

Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.
--

Serdica

Mathematical Journal

Сердика

Математическо списание

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on
Serdica Mathematical Journal
which is the new series of
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>
or contact: Editorial Office
Serdica Mathematical Journal
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49
e-mail: serdica@math.bas.bg

MULTI-STEP HIGH CONVERGENCE ORDER METHODS FOR SOLVING EQUATIONS

Ioannis K. Argyros, Santhosh George

Communicated by A. L. Dontchev and V. M. Veliov

ABSTRACT. The local convergence analysis of iterative methods is important since it indicates the degree of difficulty for choosing initial points. In the present study we introduce generalized multi-step high order methods for solving nonlinear equations. The local convergence analysis is given using hypotheses only on the first derivative which actually appears in the methods in contrast to earlier works using hypotheses on higher derivatives. This way we extend the applicability of these methods. The analysis includes computable radius of convergence as well as error bounds based on Lipschitz-type conditions not given in earlier studies. Numerical examples conclude this study.

1. Introduction. Iterative regularization models are changing the face of the world by offering the scientists and mathematicians the opportunity to examine many real life problems, with a far greater generality and precision.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 65H10, 47H17, 49M15, 65D10, 65G99.

Key words: multi step method, local convergence, Fréchet derivative, system of equations, Banach space.

To make use of the full power of the iterative methods, they must have a firm grip on numerical techniques developed for various mathematical models and their analysis. Application of the iterative schemes is found in any scientific field where real world problems are modeled into mathematical equations.

Iterative schemes/methods are general terminology used for certain class of numerical schemes where the solution procedure starts with an approximate value/function and then apply the method repeatedly to obtain a better approximation. Many mathematical equations are in the form (or get reduced to),

$$(1.1) \quad F(x) = 0,$$

where $F : D \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is a Fréchet-differentiable operator, \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 are Banach spaces and D is a nonempty open convex subset of \mathcal{B}_1 . Such equations can be linear or nonlinear in nature and there are various iterative schemes used to obtain the solution. Also, these iterative schemes are useful in solving many optimization problems from different disciplines. Many of these methods are firmly based on various calculus and functional analysis concepts and they can be effectively implemented by taking the advantage of the speed and power of modern computer technologies. In particular three step methods have been introduced in the special case when $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathbb{R}^i$ (i a natural number) to solve nonlinear systems [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. Given $q \in \mathbb{N}$, continuous iteration operators $\varphi_j : D^j \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_1, (j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$, we introduce in a Banach space setting q multi-step method defined for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} y_n^{(1)} &= \varphi_1(x_n) \\ y_n^{(2)} &= \varphi_2(x_n, y_n^{(1)}) \\ &\vdots \\ y_n^{(q)} &= x_{n+1} = \varphi_q(x_n, y_n^{(1)}, y_n^{(2)}, \dots, y_n^{(q-1)}), \end{aligned}$$

where x_0 is an initial point. Usually φ_1 is an iteration operator of convergence order $p \geq 2$. Numerous popular iterative methods are special cases of method (1.2) [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31] (see Section 3). In particular, for $q = 1$, Theorem 2.1 is a consequence of Corollary 3.7 [21].

The local convergence analysis usually involves Taylor expansions and conditions on higher order derivatives not appearing in the iterative methods considered in the earlier studies [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. Moreover, convergence analysis

using Taylor expansion do not provide computable radius of convergence and error estimates on the distances $\|x_n - x^*\|$. Therefore the initial point is a shot in the dark. These problems limit the usage of these methods. That is why in the present study using only conditions on the first derivative, we address the preceding problems in the more general setting of methods (1.2) and Banach space.

We find computable radii of convergence as well as error bounds on the distances based on Lipschitz-type conditions. The order of convergence is found using computable order of convergence (COC) or approximate computational order of convergence (ACOC) [28] (see Remark 2.2) that do not require usage of higher order derivatives. This way we expand the applicability of three step method (1.2) under weak conditions.

The rest of the study is organized as follows: Section 2 contains the local convergence of method (1.2), where in the concluding Section 3 applications and numerical examples can be found.

2. Local convergence analysis. The local convergence analysis of method (1.2) is based on some parameters and scalar functions that appear in the proof.

Suppose conditions (\mathcal{A}) hold:

(\mathcal{A}_0) There exist functions $\psi_j : [0, \lambda_j]^j \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$, continuous and nondecreasing in each variable such that $\psi_j(0, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$ and the equation $\psi_j(t, t, \dots, t) = 1$ has at least one solving in $(0, \lambda_j)$. Denote by ρ_j the smallest such solution, and define a radius of convergence r by

$$(2.1) \quad r = \min\{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_q\}.$$

(\mathcal{A}_1) $F : D \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_2$ is a continuously Fréchet differentiable operator.

(\mathcal{A}_2) There exists $x^* \in D$ such that $F(x^*) = 0$ and $F'(x^*)^{-1} \in L(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_1)$.

(\mathcal{A}_3) For each $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ and $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_j \in D \cap \bar{U}(x^*, r)$,

$$(2.2) \quad \|\varphi_j(u_1, \dots, u_j) - x^*\| \leq \psi_j(\|u_1 - x^*\|, \dots, \|u_j - x^*\|)\|u_1 - x^*\|.$$

(\mathcal{A}_4) $\bar{U}(x^*, r) \subseteq D$.

We shall adopt the notations $U(x, \mu) = \{y \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|x - y\| < \mu\}$ and $\bar{U}(x, \mu) = \{y \in \mathcal{B}_1 : \|x - y\| \leq \mu\}$ for $x \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mu > 0$.

Next, we present the local convergence analysis of method (1.2) under the conditions “ \mathcal{A} ” and the preceding notation.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that the “A” conditions hold. Then sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated for $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$ by method (1.2) is well defined in $U(x^*, r)$, remains in $U(x^*, r)$ for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and converges to x^* . Moreover, the following error bounds hold*

$$(2.3) \quad \|y_n^{(1)} - x^*\| \leq \psi_1(\|x_n - x^*\|) \|x_n - x^*\| \leq \|x_n - x^*\| < r,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|y_n^{(j)} - x^*\| &\leq \psi_j(\|x_n - x^*\|, \|y_n^{(1)} - x^*\|, \dots, \|y_n^{(j-1)} - x^*\|) \|x_n - x^*\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x^*\|, \quad j = 2, \dots, q, \end{aligned}$$

where the iteration functions are defined previously.

Proof. Using induction, condition $x_0 \in U(x^*, r)$ and conditions (\mathcal{A}_1) – (\mathcal{A}_3) , we obtain that estimates (2.3) and (2.4) hold for $n = 0$ and $y_0^{(j)} \in U(x^*, r)$. That is we have

$$(2.5) \quad \|y_0^1 - x^*\| \leq \psi_1(\|x_0 - x^*\|) \|x_0 - x^*\| \leq \|x_0 - x^*\| < r,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|y_0^{(j)} - x^*\| &\leq \psi_j(\|x_0 - x^*\|, \|y_0^{(1)} - x^*\|, \dots, \|y_0^{(j-1)} - x^*\|) \\ &\quad \times \|x_0 - x^*\| \\ &\leq \psi_j(\|x_0 - x^*\|, \|x_0 - x^*\|, \|x_0 - x^*\|, \dots, \|x_0 - x^*\|) \\ &\quad \times \|x_0 - x^*\| \leq \|x_0 - x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

By simply replacing $x_0, y_0^{(j)}$ by $x_k, y_k^{(j)}$, respectively in the preceding computations, we obtain estimates (2.3) and (2.4). Then, from the estimate

$$(2.7) \quad \|x_{k+1} - x^*\| = \|y_k^{(q)} - x^*\| \leq c \|x_n - x^*\| < \|x_n - x^*\|,$$

where $c = \psi_q(\|x_0 - x^*\|, \|x_0 - x^*\|, \dots, \|x_0 - x^*\|) \in [0, 1)$, we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x^*$ and $x_{k+1} \in U(x^*, r)$. \square

Remark 2.2. We can compute the computational order of convergence (COC) [28] defined by

$$\xi = \ln \left(\frac{\|x_{n+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_n - x^*\|} \right) / \ln \left(\frac{\|x_n - x^*\|}{\|x_{n-1} - x^*\|} \right)$$

or the approximate computational order of convergence

$$\xi_1 = \ln \left(\frac{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|}{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|} \right) / \ln \left(\frac{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}{\|x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}\|} \right).$$

This way we obtain in practice the order of convergence without resorting to the computation of higher order derivatives appearing in the method or in the sufficient convergence criteria usually appearing in the Taylor expansions for the proofs of those results [5, 6, 12, 18, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31]. It is worth noticing that the computation of ξ and ξ_1 uses method (1.2) and does not depend on Theorem 2.1 which simply guarantees convergence to x^* . In particular, the computation of ξ_1 does not even require knowledge of x^* .

3. Applications and numerical examples.

Application 3.1. Let us specialize method (1.2) by setting $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathbb{R}^i$, $q = 1$, $\varphi_1(x_k) = x_k - F'(x_k)^{-1}F(x_k)$,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_2(x_k, y_k^{(1)}) &= y_k^{(1)} - \bar{\tau}_k F'(x_k)^{-1} F(y_k^{(1)}) \text{ and} \\ \psi_3(x_k, y_k^{(1)}, y_k^{(2)}) &= y_k^{(2)} - \alpha_k F'(y_k^{(2)})^{-1} F(y_k^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, method (1.2) reduces to method (5.3) in [31] defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} y_k^{(1)} &= \varphi_1(x_k) \\ y_k^{(2)} &= \varphi_2(x_k, y_k^{(1)}) \\ x_{k+1} &= \varphi_3(x_k, y_k^{(1)}, y_k^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

It was shown in [31, Theorem 1, Theorem 5] that if operator F is sufficiently many times differentiable, $F'(x)$ is continuous on D , $F'(x^*)^{-1} \in L(\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_1)$ then for x_0 sufficiently close to x^* method (1.2) converges with order $p \geq 2$ if and only if $\bar{\tau}_k$ and α_k satisfy certain conditions involving hypotheses on higher derivatives for F . Further special choices of $\bar{\tau}_k$ and α_k are given in the following table leading to other p th order methods.

Application 3.2 Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathbb{R}^i$, $\varphi_1(x_k, y_k^{(1)}) = \varphi_p(x_k, y_k^{(1)})$ and φ_p denotes the iteration function of p th order. Then, again according to Theorem 6 in [31] the method (1.2) has order of convergence $p + 2$ under certain conditions of α_k . As an example, we present the choices given by

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_k = \frac{1}{2}(5I - 3F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(y_k)),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \alpha_k = 3I - 2F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(y_k)$$

$$(3.5) \quad \alpha_k = F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(y_k)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \alpha_k = \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) F'(x_k) + \frac{1}{\alpha} F'(y_k) \right)^{-1} F'(x_k)$$

Table 1. Different methods

Methods	Order	$\bar{\tau}_k$	α_k
Cordero et al. [6]	4	$2I - F'(x_k)^{-1}F(y_k)$	
Sharma [23]	4	$3I - 2F'(x_k)^{-1}[y_k, x_k; F]$	
Grau-Sanchez et al. [12]	4	$(2[y_k, x_k; F] - F'(x_k)^{-1})F'(x_k)$	
Sharma et al. [25]	4	$r_k = I - \frac{3}{4}(s_k - I) + \frac{9}{8}(s_k - I)^2$ $s_k = F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(y_k)$ $r_k = \frac{1}{2}(-I + \frac{9}{4}F'(y_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$ $+ \frac{3}{4}F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(y_k)$	
Gran-Sanchez et al. [12]	5	$\tau_k = \frac{1}{2}(I + F'(y_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$	$F'(y_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$
Xiao et al. [29]	5	$2(I - F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(y_k))^{-1}$	$F'(y_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$
Xiao et al. [29]	5	$y_k = x_k - aF'(x_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$ $\bar{\tau}_k = ((1 - \frac{1}{2a})I$ $+ \frac{1}{2a}F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(y_k))^{-1}$	$-I + 2(\frac{1}{2a}F'(y_k)$ $+ (1 - \frac{1}{2a})F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$
Sharma et al. [24]		$a = \frac{1}{2}, (F'(y_k)^{-1}F'(x_k) - I)\theta_k$	$2F'(y_k)^{-1}F'(x_k) - I$
Sharma et al. [26]	6	$3I - 2F'(x_k)^{-1}[y_k, x_k; F]$	$3I - 2F'(x_k)^{-1}[y_k, x_k; F]$
Xiao et al. [29]	6	$y_k = x_k - aF'(x_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$ $r_k = \frac{1}{2}(-I + \frac{9}{4}F'(y_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$ $+ \frac{3}{4}F'(x_k)^{-1}F'(y_k)$ $(2[y_k, x_k; F] - F'(x_k)^{-1})F'(x_k)$	$(1 - \frac{1}{a})I$ $+ \frac{1}{a}F'(y_k)^{-1}F'(x_k)$ $(2[y_k, x_k; F] - F'(x_k)^{-1})F'(x_k)$
Grau-Sanchez et al. [12]	6	$(2[y_k, x_k; F] - F'(x_k)^{-1})F'(x_k)$	$2[y_k, x_k; F]^{-1}F'(x_k) - I$
Cordero et al. [6]	6	$a = \frac{1}{a}, (F'(x_k) - 2F'(y_k))^{-1}$ $(3F'(x_k)\theta_k^{-1} - 4F'(x_k))$	$(F'(x_k) - 2F'(y_k))^{-1}F'(x_k)$

$$(3.7) \quad \alpha_k = \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) F'(y_k) - \frac{1}{a} F'(x_k) \right)^{-1} F'(y_k)$$

(see [3, 4, 31]).

Let us consider the special case of method (1.2) given for $q = 2$, $\bar{t}_k = \alpha_k = 1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_k^{(1)} = \varphi_1(x_k) &= x_k - F'(x_k)^{-1}F(x_k) \\
 x_{k+1} = \varphi_2(x_k, y_k^{(1)}) &= y_k^{(1)} - F'(x_k)^{-1}F(y_k^{(1)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that

$$\|F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(x^*))\| \leq w_0(\|x - x^*\|) \text{ for each } x \in D$$

$$\|F'(x^*)^{-1}(F'(x) - F'(y))\| \leq w(\|x - y\|)$$

and

$$\|F'(x^*)^{-1}F'(x)\| \leq v(\|x - x^*\|)$$

for each $x, y \in D_0 := D \cap U(x^*, \rho_0)$, where $w_0 : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $w : [0, \rho_0) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $v : [0, \rho_0) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous nondecreasing functions with $w_0(0) = w(0) = 0$ provided that equation $w_0(t) = 1$ has a least positive solution ρ_0 .

Then, we have in turn

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\| &\leq \|F'(y_k)^{-1} \int_0^1 [F'(x^* + \theta(y_k - x^*)) - F'(x^*)](y_k - x^*)d\theta\| \\ &\quad + \|F'(y_k)^{-1}(F'(x_k) - F'(y_k))F'(x_k)^{-1}F(y_k)\| \\ &\leq \frac{\int_0^1 w(\theta\|y_k - x^*\|)d\theta\|y_k - x^*\|}{1 - w_0(\|y_k - x^*\|)} \\ &\quad + \frac{(w_0(\|x_k - x^*\|) + w_0(\|y_k - x^*\|)) \int_0^1 v(\theta\|y_k - x^*\|)d\theta\|y_k - x^*\|}{(1 - w_0(\|y_k - x^*\|))(1 - w_0(\|x_k - x^*\|))} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_0^1 w(\theta g_1(\|x_k - x^*\|)\|x_k - x^*\|)d\theta g_1(\|x_k - x^*\|)\|x_k - x^*\|}{1 - w_0(\|x_k - x^*\|)} \end{aligned}$$

so we can choose

$$\psi_1(t) = \frac{\int_0^1 w((1 - \theta)t)d\theta}{1 - w_0(t)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(s, t) = \psi_2(t) &= \left[\frac{\int_0^1 w((1 - \theta)\psi_1(t)t)d\theta}{1 - w_0(\psi_1(t)t)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(w_0(t) + w_0(\psi_1(t)t)) \int_0^1 v(\theta\psi_1(t)t)d\theta}{(1 - w_0(t))(1 - w_0(\psi_1(t)t))} \right] \psi_1(t) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ respectively, where r_1, r_2 are the smallest positive solutions of equations $\psi_1(t) = 1$ and $\psi_2(t) = 1$. Using the above choice we present the following examples:

Example 3.1. Let us consider a system of differential equations governing the motion of an object and given by

$$F'_1(x) = e^x, F'_2(y) = (e - 1)y + 1, f_3(z) = 1$$

with initial conditions $F_1(0) = F_2(0) = F_3(0) = 0$. Let $F = (F_1, F_2, F_3)$. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathbb{R}^3$, $D = \bar{U}(0, 1)$, $x^* = (0, 0, 0)^T$. Define function F on D for $w = (x, y, z)^T$ by

$$F(w) = (e^x - 1, \frac{e - 1}{2}y^2 + y, z)^T.$$

The Fréchet-derivative is defined by

$$F'(v) = \begin{bmatrix} e^x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (e - 1)y + 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that using the (\mathcal{A}) conditions, we get for $\alpha = 1$, $w_0(t) = (e - 1)t$, $w(t) = e^{\frac{1}{e-1}t}$, $v(t) = e^{\frac{1}{e-1}}$. The radii are

$$r_1 = 0.3827, r_2 = 0.2523 = r.$$

Example 3.2. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = C[0, 1]$, the space of continuous functions defined on $[0, 1]$ be equipped with the max norm. Let $D = \bar{U}(0, 1)$. Define function F on D by

$$(3.8) \quad F(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x) - 5 \int_0^1 x\theta\varphi(\theta)^3 d\theta.$$

We have that

$$F'(\varphi(\xi))(x) = \xi(x) - 15 \int_0^1 x\theta\varphi(\theta)^2\xi(\theta)d\theta, \text{ for each } \xi \in D.$$

Then, we get for $x^* = 0$, that $w_0(t) = 7.5t$, $w(t) = 15t$ and $v(t) = 2$. Then the radii are

$$r_1 = 0.0667, r = r_2 = 0.0439.$$

Example 3.3. Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_2 = C[0, 1]$, and $D = \bar{U}(0, 1)$. Consider the equation

$$(3.9) \quad x(s) = \int_0^1 T(s, t) \left(\frac{1}{2}x(t)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{x(t)^2}{8} \right) dt,$$

where the kernel T is the Green's function defined on the interval $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ by

$$(3.10) \quad T(s, t) = \begin{cases} (1-s)t, & t \leq s \\ s(1-t), & s \leq t, \end{cases}$$

Define operator $F : C[0, 1] \longrightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$(3.11) \quad F(x(s)) = \int_0^1 T(s, t) \left(\frac{1}{2} x(t)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{x(t)^2}{8} \right) dt - x(s).$$

Then, we have

$$(3.12) \quad F'(x)\mu(s) = \mu(s) - \int_0^1 T(s, t) \left(\frac{3}{4} x(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{x(t)}{4} \right) \mu(t) dt.$$

Notice that $x^*(s) = 0$ is a solution of $F(x(s)) = 0$. Using (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \left\| \int_0^1 T(s, t) dt \right\| \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

Then, by (3.12) and (3.13), we have that

$$(3.14) \quad \|F'(x) - F'(y)\| \leq \frac{1}{32} \left(3\|x - y\|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|x - y\| \right).$$

We have $w_0(t) = w(t) = \frac{1}{32}(3t^{1/2} + t)$ and $v(t) = 1 + w_0(t)$. Then the radii are

$$r_1 = 19.4772, r_2 = 0.3889,$$

so we choose $r = 1$ since $\bar{U}(x^*, r) \subset D$ [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31].

In view of (3.14) earlier results requiring hypotheses on the second Fréchet derivative or higher cannot be used to solve equation $F(x(s)) = 0$.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our gratitude to the anonymous reviewers for the constructive criticism of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. AMAT, I. K. ARGYROS, S. BUSQUIER, M. A. HERNANDEZ. On two high-order families of frozen Newton-type methods. *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* **25**, 1 (2018), e2126, 13 pp.

- [2] I. K. ARGYROS. Computational theory of iterative methods. Studies in Computational Mathematics, vol. **15** (eds C. K. Chui, L. Wuytack), Amsterdam, Elsevier B. V., 2007.
- [3] I. K. ARGYROS. Convergence and applications of Newton-type iterations. New York, Springer Verlag, 2008.
- [4] I. K. ARGYROS, Á. A. MAGREÑÁN. Iterative methods and their dynamics with applications: A contemporary study. Boca Raton, FL, CRC Press, 2017.
- [5] A. CORDERO, E. MARTINEZ, J. R. TORREGROSA. Iterative methods of order four and five for systems of nonlinear equations. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **231**, 2 (2009), 541–551.
- [6] A. CORDERO, J. R. TORREGROSA, M. P. VASSILEVA. Pseudocomposition: a technique to design predictor-corrector methods for systems of nonlinear equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 23 (2012), 11496–11504.
- [7] K. MADHU, J. JAYARAMAN. Some higher order Newton-like methods for solving system of nonlinear equations and its applications. *Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math.* **3**, 3 (2017) 2213–2230.
- [8] J. A. EZQUERRO, M. A. HERNÁNDEZ. Recurrence relations for Chebyshev-type methods. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **41**, 2 (2000), 227–236.
- [9] J. A. EZQUERRO, J. M. GUTIÉRREZ, M. A. HERNÁNDEZ. Avoiding the computation of the second-Fréchet derivative in the convex acceleration of Newton’s method. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **96**, 1 (1998), 1–12.
- [10] J. A. EZQUERRO, M. A. HERNÁNDEZ. Multipoint super-Halley type approximation algorithms in Banach spaces. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* **21**, 7–8 (2000), 845–858.
- [11] J. A. EZQUERRO, M. A. HERNÁNDEZ. A modification of the super-Halley method under mild differentiability condition. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **114**, 2 (2000), 405–409.
- [12] M. GRAU-SÁNCHEZ, A. GRAU, M. NOGUERA. Ostrowski type methods for solving systems of nonlinear equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 6 (2011), 2377–2385.

- [13] J. M. GUTIÉRREZ, Á. A. MAGREÑÁN, N. ROMERO. On the semilocal convergence of Newton-Kantorovich method under center-Lipschitz conditions. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **221** (2013), 79–88.
- [14] A. ILIEV, N. KYURKCHIEV. Nontrivial Methods in Numerical Analysis: Selected Topics in Numerical Analysis. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, 2010.
- [15] L. V. KANTOROVICH, G. P. AKILOV. Functional Analysis. Translated from the Russian by Howard L. Silcock, 2nd edition. Oxford-Elmsford, N.Y., Pergamon Press, 1982.
- [16] Á. A. MAGREÑÁN. Different anomalies in a Jarratt family of iterative root-finding methods. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **233** (2014), 29–38.
- [17] Á. A. MAGREÑÁN. A new tool to study real dynamics: the convergence plane. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **248** (2014), 215–224.
- [18] M. S. PETKOVIĆ, B. NETA, L. PETKOVIĆ, J. DŽUNIĆ. Multipoint methods for solving nonlinear equations. Amsterdam, Elsevier/Academic Press, 2013.
- [19] M. PETKOVIĆ, B. NETA, L. PETKOVIĆ, J. DŽUNIĆ. Multipoint methods for solving nonlinear equations: a survey. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **226** (2014), 635–660.
- [20] F. A. Potra, V. Pták. Nondiscrete induction and iterative processes. Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. **103**. Boston, MA, Pitman, 1984.
- [21] P. D. PROINOV. General convergence theory for a class of iterative processes and its applications to Newton’s method. *J. Complexity* **25**, 1 (2009), 38–62.
- [22] W. C. RHEINOLDT. An adaptive continuation process for solving systems of nonlinear equations. *Banach Center Publications* **3**, 1 (1978), 129–142, <http://eudml.org/doc/208686>.
- [23] J. R. SHARMA, H. ARORA. On efficient weighted-Newton methods for solving system of nonlinear equations. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **222** (2013), 497–506.
- [24] J. R. SHARMA, H. ARORA. Efficient Jarratt-like methods for solving systems of nonlinear equations. *Calcolo* **51**, 1 (2014), 193–210.

- [25] J. R. SHARMA, P. GUPTA. An efficient fifth order method for solving systems of nonlinear equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **67**, 3 (2014), 591–601.
- [26] J. R. SHARMA, R. K. GUHA, R. SHARMA. An efficient fourth order weighted-Newton method for systems of nonlinear equations. *Numer. Algorithms* **62**, 2 (2013), 307–323.
- [27] J. F. TRAUB. Iterative methods for the solution of equations. AMS Chelsea Publishing, 1982.
- [28] S. WEERAKOON, T.G.I. FERNANDO. A variant of Newton’s method with accelerated third-order convergence. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **13**, 8 (2000), 87–93.
- [29] X. Y. XIAO, H. W. YIN. Increasing the order of convergence for iterative methods to solve nonlinear systems. *Calcolo* **53**, 3 (2016), 285–300.
- [30] T. ZHANLAV, I. V. PUZYNIN. Convergence of iterations on the basis of a continuous analogue of the Newton method. *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i Mat. Fiz.* **32**, 6 (1992), 846–856 (in Russian); English translation in: *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* **32**, 6 (1992), 729–737.
- [31] T. ZHANLAV, C. CHUN, KH. OTGONDOJ, V. ULZIIBAYAR. High-order iterations for systems of nonlinear equations and their generalizations in Banach spaces. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **97**, 8 (2020), 1704–1724.

Ioannis K. Argyros
Department of Mathematical Sciences
Cameron University
Lawton, OK 73505, USA
e-mail: iargyros@cameron.edu

Santhosh George
Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences
National Institute of Technology Karnataka
India-575 025
e-mail: sgeorge@nitk.edu.in

Received March 5, 2018