq B(R)$ . Therefore  $(r_i + V) \cap B(R) \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

 $\Leftarrow$ : Let  $r \notin B(R)$ . Then  $f_r \notin B(\tilde{R})$ . Indeed, otherwise  $r = \varphi_0(f_r) \in \varphi_0(B(\tilde{R})) \subseteq B(R_0) = B(R)$ , a contradiction.

Let V be a neighborhood of zero of R and  $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$  such that  $(f_r + (V,\varepsilon)) \cap B(\tilde{R}) = \emptyset$ . Assume that there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $r + v \in B(R)$ . Thus  $f_v \in (V,\varepsilon)$  and  $f_r + f_v \in f_r + (V,\varepsilon)$ .

We notice that if  $x \in B(R)$ , then  $f_x \in B(\tilde{R})$ . For,  $\lambda : R \to S$  is an isomorphism. Then  $\lambda(x) = f_x \in B(S)$ .

If M is a maximal ideal of  $\tilde{R}$  and  $\Psi: \tilde{R} \to \tilde{R}/M$  the canonical homomorphism, then  $\Psi(S) = \tilde{R}/M$ , hence  $(\Psi|S)(S) = \tilde{R}/M$ . Thus  $(\Psi|S)(B(S)) \subseteq B(\tilde{R}/M) = 0$ , hence  $B(S) \subseteq B(\tilde{R})$  and so  $f_x \in B(\tilde{R})$ . Now  $f_r + f_v = f_{r+v} \in B(\tilde{R})$ , a contradiction.  $\square$ 

**5. Examples.** An example of a topological ring whose Jacobson radical isn't closed has been constructed in [4, pp. 158–159].

The Jacobson radical of a locally compact ring is closed ([6]). A topological ring with 1 is called a Q-ring if the set of all its invertible elements is open. It is well-known that the radical of a Q-ring is closed [11, Corollary 6.3, page 125].

We will construct a non-regular locally compact ring whose regular ideal is dense, hence, nonclosed.

Let  $R_i = M(2, \mathbb{F}_2) (i = 1, 2, ...)$  be the matrix ring of 2-by-2 matrices over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$  consisting of 2 elements and  $S_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{F}_2 & \mathbb{F}_2 \\ 0 & \mathbb{F}_2 \end{bmatrix} (i = 1, 2, ...)$ .

Furthermore, let  $A = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (R_i : S_i)$  be the local product of  $R_i$  with respect to open subrings  $S_i (i \in \mathbb{N})([11]$ , page 211). The ring A is locally compact. By [11], Theorem 17.2, page 211 A is not regular. However we will give here a direct prooof.

We claim that the equation  $\{a\}x\{a\}=\{a\}$  has no solution in  $x=\{x_i\}\in A$  for  $a=\begin{bmatrix}0&1\\0&0\end{bmatrix}$ . Indeed, on the contrary there exists  $i_0$  such that  $x_i\in S_i$  for  $i\geq i_0$ . Thus  $0=ax_{i_0}a=a$ , a contradiction.

The ideal  $\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} R_i$  is regular and dense in A. Therefore, the regular radical of A is not closed.

**Acknowledgement.** We are grateful to professor Mitrofan Choban for his interest in this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. Andrunachievic, Y. M. Rjabuhin. Radicals of algebras and structural theory. Moscow, Nauka, 1979 (in Russian).
- [2] V. I. Arnautov, M. I. Ursul. Imbedding of topological rings into connected ones. Mat. Issled. 49 (1979), 11–15, 159 (in Russian).
- [3] S. Hartman, J. Mycielski. On the imbedding of topological groups into connected topological groups. *Colloq. Math.* 5 (1958), 167–169.
- [4] I. Kaplansky. Topological rings. Amer. J. Math. 69 (1947), 153–183.
- [5] I. Kaplansky. Fields and Rings, 2nd edition. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. Chicago, Ill.-London, The University of Chicago Press, 1972.
- [6] I. Kaplansky. Locally compact rings. Amer. J. Math. 70 (1948), 447–459.
- [7] R. Kuna. The Hartman-Mycielski functor in the class of associative topological rings. M. A. Thesis, Papua New Guinea University of Technology, 2018.
- [8] A. G. Kurosh. Radicals of rings and algebras. *Mat. Sbornik N.S.* **33(75)** (1953), 13–26 (in Russian).
- [9] T. I. Lam. A First Course in Noncommutative Rings. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 131. New York, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
- [10] NEAL H. McCoy. The Theory of Rings. Reprint of the 1964 edition. Bronx, N.Y., Chelsea Publishing Co., 1973.

[11] M. URSUL. Topological Rings Satisfying Compactness Conditions. Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 549. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2002.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Papua New Guinea University of Technology
Lae, Papua New Guinea
e-mail: mihail.ursul@pnguot.ac.pg (Mihail Ursul)
e-mail: raymond.kuna@pnguot.ac.pg (Raymond Kuna)

Adela Tripe
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Oradea
Oradea, Romania
e-mail: adela.tripe@gmail.com

Received July 4, 2019