

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.
--

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

# Сердика

## Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

QUASI-MINIMAL LORENTZ SURFACES  
IN PSEUDO-EUCLIDEAN 4-SPACE  
WITH NEUTRAL METRIC\*

Yana Aleksieva, Velichka Milousheva

*Communicated by J. Davidov*

ABSTRACT. A Lorentz surface in the pseudo-Euclidean 4-space with neutral metric is called quasi-minimal if its mean curvature vector is lightlike at each point. We prove that any quasi-minimal Lorentz surface whose Gauss curvature  $K$  and normal curvature  $\varkappa$  satisfy the condition  $K^2 - \varkappa^2 \neq 0$  at every point is determined (up to a rigid motion) by five geometric functions satisfying a system of four partial differential equations.

**1. Introduction.** In the present paper we study Lorentz surfaces in the pseudo-Euclidean space with neutral metric  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ . A submanifold in a Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold is called *minimal* if its mean curvature vector vanishes identically. The study of minimal surfaces is one of the main topics

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 53B30, Secondary 53A35, 53B25.

*Key words*: Quasi-minimal surface, marginally trapped surface, pseudo-Euclidean 4-space, Fundamental theorem.

\*The authors are partially supported by the National Science Fund, Ministry of Education and Science of Bulgaria under contract DN 12/2.

in differential geometry both in Euclidean and pseudo-Euclidean spaces, since pseudo-Riemannian geometry has many important applications in Physics. In [4] B.-Y. Chen classified minimal Lorentz surfaces in  $\mathbb{C}_1^2$ . Several classification results for minimal Lorentz surfaces in indefinite space forms are obtained in [6].

A natural extension of minimal surfaces is the class of quasi-minimal surfaces. A surface in a pseudo-Riemannian manifold is called *quasi-minimal* if its mean curvature vector is lightlike at each point of the surface [22]. When the ambient space is the Lorentz-Minkowski space  $\mathbb{E}_1^4$ , the quasi-minimal submanifolds are also called *marginally trapped* – a notion borrowed from General Relativity. The concept of trapped surfaces was first introduced by Roger Penrose [21] in connection with the theory of cosmic black holes. In Physics, a surface in a 4-dimensional spacetime is called *marginally trapped* if it is closed, embedded, spacelike and its mean curvature vector is lightlike at each point of the surface. In the mathematical literature, it is customary to call a surface marginally trapped if its mean curvature vector  $H$  is lightlike at each point, removing the other hypotheses, i.e. the surface does not need to be closed or embedded. In the Minkowski space, marginally trapped surfaces satisfying some extra conditions have recently been intensively studied in connection with the rapid development of the theory of black holes in Physics. For example, marginally trapped surfaces with positive relative nullity were classified by B.-Y. Chen and J. Van der Veken in [11]. They also classified marginally trapped surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in Lorentz space forms [12]. Marginally trapped surfaces in Lorentz-Minkowski 4-space which are invariant under boost transformations were studied by S. Haesen and M. Ortega in [16], and marginally trapped surfaces which are invariant under spacelike rotations were classified in [17]. A classification of marginally trapped surfaces which are invariant under the group of screw rotations (a group of Lorentz rotations with an invariant lightlike direction) is obtained in [18]. A classification of marginally trapped surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map in  $\mathbb{E}_1^4$  is obtained independently in [19] and [23]. In [14] G. Ganchev and the second author studied marginally trapped surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_1^4$  in terms of a geometrically determined moving frame field. They proved a fundamental theorem of Bonnet-type stating that each marginally trapped surface in  $\mathbb{R}_1^4$  is determined up to a motion by seven invariant functions.

Quasi-minimal surfaces in the pseudo-Euclidean 4-space  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  have also been studied actively in the last few years. In [2] B.-Y. Chen classified quasi-minimal Lorentz flat surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  and gave a complete classification of biharmonic quasi-minimal surfaces. Quasi-minimal surfaces with constant Gauss curvature in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$

were classified in [3, 10]. Quasi-minimal Lagrangian surfaces and quasi-minimal slant surfaces in complex space forms were classified, respectively, in [7] and [9]. A classification of quasi-minimal surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  is obtained in [8], quasi-minimal rotational surfaces of elliptic, hyperbolic or parabolic type are classified in [15]. The complete classification of quasi-minimal Lorentz surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  with pointwise 1-type Gauss map is given in [20]. The quasi-minimal surfaces in the 4-dimensional de Sitter space with 1-type Gauss map are studied in [24]. Recently, quasi-minimal surfaces with positive relative nullity in the pseudo-Euclidean space  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  and the pseudo-sphere  $\mathbb{S}_2^4$  were classified [13].

In the present paper we study quasi-minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ . Introducing a geometrically determined moving frame field at each point of the surface we obtain five geometric functions and prove that any quasi-minimal Lorentz surface whose Gauss curvature  $K$  and normal curvature  $\varkappa$  satisfy the condition  $K^2 - \varkappa^2 \neq 0$  at every point is determined (up to a rigid motion) by these five functions satisfying a system of four partial differential equations (Theorem 3.2).

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  be the pseudo-Euclidean 4-space endowed with the canonical pseudo-Euclidean metric of index 2 given in local coordinates by

$$g_0 = dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 - dx_3^2 - dx_4^2,$$

where  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$  are rectangular coordinates of the points of  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ . As usual, we denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the indefinite inner scalar product associated with  $g_0$ . Since  $g_0$  is an indefinite metric, a vector  $v \in \mathbb{E}_2^4$  can have one of the three casual characters: *spacelike*, if  $\langle v, v \rangle > 0$  or  $v = 0$ , *timelike* if  $\langle v, v \rangle < 0$ , and *lightlike* if  $\langle v, v \rangle = 0$  and  $v \neq 0$ . This terminology is inspired by General Relativity and the Minkowski 4-space  $\mathbb{E}_1^4$ .

A surface  $M_1^2$  in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  is called *Lorentz* if the induced metric  $g$  on  $M_1^2$  is Lorentzian. So, at each point  $p \in M_1^2$  we have the following decomposition

$$\mathbb{E}_2^4 = T_p M_1^2 \oplus N_p M_1^2$$

with the property that the restriction of the metric onto the tangent space  $T_p M_1^2$  is of signature  $(1, 1)$ , and the restriction of the metric onto the normal space  $N_p M_1^2$  is of signature  $(1, 1)$ .

We denote by  $\nabla$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the Levi Civita connections of  $M_1^2$  and  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ , respectively. For vector fields  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M_1^2$  and a vector field  $\xi$  normal to  $M_1^2$ , the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten, giving a decomposition of the vector fields  $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi$  into tangent and normal components, are given respectively by:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_X Y &= \nabla_X Y + \sigma(X, Y); \\ \tilde{\nabla}_X \xi &= -A_\xi X + D_X \xi.\end{aligned}$$

These formulas define the second fundamental form  $\sigma$ , the normal connection  $D$ , and the shape operator  $A_\xi$  with respect to  $\xi$ . In general,  $A_\xi$  is not diagonalizable. The shape operator and the second fundamental form are related by the formula

$$\langle \sigma(X, Y), \xi \rangle = \langle A_\xi X, Y \rangle$$

for  $X, Y$  tangent to  $M_1^2$  and  $\xi$  normal to  $M_1^2$ .

At a given point  $p \in M_1^2$ , the *first normal space* of  $M_1^2$  in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ , denoted by  $\text{Im } \sigma_p$ , is the subspace given by

$$\text{Im } \sigma_p = \text{span}\{\sigma(X, Y) : X, Y \in T_p M_1^2\}.$$

The mean curvature vector field  $H$  of  $M_1^2$  in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  is defined as  $H = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \sigma$ . The surface is called *minimal* if its mean curvature vector vanishes identically, i.e.  $H = 0$ . The surface is called *quasi-minimal* if its mean curvature vector is lightlike at each point, i.e.  $H \neq 0$  and  $\langle H, H \rangle = 0$ . Obviously, quasi-minimal surfaces are always non-minimal.

**3. Fundamental theorem for quasi-minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ .** It is known that for each Lorentz surface  $M_1^2$  in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  locally there exists a coordinate system  $(u, v)$  such that the metric tensor is given by

$$(1) \quad g = -f^2(u, v)(du \otimes dv + dv \otimes du),$$

for some positive function  $f(u, v)$  [5]. Let  $z = z(u, v), (u, v) \in \mathcal{D} (\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2)$  be a local parametrization on  $M_1^2$  such that (1) holds. Then

$$\langle z_u, z_u \rangle = 0; \quad \langle z_u, z_v \rangle = -f^2(u, v); \quad \langle z_v, z_v \rangle = 0.$$

Denoting  $x = \frac{z_u}{f}$  and  $y = \frac{z_v}{f}$ , we obtain a pseudo-orthonormal frame field  $\{x, y\}$  of the tangent bundle of  $M_1^2$  such that  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle x, y \rangle = -1$ ,  $\langle y, y \rangle = 0$ . Hence, the mean curvature vector field  $H$  is given by

$$H = -\sigma(x, y).$$

Now, let  $M_1^2$  be quasi-minimal, i.e. its mean curvature vector is lightlike at each point. Then there exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame field  $\{n_1, n_2\}$  of the normal bundle such that  $n_1 = -H$ ,  $\langle n_1, n_1 \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle = -1$ ,  $\langle n_2, n_2 \rangle = 0$ .

By a direct computation we obtain the following derivative formulas:

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_x x &= \gamma_1 x + \lambda_1 n_1 + \mu_1 n_2, & \tilde{\nabla}_y x &= -\gamma_2 x + n_1, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_x y &= -\gamma_1 y + n_1, & \tilde{\nabla}_y y &= \gamma_2 y + \lambda_2 n_1 + \mu_2 n_2, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_x n_1 &= -\mu_1 y + \beta_1 n_1, & \tilde{\nabla}_y n_1 &= -\mu_2 x + \beta_2 n_1, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_x n_2 &= -x - \lambda_1 y - \beta_1 n_2, & \tilde{\nabla}_y n_2 &= -\lambda_2 x - y - \beta_2 n_2, \end{aligned}$$

for some smooth functions  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ , where  $\gamma_1 = \frac{f_u}{f^2}$  and  $\gamma_2 = \frac{f_v}{f^2}$ .

Note that the pseudo-orthonormal frame field  $\{x, y, n_1, n_2\}$  is geometrically determined as follows:  $x$  and  $y$  are the two lightlike directions in the tangent bundle of the surface,  $-n_1$  is the mean curvature vector field,  $n_2$  is uniquely determined by the conditions  $\langle n_2, n_2 \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle n_1, n_2 \rangle = -1$ . We call this pseudo-orthonormal frame field a *geometric frame field* of the surface. The functions  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$  are determined by the geometric frame field as follows:

$$\lambda_1 = -\langle \tilde{\nabla}_x x, n_2 \rangle, \quad \lambda_2 = -\langle \tilde{\nabla}_y y, n_2 \rangle,$$

$$\mu_1 = -\langle \tilde{\nabla}_x x, n_1 \rangle, \quad \mu_2 = -\langle \tilde{\nabla}_y y, n_1 \rangle,$$

$$\beta_1 = -\langle \tilde{\nabla}_x n_1, n_2 \rangle, \quad \beta_2 = -\langle \tilde{\nabla}_y n_1, n_2 \rangle.$$

We call these functions *geometric functions* of the surface since they are determined by the geometric frame field  $\{x, y, n_1, n_2\}$ .

It follows from (2) that the Gaussian curvature  $K$  and the normal curvature  $\varkappa$  of  $M_1^2$  are expressed by

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} K &= -R(x, y, y, x) = x(\gamma_2) + y(\gamma_1) + 2\gamma_1\gamma_2, \\ \varkappa &= -R^D(x, y, n_1, n_2) = x(\beta_2) - y(\beta_1) + \gamma_1\beta_2 - \gamma_2\beta_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R$  and  $R^D$  are the curvature tensors associated with the connections  $\nabla$  and  $D$ , respectively.

**Remark 1.** Note that, if  $M_1^2$  is a quasi-minimal surface with parallel mean curvature vector field, i.e.  $D_x H = 0, D_y H = 0$ , then  $M_1^2$  has flat normal connection ( $\varkappa = 0$ ).

Using the equations of Gauss and Codazzi, from formulas (2) we obtain the following integrability conditions:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} x(\lambda_2) &= -\lambda_2\beta_1 - 2\lambda_2\gamma_1 + \beta_2, \\ x(\mu_2) &= \mu_2\beta_1 - 2\mu_2\gamma_1, \\ y(\lambda_1) &= -\lambda_1\beta_2 - 2\lambda_1\gamma_2 + \beta_1, \\ y(\mu_1) &= \mu_1\beta_2 - 2\mu_1\gamma_2, \\ x(\gamma_2) + y(\gamma_1) + 2\gamma_1\gamma_2 &= \lambda_1\mu_2 + \mu_1\lambda_2, \\ x(\beta_2) - y(\beta_1) - \beta_1\gamma_2 + \beta_2\gamma_1 &= \lambda_1\mu_2 - \mu_1\lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

Equalities (3) and (4) imply that the Gauss curvature  $K$  and the normal curvature  $\varkappa$  are expressed as follows:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} K &= \lambda_1\mu_2 + \mu_1\lambda_2, \\ \varkappa &= \lambda_1\mu_2 - \mu_1\lambda_2. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.** If  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$ , then the first equality of (5) implies  $K = 0$ , i.e.  $M_1^2$  is a flat surface. Moreover,  $M_1^2$  has flat normal connection. In [2, Theorem 4.1], B.-Y. Chen obtained a complete classification of flat quasi-minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ . Following the proof of B.-Y. Chen, one can see that a quasi-minimal surface in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  satisfying  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$  is congruent to a surface parametrized by

$$z(u, v) = \left( \theta(u, v), \frac{u-v}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{u+v}{\sqrt{2}}, \theta(u, v) \right)$$

for a smooth function  $\theta$ .

The flat quasi-minimal surfaces can be characterized in terms of the so called null allied mean curvature vector field. The *allied vector field* of a normal vector field  $\xi$  of an  $n$ -dimensional submanifold  $M^n$  of  $(n+m)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $\widetilde{M}^{n+m}$  is defined by B.-Y. Chen [1] by the formula

$$a(\xi) = \frac{\|\xi\|}{n} \sum_{k=2}^m \{\text{tr}(A_1 \circ A_k)\} \xi_k,$$

where  $\{\xi_1 = \frac{\xi}{\|\xi\|}, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m\}$  is a local orthonormal frame of the normal bundle of  $M^n$ , and  $A_i = A_{\xi_i}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  is the shape operator with respect to  $\xi_i$ . The allied vector field  $a(H)$  of the mean curvature vector field  $H$  is called the *allied mean curvature vector field* of  $M^n$  in  $\widetilde{M}^{n+m}$ . The notion of allied mean curvature vector field is extended by S. Haesen and M. Ortega [18] to the case when the normal space is a two-dimensional Lorentz space and the mean curvature vector field is lightlike as follows. Denote by  $\{H, H^\perp\}$  a pseudo-orthonormal basis of the normal space such that  $\langle H, H \rangle = 0$ ;  $\langle H^\perp, H^\perp \rangle = 0$ ;  $\langle H, H^\perp \rangle = -1$ . The *null allied mean curvature vector field* is defined as

$$(6) \quad a(H) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(A_H \circ A_{H^\perp}) H^\perp.$$

Now, if  $M_1^2$  is a quasi-minimal surface, then using equalities (2) we get

$$A_H = A_{-n_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mu_1 \\ -\mu_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad A_{H^\perp} = A_{-n_2} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -\lambda_1 \\ -\lambda_2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, formulas (6) and (5) imply that the null allied mean curvature vector field of  $M_1^2$  is expressed as follows:

$$a(H) = \frac{K}{2} n_2.$$

Thus we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $M_1^2$  be a quasi-minimal surface in  $\mathbb{R}_2^4$ . Then  $M_1^2$  is a flat surface if and only if  $M_1^2$  has vanishing null allied mean curvature vector field.*



The same proposition holds for marginally trapped surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}_1^4$  [14].

Now, let us consider again equalities (4). In the case  $\lambda_1\mu_1\lambda_2\mu_2 \neq 0$  we can express the functions  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  as follows:

$$\beta_1 = x (\ln |\mu_2 f^2|) ; \quad \beta_2 = y (\ln |\mu_1 f^2|) .$$

Then, the first and the third equality of (4) imply that the functions  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2$  satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} x (\ln |\lambda_2 \mu_2 f^4|) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_2} y (\ln |\mu_1 f^2|) ; \\ y (\ln |\lambda_1 \mu_1 f^4|) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_1} x (\ln |\mu_2 f^2|) . \end{aligned}$$

Using that  $x = \frac{z_u}{f}$ ,  $y = \frac{z_v}{f}$ , from the last two equalities in (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2f f_{uv} - 2f_u f_v &= f^4(\lambda_1 \mu_2 + \mu_1 \lambda_2); \\ \left( \ln \left| \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right| \right)_{uv} &= f^2(\lambda_1 \mu_2 - \mu_1 \lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

In the local theory of surfaces in Euclidean space a statement of significant importance is a theorem of Bonnet-type giving the natural conditions under which the surface is determined up to a motion. A theorem of this type was proved for surfaces with flat normal connection in Euclidean space by B.-Y. Chen in [1]. In [14] the fundamental existence and uniqueness theorem for marginally trapped surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_1^4$  is proved in terms of seven invariant functions. Now we shall prove a similar theorem for the class of quasi-minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\lambda_1(u, v)$ ,  $\mu_1(u, v)$ ,  $\lambda_2(u, v)$ ,  $\mu_2(u, v)$ ,  $f(u, v)$  be smooth*

functions, defined in a domain  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ , and satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad & f > 0; \quad \lambda_1 \mu_1 \lambda_2 \mu_2 \neq 0, \quad (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}; \\
 & (\ln |\lambda_1 \mu_1 f^4|)_v = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} (\ln |\mu_2 f^2|)_u; \\
 & (\ln |\lambda_2 \mu_2 f^4|)_u = \frac{1}{\lambda_2} (\ln |\mu_1 f^2|)_v; \\
 & 2ff_{uv} - 2f_u f_v = f^4(\lambda_1 \mu_2 + \mu_1 \lambda_2); \\
 & \left( \ln \left| \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \right| \right)_{uv} = f^2(\lambda_1 \mu_2 - \mu_1 \lambda_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{x_0, y_0, (n_1)_0, (n_2)_0\}$  be a pseudo-orthonormal frame at a point  $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}_2^4$ . Then, there exist a subdomain  $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}$  and a unique quasi-minimal Lorentz surface  $M_1^2 : z = z(u, v)$ ,  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_0$  passing through the point  $p_0$ .

**Proof.** We introduce functions  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  by the formulas:

$$\beta_1 = \frac{1}{f} (\ln |\mu_2 f^2|)_u; \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{f} (\ln |\mu_1 f^2|)_v.$$

Let us consider the following system of partial differential equations for the unknown vector functions  $x = x(u, v)$ ,  $y = y(u, v)$ ,  $n_1 = n_1(u, v)$ ,  $n_2 = n_2(u, v)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_2^4$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad & \begin{aligned} x_u &= (\ln f)_u x + f\lambda_1 n_1 + f\mu_1 n_2 & x_v &= -(\ln f)_v x + f n_1 \\ y_u &= -(\ln f)_u y + f n_1 & y_v &= (\ln f)_v y + f\lambda_2 n_1 + f\mu_2 n_2 \\ (n_1)_u &= -f\mu_1 y + f\beta_1 n_1 & (n_1)_v &= -f\mu_2 x + f\beta_2 n_1 \\ (n_2)_u &= -f x - f\lambda_1 y - f\beta_1 n_2 & (n_2)_v &= -f\lambda_2 x - f y - f\beta_2 n_2 \end{aligned}
 \end{aligned}$$

We denote

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} (\ln f)_u & 0 & f\lambda_1 & f\mu_1 \\ 0 & -(\ln f)_u & f & 0 \\ 0 & -f\mu_1 & f\beta_1 & 0 \\ -f & -f\lambda_1 & 0 & -f\beta_1 \end{pmatrix};$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} -(\ln f)_v & 0 & f & 0 \\ 0 & (\ln f)_v & f\lambda_2 & f\mu_2 \\ -f\mu_2 & 0 & f\beta_2 & 0 \\ -f\lambda_2 & -f & 0 & -f\beta_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using matrices  $A$  and  $B$  we can rewrite system (8) in the form:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_u &= A Z \\ Z_v &= B Z \end{aligned}$$

The integrability conditions of system (9) are

$$Z_{uv} = Z_{vu},$$

i.e.

$$(10) \quad \frac{\partial a_i^k}{\partial v} - \frac{\partial b_i^k}{\partial u} + \sum_{j=1}^4 (a_i^j b_j^k - b_i^j a_j^k) = 0, \quad i, k = 1, \dots, 4,$$

where  $a_i^j$  and  $b_i^j$  are the elements of the matrices  $A$  and  $B$ . By direct computation, using (7) we obtain that equalities (10) are fulfilled. Hence, there exist a subset  $\mathcal{D}_1 \subset \mathcal{D}$  and unique vector functions  $x = x(u, v)$ ,  $y = y(u, v)$ ,  $n_1 = n_1(u, v)$ ,  $n_2 = n_2(u, v)$ ,  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ , which satisfy system (8) and the initial conditions

$$x(u_0, v_0) = x_0, \quad y(u_0, v_0) = y_0, \quad n_1(u_0, v_0) = (n_1)_0, \quad n_2(u_0, v_0) = (n_2)_0.$$

We shall prove that for each  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_1$  the vectors  $x(u, v)$ ,  $y(u, v)$ ,  $n_1(u, v)$ ,  $n_2(u, v)$  form a pseudo-orthonormal frame in  $\mathbb{R}_2^4$ . Let us consider the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 &= \langle x, x \rangle; & \varphi_5 &= \langle x, y \rangle + 1; & \varphi_8 &= \langle y, n_1 \rangle; \\ \varphi_2 &= \langle y, y \rangle; & \varphi_6 &= \langle x, n_1 \rangle; & \varphi_9 &= \langle y, n_2 \rangle; \\ \varphi_3 &= \langle n_1, n_1 \rangle; & \varphi_7 &= \langle x, n_2 \rangle; & \varphi_{10} &= \langle n_1, n_2 \rangle + 1; \\ \varphi_4 &= \langle n_2, n_2 \rangle; \end{aligned}$$

defined for  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ . Since  $x(u, v)$ ,  $y(u, v)$ ,  $n_1(u, v)$ ,  $n_2(u, v)$  satisfy (8), for the derivatives of  $\varphi_i$  we obtain the following system:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial u} &= \alpha_i^j \varphi_j, \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial v} &= \beta_i^j \varphi_j; \end{aligned} \quad i = 1, \dots, 10,$$

where  $\alpha_i^j, \beta_i^j$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, 10$  are functions of  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ . This is a linear system of partial differential equations for the functions  $\varphi_i(u, v)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 10$ ,  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ , satisfying the initial conditions  $\varphi_i(u_0, v_0) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 10$ . Hence,  $\varphi_i(u, v) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 10$  for each  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ . Consequently,  $x(u, v)$ ,  $y(u, v)$ ,  $n_1(u, v)$ ,  $n_2(u, v)$  form a pseudo-orthonormal frame in  $\mathbb{R}_2^4$  for each  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_1$ .

Now we consider the following system of partial differential equations for the vector function  $z = z(u, v)$ :

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} z_u &= f x \\ z_v &= f y \end{aligned}$$

By use of (8) we get that  $z_{uv} = z_{vu}$ , which means that the integrability conditions of system (11) are fulfilled. Hence, there exist a subset  $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{D}_1$  and a unique vector function  $z = z(u, v)$ , defined for  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_0$ , such that  $z(u_0, v_0) = p_0$ .

Now, we consider the surface  $M_1^2 : z = z(u, v)$ ,  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_0$ . Using that  $z(u, v)$  is a solution of system (11) and  $x(u, v)$ ,  $y(u, v)$  satisfy (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_x x &= \frac{f_u}{f^2} x + \lambda_1 n_1 + \mu_1 n_2, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_x y &= -\frac{f_u}{f^2} y + n_1, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_y x &= -\frac{f_v}{f^2} x + n_1, \\ \tilde{\nabla}_y y &= \frac{f_v}{f^2} y + \lambda_2 n_1 + \mu_2 n_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $M_1^2$  is a Lorentz surface in  $\mathbb{R}_2^4$  with mean curvature vector field  $H = -n_1$ . So,  $M_1^2$  is quasi-minimal.

Consequently, the surface  $M_1^2 : z = z(u, v)$ ,  $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}_0$  satisfies the assertion of the theorem.  $\square$

It follows from (5) that  $K^2 - \varkappa^2 = 4\lambda_1\mu_1\lambda_2\mu_2$ . So, Theorem 3.2 states that the quasi-minimal surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}_2^4$  with  $K^2 - \varkappa^2 \neq 0$  are determined (up to a rigid motion) by five geometric functions satisfying a system of four partial differential equations. The class of quasi-minimal surfaces satisfying the condition  $K^2 - \varkappa^2 = 0$ , i.e.  $K = \pm\varkappa$ , will be studied separately.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B.-Y. CHEN. Geometry of submanifolds. New York, Marcel Dekker, Inc., 1973.
- [2] B.-Y. CHEN. Classification of marginally trapped Lorentzian flat surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  and its application to biharmonic surfaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340**, 2 (2008), 861–875.
- [3] B.-Y. CHEN. Classification of marginally trapped surfaces of constant curvature in Lorentzian complex plane. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **38**, 2 (2009), 361–408.
- [4] B.-Y. CHEN. Nonlinear Klein–Gordon equations and Lorentzian minimal surfaces in Lorentzian complex space forms. *Taiwanese J. Math.* **13**, 1 (2009), 1–24.
- [5] B.-Y. CHEN. Pseudo-Riemannian geometry,  $\delta$ -invariants and applications. Hackensack, NJ, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., 2011.
- [6] B.-Y. CHEN. Classification of minimal Lorentz surfaces in indefinite space forms with arbitrary codimension and arbitrary index. *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **78**, 2 (2011), 485–503.
- [7] B.-Y. CHEN, F. DILLEN. Classification of marginally trapped Lagrangian surfaces in Lorentzian complex space forms. *J. Math. Phys.* **48**, 1 (2007), 013509, 23 pp.; Erratum, *J. Math. Phys.* **49**, 5 (2008), 059901, 1 p.
- [8] B.-Y. CHEN, O. J. GARAY. Classification of quasi-minimal surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in pseudo-Euclidean 4-space  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$ . *Results Math.* **55**, 1–2 (2009), 23–38.

- [9] B.-Y. CHEN, I. MIHAI. Classification of quasi-minimal slant surfaces in Lorentzian complex space forms. *Acta Math. Hungar.* **122**, 4 (2009), 307–328.
- [10] B.-Y. CHEN, D. YANG. Addendum to “Classification of marginally trapped Lorentzian flat surfaces in  $\mathbb{E}_2^4$  and its application to biharmonic surfaces”. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **361**, 1 (2010), 280–282.
- [11] B.-Y. CHEN, J. VAN DER VEKEN. Marginally trapped surfaces in Lorentzian space with positive relative nullity. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24**, 3 (2007), 551–563, DOI: 10.1088/0264-9381/24/3/003.
- [12] B.-Y. CHEN, J. VAN DER VEKEN. Classification of marginally trapped surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in Lorentzian space forms. *Houston J. Math.* **36**, 2 (2010), 421–449.
- [13] B. B. DEMIRCI, N. C. TURGAY. Quasi-minimal surfaces of pseudo-Riemannian space forms with positive relative nullity. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **488**, 2 (2020), Article ID: 124081.
- [14] G. GANCHEV, V. MILOUSHEVA. An invariant theory of marginally trapped surfaces in the four-dimensional Minkowski space. *J. Math. Phys.* **53**, 3 (2012), Article ID: 033705, 15 pp.
- [15] G. GANCHEV, V. MILOUSHEVA. Quasi-minimal rotational surfaces in pseudo-Euclidean four-dimensional space. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **12**, 10 (2014), 1586–1601.
- [16] S. HAESSEN, M. ORTEGA. Boost invariant marginally trapped surfaces in Minkowski 4-space. *Classical Quantum Gravity* **24**, 22 (2007), 5441–5452.
- [17] S. HAESSEN, M. ORTEGA. Marginally trapped surfaces in Minkowski 4-space invariant under a rotational subgroup of the Lorentz group. *Gen. Relativity Gravitation* **41**, 8 (2009), 1819–1834.
- [18] S. HAESSEN, M. ORTEGA. Screw invariant marginally trapped surfaces in Minkowski 4-space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **355**, 2 (2009), 639–648.
- [19] V. MILOUSHEVA. Marginally trapped surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map in Minkowski 4-space. *Int. J. Geom.* **2**, 1 (2013), 34–43.

- [20] V. MILOUSHEVA, N. C. TURGAY. Quasi-minimal Lorentz surfaces with pointwise 1-type Gauss map in pseudo-Euclidean 4-space. *J. Geom. Phys.* **106** (2016), 171–183.
- [21] R. PENROSE. Gravitational collapse and space-time singularities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14** (1965), 57–59.
- [22] R. ROŞCA. On null hypersurfaces of a Lorentzian manifold. *Tensor (N.S.)* **23** (1972), 66–74.
- [23] N. C. TURGAY. On the marginally trapped surfaces in 4-dimensional space-times with finite type Gauss map. *Gen. Relativity Gravitation* **46**, 1, (2014) Article ID: 1621, 17 pp, DOI: 10.1007/s10714-013-1621-y.
- [24] N. C. TURGAY. On the quasi-minimal surfaces in the 4-dimensional de Sitter space with 1-type Gauss map. *Sarajevo J. Math.* **11(23)**, 1 (2015), 109–116

Yana Aleksieva

*Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics*

*Sofia University*

*5, J. Bourchier Blvd*

*1164 Sofia, Bulgaria*

*e-mail: yana\_a\_n@fmi.uni-sofia.bg*

Velichka Milousheva

*Institute of Mathematics and Informatics*

*Bulgarian Academy of Sciences*

*Acad. G. Bonchev Str., Bl. 8*

*1113, Sofia, Bulgaria*

*e-mail: vmil@math.bas.bg*

*Received July 3, 2020*