

|  |
|--|
| Provided for non-commercial research and educational use.<br>Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use. |
|--|

# Serdica

## Mathematical Journal

# Сердика

## Математическо списание

---

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only.  
Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.  
Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
which is the new series of  
Serdica Bulgaricae Mathematicae Publicationes  
visit the website of the journal <http://www.math.bas.bg/~serdica>  
or contact: Editorial Office  
Serdica Mathematical Journal  
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics  
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences  
Telephone: (+359-2)9792818, FAX:(+359-2)971-36-49  
e-mail: [serdica@math.bas.bg](mailto:serdica@math.bas.bg)

## CERTAIN SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS INVOLVING HURWITZ-LERCH ZETA FUNCTION

Kishor C. Deshmukh, Rajkumar N. Ingle, Pinninti Thirupathi Reddy

*Communicated by N. Nikolov*

**ABSTRACT.** Making use of Integral operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, we introduce a new subclass of analytic functions defined in the open unit disk and investigate its various characteristics. Further we obtain some usual properties of the geometric function theory such as coefficient bounds, extreme points radius of starlikeness and convexity, partial sums and neighbourhood results belonging to the class.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $A$  denote the class of all functions  $u(z)$  of the form

$$(1.1) \quad u(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

in the open unit disc  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $S$  be the subclass of  $A$  consisting of univalent functions and satisfy the following usual normalization condition

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45

*Key words:* analytic, starlike, convexity, partial sums, neighborhood

$u(0) = u'(0) - 1 = 0$ . We denote by  $S$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions  $u(z)$  which are all univalent in  $U$ . A function  $u \in A$  is a starlike function of the order  $m, 0 \leq m < 1$ , if it satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \Re \left\{ \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} \right\} > m, \quad z \in U.$$

We denote this class with  $S^*(m)$ .

A function  $u \in A$  is a convex function of the order  $m, 0 \leq m < 1$ , if it fulfils

$$(1.3) \quad \Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zu''(z)}{u'(z)} \right\} > m, \quad z \in U.$$

We denote this class with  $K(m)$ .

Note that  $S^*(0) = S^*$  and  $K(0) = K$  are the usual classes of starlike and convex functions in  $U$ , respectively. For  $f \in A$  given by (1.1) and  $g(z)$  given by

$$(1.4) \quad g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

their convolution (or Hadamard product), denoted by  $(f * g)$ , is defined as

$$(1.5) \quad (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

Note that  $f * g \in A$ .

Let  $T$  denotes the class of functions analytic in  $U$  that are of the form

$$(1.6) \quad u(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0, \quad z \in U$$

and let  $T^*(m) = T \cap S^*(m)$ ,  $C(m) = T \cap K(m)$ . The class  $T^*(m)$  and allied classes possess some interesting properties and have been extensively studied by Silverman [18].

The study of operators plays an central role in the geometric function theory and its correlated fields. In the recent years, there has been an collective importance in problems concerning evaluations of various families of series linked with the Riemann zeta function and Hurwitz zeta function and their extensions and generalities such as the Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function. These functions ascend naturally in many branches of analytic function theory and their studies

have plentiful important applications in mathematics and science and technology. Several interesting properties and characteristics of the Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta mapping can be found in the recent investigations by Challab et al. [4, 5], Choi [6], Ghanim et al. [7], Kim and Choi [10], Luo and Raina [12] and others see [15, 16, 2, 21, 25, 26, 27].

In [13] Mustafa and Darus have recently introduced a new generalized integral operator  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^\alpha u(z)$  as we show in the following:

**Definition 1.1** ([22]). *A general Hurwitz- Lerch Zeta function  $\Phi(z, \mu, b)$  defined by*

$$\Phi(z, \mu, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+b)^\mu},$$

where  $(\mu \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}_0^-)$  when  $(|z| < 1)$ , and  $(\Re(b) > 1)$  when  $(|z| = 1)$ .

We define the function

$$\Phi^*(z, \mu, b) = (b^\mu z \Phi(z, \mu, b)) * u(z),$$

then

$$\Phi^*(z, \mu, b) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{(n+b-1)^\mu} z^n$$

**Definition 1.2** ([23]). *Let the function  $f$  be analytic in a simply connected domain of the  $z$ -plane containing the origin. The fractional derivative of  $f$  of order  $\alpha$  is defined by*

$$D_z^\alpha u(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^\alpha} dt, \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1),$$

where the multiplicity of  $(z-t)^{-\alpha}$  is removed by requiring  $\log(z-t)$  to be real when  $(z-t) > 0$ .

Using Definition 1.2 and its known extensions involving fractional derivatives and fractional integrals, Owa and Srivastava [14] introduced the operator  $\Omega^\alpha : A \rightarrow A$  which is known as an extension of fractional derivative and fractional integral, as follows:

$$\Omega^\alpha u(z) = \Gamma(2-\alpha) z^\alpha D_z^\alpha u(z), \quad (\alpha \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots)$$

$$= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2-\alpha)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U)$$

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, b \in \mathbb{C} - \mathbb{Z}_0^-$ , and  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , the generalized integral operator  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} f : A \rightarrow A$ , is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z) &= \Gamma(2-\alpha) z^{\alpha} D_z^{\alpha} \Phi^*(z, \alpha, b), \quad (\alpha \neq 2, 3, 4, \dots) \\ (1.7) \quad &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) a_n z^n, \quad (z \in U) \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.8) \quad \text{where } \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1) z^{\alpha} D_z^{\alpha} \Phi^*(z, \alpha, b)}{\Gamma(n+1-\alpha)} \left( \frac{b}{n-1+b} \right)^{\mu}.$$

Note that:  $\mathfrak{J}_{0,b}^0 u(z) = u(z)$ .

Special cases of this operator include :

- (i).  $\mathfrak{J}_{0,b}^{\alpha} u(z) \equiv \Omega^{\alpha} u(z)$  is Owa and Srivastava operator [14].
- (ii).  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b+1}^0 u(z) \equiv J_{\mu,b} u(z)$  is the Srivastava and Attiya integral operator [24].
- (iii).  $\mathfrak{J}_{1,1}^0 u(z) \equiv A(f)(z)$  is the Alexander integral operator [1].
- (iv).  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu+1,1}^0 u(z) \equiv L(f)(z)$  is the Libera integral operator [11].
- (v).  $\mathfrak{J}_{1,\delta}^0 u(z) \equiv L_{\delta}(f)(z)$  is the Bernardi integral operator [3].
- (vi).  $\mathfrak{J}_{\sigma,2}^0 u(z) \equiv I^{\sigma} u(z)$  is the Jung-Kim-Kim-Srivastava integral operator [9].

Now, by making use of the Hurwitz–Lerch zeta operator  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} f$ , we define a new subclass of functions motivated by the recent work of Thirupathi Reddy and Venkateswarlu [28] and Venkateswarlu et al. ([29], [30]).

**Definition 1.3.** For  $-1 \leq v < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \tau < 1$  and  $\varrho \geq 0$ , we let  $S(\tau, v, \varrho)$  be the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfying the analytic criterion

$$\begin{aligned} (1.9) \quad \Re \left\{ \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z))' + \tau z^2 (\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z))''}{(1-\tau) \mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z) + \tau z (\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z))'} - v \right\} \\ \geq \varrho \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z))' + \tau z^2 (\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z))''}{(1-\tau) \mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z) + \tau z (\mathfrak{J}_{\mu,b}^{\alpha} u(z))'} - 1 \right|, \end{aligned}$$

for  $z \in U$ .

The main object of the paper some usual properties of the geometric function theory such as coefficient bounds, extreme points, radii of starlikeness and convexity, partial sums for the class and neighbourhood results for the class.

**2. Coefficient bounds.** In this section we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for function  $u(z)$  is in the classes  $S(\tau, v, \varrho)$  and  $TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *The function  $u$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $S(\tau, v, \varrho)$  if*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(1 + \varrho) - (v + \varrho)] \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) |a_\eta| \leq 1 - v,$$

where  $-1 \leq v < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ ,  $\varrho \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))' + \tau z^2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))''}{(1 - \tau)\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z) + \tau z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))'} - 1 \right| \\ & - \Re \left\{ \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))' + \tau z^2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))''}{(1 - \tau)\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z) + \tau z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))'} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - v. \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))' + \tau z^2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))''}{(1 - \tau)\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z) + \tau z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))'} - 1 \right| \\ & - \Re \left\{ \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))' + \tau z^2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))''}{(1 - \tau)\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z) + \tau z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))'} - 1 \right\} \\ & \leq (1 + \varrho) \left| \frac{z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))' + \tau z^2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))''}{(1 - \tau)\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z) + \tau z(\mathfrak{J}_{\mu, b}^\alpha u(z))'} - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{(1 + \varrho) \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} (\eta - 1)[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_\eta|}{1 - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_\eta|}.$$

This last expression is bounded above by  $(1 - v)$  by

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(1 + \varrho) - (v + \varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_\eta| \leq 1 - v,$$

and hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *A necessary and sufficient condition for  $u(z)$  of the form (1.6) to be in the class  $TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ ,  $-1 \leq v < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ ,  $\varrho \geq 0$  is that*

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(1 + \varrho) - (v + \varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_\eta| \leq 1 - v.$$

**Proof.** In view of Theorem 2.1, we need only to prove the necessity.

If  $u \in TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$  and  $z$  is real then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)a_\eta z^{\eta-1}}{1 - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)a_\eta z^{\eta-1}} - v \\ & \geq \varrho \left| \frac{\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} (\eta - 1)[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_\eta|}{1 - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_\eta|} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $z \rightarrow 1$  along the real axis, we obtain the desired inequality

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(1 + \varrho) - (v + \varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)|a_\eta| \leq 1 - v. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $u(z)$  defined by (1.6) and  $g(z) = z - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta} z^{\eta}$  be in the class  $TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ . Then the function  $h(z)$  defined by

$$h(z) = (1 - \zeta)u(z) + \zeta g(z) = z - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} c_{\eta} z^{\eta},$$

where  $c_{\eta} = (1 - \zeta)a_{\eta} + \zeta b_{\eta}$ ,  $0 \leq \zeta < 1$  is also in the class  $TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ .

**Proof.** Let the function

$$(2.3) \quad u_j = z - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} a_{\eta,j} z^{\eta}, \quad a_{\eta,j} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

be in the class  $TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ . It is sufficient to show that the function  $g(z)$  defined by

$$g(z) = \zeta u_1(z) + (1 - \zeta)u_2(z), \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1,$$

is in the class  $TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ . Since

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [\zeta a_{\eta,1} + (1 - \zeta)a_{\eta,2}] z^{\eta},$$

an easy computation with the aid of Theorem 2.2 gives,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (v + \varrho)] \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) \zeta a_{\eta,1} \\ & + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (v + \varrho)] \Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) (1 - \zeta) a_{\eta,2} \\ & \leq \zeta(1 - v) + (1 - \zeta)(1 - v) \\ & \leq 1 - v, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $g \in TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ .

Hence  $TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$  is convex.  $\square$



**3. Extreme points.** The proof of Theorem 3.1, follows on lines similar to the proof of the theorem on extreme points given in Silverman [28].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $u_1(z) = z$  and*

$$(3.1) \quad u_\eta(z) = z - \frac{1-v}{[1+\tau(\eta-1)][\eta(\varrho+1)-(v+\varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)} z^\eta,$$

for  $\eta = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then  $u(z) \in TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$  if and only if  $u(z)$  can be expressed in the form  $u(z) = \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \zeta_\eta u_\eta(z)$ , where  $\zeta_\eta \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\infty} \zeta_\eta = 1$ .

Next we prove the following closure theorem.

#### 4. Closure theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let the function  $u_j(z)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$  defined by (2.3) be in the classes  $TS(\tau, v_j, \varrho, \mu, s)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ , respectively. Then the function  $h(z)$  defined by*

$$h(z) = z - \frac{1}{l} \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^l a_{\eta,j} \right) z^\eta$$

is in the class  $TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ , where  $v = \min_{1 \leq j \leq l} \{v_j\}$ , where  $-1 \leq v_j \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $u_j(z) \in TS(\tau, v_j, \varrho, \mu, s)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$  by applying Theorem 2.2 to (2.3), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1+\tau(\eta-1)][\eta(\varrho+1)-(v+\varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) \left( \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l a_{\eta,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l a_{\eta,j} \left( \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1+\tau(\eta-1)][\eta(\varrho+1)-(v+\varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha) a_{\eta,j} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{l} \sum_{j=1}^l (1-v_j) \\ &\leq 1-v \end{aligned}$$

which in view of Theorem 2.2, again implies that  $h(z) \in TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$  and so the proof is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $u \in TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$ . Then*

(1).  *$u$  is starlike of order  $\delta$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , in the disc  $|z| < r_1$*

*i.e.,  $\Re \left\{ \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} \right\} > \delta$ ,  $|z| < r_1$ , where*

$$r_1 = \inf_{\eta \geq 2} \left\{ \left( \frac{1-\delta}{\eta-\delta} \right) \frac{[1+\tau(\eta-1)][\eta(\varrho+1)-(v+\varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)}{1-v} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\eta-1}}.$$

(2).  *$u$  is convex of order  $\delta$ ,  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , in the disc  $|z| < r_1$*

*i.e.,  $\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zu''(z)}{u'(z)} \right\} > \delta$ ,  $|z| < r_2$ , where*

$$r_2 = \inf_{\eta \geq 2} \left\{ \left( \frac{1-\delta}{\eta-\delta} \right) \frac{[1+\tau(\eta-1)][\eta(\varrho+1)-(v+\varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)}{1-v} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\eta}}.$$

*Each of these results are sharp for the extremal function  $u(z)$  given by (3.1).*

**Proof.** (1). Given  $u \in A$  and  $u$  is starlike of order  $\delta$ , we have

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \delta.$$

For the left hand side (4.1), we have

$$\left| \frac{zu'(z)}{u(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} (\eta-1)a_{\eta}|z|^{\eta-1}}{1 - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} a_{\eta}|z|^{\eta-1}}.$$

The last expression is less than  $1 - \delta$  if

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \frac{\eta-\delta}{1-\delta} a_{\eta}|z|^{\eta-1} < 1.$$

Using the fact, that  $u \in TS(\tau, v, \varrho)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (v + \varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)}{1 - v} a_{\eta} < 1.$$

We can say (4.1) is true if

$$\frac{\eta - \delta}{1 - \delta} |z|^{\eta-1} < \frac{[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (v + \varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)}{1 - v}$$

Or equivalently,

$$|z|^{\eta-1} < \frac{(1 - \delta)[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (v + \varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)}{(\eta - \delta)(1 - v)}$$

which yields the starlikeness of the family.

(2). Using the fact that  $u$  is convex if and only if  $zu'$  is starlike, we can prove (2), on lines similar to the proof of (1).  $\square$

**5. Partial sums.** Following the earlier works by Silverman [19] and Silvia [20] on partial sums of analytic functions. We consider in this section partial sums of functions in this class  $S(\tau, v, \varrho)$  and obtain sharp lower bounds for the ratios of real part of  $u(z)$  to  $u_q(z)$  and  $u'(z)$  to  $u'_q(z)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $u(z) \in S(\tau, v, \varrho)$  be given by (1.1) and define the partial sums  $u_1(z)$  and  $u_q(z)$  by*

$$(5.1) \quad u_1(z) = z \text{ and } u_q(z) = z + \sum_{\eta=2}^q a_{\eta} z^{\eta}, \quad (q \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}).$$

$$\text{Suppose that } \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} d_{\eta} |a_{\eta}| \leq 1,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \text{where } d_{\eta} = \frac{[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(1 + \varrho) - (v + \varrho)]\Phi_n(\mu, b, \alpha)}{1 - v}$$

Then  $u \in S(\tau, v, \varrho)$ .

$$(5.3) \quad \text{Further more, } \Re \left[ \frac{u(z)}{u_q(z)} \right] > 1 - \frac{1}{d_{q+1}}, \quad z \in E, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$(5.4) \quad \text{and } \Re \left[ \frac{u_q(z)}{u(z)} \right] > \frac{d_{q+1}}{1 + d_{q+1}}.$$

**Proof.** For the coefficients  $d_\eta$  given by (5.2) it is not difficult to verify that

$$(5.5) \quad d_{\eta+1} > d_\eta > 1.$$

$$(5.6) \quad \text{Therefore we have } \sum_{\eta=2}^q |a_\eta| + d_{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} |a_\eta| \leq \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} d_\eta |a_\eta| \leq 1$$

by using the hypothesis (5.2). By setting

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= d_{q+1} \left[ \frac{u(z)}{u_q(z)} - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{d_{q+1}} \right) \right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{d_{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} a_\eta z^{\eta-1}}{1 + \sum_{\eta=2}^q a_\eta z^{\eta-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and applying (5.6), we find that

$$(5.8) \quad \left| \frac{g_1(z) - 1}{g_1(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{d_{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} |a_\eta|}{2 - 2 \sum_{\eta=2}^q |a_\eta| - d_{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} |a_\eta|} \leq 1$$

which readily yields the assertion (5.3) of Theorem 5.1. In order to see that

$$(5.9) \quad u(z) = z + \frac{z^{q+1}}{d_{q+1}} \text{ gives sharp result, we observe that for } z = re^{\frac{i\pi}{q}} \text{ that}$$

$$\frac{u(z)}{u_q(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^q}{d_{q+1}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{d_{q+1}} \text{ as } z \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Similarly, if we take

$$g_2(z) = (1 + d_{q+1}) \left( \frac{u_q(z)}{u(z)} - \frac{d_{q+1}}{1 + d_{q+1}} \right)$$

$$(5.10) \quad = 1 - \frac{(1 + d_{q+1}) \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} a_{\eta} z^{\eta-1}}{1 + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} a_{\eta} z^{\eta-1}}$$

and making use of (5.6), we can deduce that

$$\left| \frac{g_2(z) - 1}{g_2(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{(1 + d_{q+1}) \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} |a_{\eta}|}{2 - 2 \sum_{\eta=2}^q |a_{\eta}| - (1 - d_{q+1}) \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} |a_{\eta}|}$$

which leads immediately to the assertion (5.4) of Theorem 5.1.

The bound in (5.4) is sharp for each  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  with the external function  $u(z)$  given by (5.9). Thus the proof of the Theorem 5.1 is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** *If  $u(z)$  of the form (1.1) satisfies the condition (2.1) then*

$$(5.11) \quad \Re \left[ \frac{u'(z)}{u'_q(z)} \right] \geq 1 - \frac{q+1}{d_{q+1}}.$$

**Proof.** By setting

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= d_{q+1} \left[ \frac{u'(z)}{u'_q(z)} \right] - \left( 1 - \frac{q+1}{d_{q+1}} \right) \\ &= \frac{1 + \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta a_{\eta} z^{\eta-1} + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta a_{\eta} z^{\eta-1}}{1 + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta a_{\eta} z^{\eta-1}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{\frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta a_{\eta} z^{\eta-1}}{1 + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta a_{\eta} z^{\eta-1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.12) \quad \left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta |a_{\eta}|}{2 - 2 \sum_{\eta=2}^q \eta |a_{\eta}| - \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta |a_{\eta}|}.$$

$$(5.13) \quad \text{Now } \left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq 1 \text{ if } \sum_{\eta=2}^q \eta |a_\eta| + \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta |a_\eta| \leq 1.$$

Since the left hand side of (5.13) is bounded above by  $\sum_{\eta=2}^q d_\eta |a_\eta|$  if

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{\eta=2}^q (d_\eta - \eta) |a_\eta| + \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} d_\eta - \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1} \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta |a_\eta| \geq 0.$$

and the proof is complete.

The result is sharp for the extremal function  $u(z) = z + \frac{z^{q+1}}{d_{q+1}}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.3.** *If  $u(z)$  of the form (1.1) satisfies the condition (2.1) then*

$$(5.15) \quad \Re \left[ \frac{u'_q(z)}{u'(z)} \right] \geq \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1+d_{q+1}}.$$

**Proof.** By setting

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= [q+1+d_{q+1}] \left[ \frac{u'_q(z)}{u'(z)} - \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1+d_{q+1}} \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{\left(1 + \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1}\right) \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta a_\eta z^{\eta-1}}{1 + \sum_{\eta=2}^q \eta a_\eta z^{\eta-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and making use of (5.14), we deduce that

$$\left| \frac{g(z) - 1}{g(z) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1}\right) \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta |a_\eta|}{2 - 2 \sum_{\eta=2}^q \eta |a_\eta| - \left(1 + \frac{d_{q+1}}{q+1}\right) \sum_{\eta=q+1}^{\infty} \eta |a_\eta|} \leq 1$$

which leads us immediately to the assertion of the Theorem 5.3.  $\square$

**6. Neighbourhood for the class  $S^\xi(\tau, v, \varrho)$ .** In this section, we determine the neighbourhoods for the class  $S^\xi(\tau, v, \varrho)$  which we define as follows:

**Definition 6.1.** A function  $u \in A$  is said to be in the class  $S^\xi(\tau, v, \varrho)$  if there exist a function  $g \in S(\tau, v, \varrho)$  such that

$$(6.1) \quad \left| \frac{u(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - v, \quad (z \in U, 0 \leq v < 1).$$

For any function  $u(z) \in A, z \in U$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , we define

$$(6.2) \quad N_{\eta, \delta}(u) = \left\{ g \in \Sigma : g(z) = z + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta} z^{\eta} \text{ and } \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta |a_{\eta} - b_{\eta}| \leq \delta \right\}$$

which is the  $(\eta, \delta)$ -neighbourhood of  $u(z)$ .

The concept of neighbourhoods was first introduced by Goodman [8] and generalized by Ruscheweyh [17].

**Theorem 6.2.** If  $g \in S(\tau, v, \varrho)$  and

$$(6.3) \quad \xi = 1 - \frac{\delta(1-v)}{2[(1-v) - (1+\tau)(2+\varrho-v)\phi(\mu, s, 2)]}$$

then  $N_{\eta, \delta}(g) \subset S^\xi(\tau, v, \varrho)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $u \in N_{\eta, \delta}(g)$ . We then find from (6.2) that

$$(6.4) \quad \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta |a_{\eta} - b_{\eta}| \leq \delta$$

which yields the coefficient inequality

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} |a_{\eta} - b_{\eta}| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \quad (\eta \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Next, since  $g \in S(\tau, v, \varrho)$ , we have

$$(6.6) \quad \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta} \leq \frac{(1+\tau)(2+\varrho-v)\phi(\mu, s, 2)}{1-v}.$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{u(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} |a_{\eta} - b_{\eta}|}{1 - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta}} \\ &= \frac{\delta(1-v)}{2[(1-v) - (1+\tau)(2+\varrho-v)\phi(\mu, s, 2)]} \\ &= 1 - \xi \end{aligned}$$

provided  $\xi$  is given by (6.3). Thus the proof of the is completed.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The authors are thankful to the editor and referee(s) for their valuable comments and suggestions which helped very much in improving the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. W. Alexander. Functions which map the interior of the unit circle upon simple regions. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **17**, 1 (1915), 12–22.
- [2] S. ALTINKAYA, S. YALÇIN. Some applications of generalized Srivastava–Attiya operator to the bi-concave functions. *Miskolc Math. Notes* **21**, 1 (2020), 51–60.
- [3] S. D. BERNARDI. Convex and starlike univalent functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (1969), 429–446.
- [4] K. A. CHALLAB, M. DARUS, F. GHANIAM. On subclass of meromorphic univalent functions defined by a linear operator associated with  $\lambda$ –generalized hurwitz-lerch zeta function and  $q$  hypergeometric function. *Italian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **39** (2018), 410–423, [https://ijpam.uniud.it/online\\_issue/201839/35-Challab-Darus-Ghaniam.pdf](https://ijpam.uniud.it/online_issue/201839/35-Challab-Darus-Ghaniam.pdf).
- [5] K. A. CHALLAB, M. DARUS, F. GHANIAM. Some application on Hurwitz Lerch zeta function defined by a generalization of the Srivastava Attiya operator. *Kragujevac J. Math.* **43**, 2 (2019), 201–217.



- [6] J. H. CHOI. Applications for certain classes of spirallike functions defined by the Srivastava–Attiya operator. *Adv. Pure Math.* **8**, 6 (2018), 615–623, DOI: 10.4236/apm.2018.86035.
- [7] F. GHANIM, HIBA F. AL-JANABY, OMAR BAZIGHIFAN. Geometric properties of the meromorphic functions class through special functions associated with a linear operator. *Adv. Contin. Discrete Models* (2022), paper No. 176, 15pp.
- [8] A. W. GOODMAN. Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 598–601.
- [9] I. B. JUNG, Y. C. KIM, H. M. SRIVASTAVA. The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **176**, 1 (1993), 138–147.
- [10] Y. C. KIM, J. H. CHOI. Some subclasses of analytic functions with negative coefficients for operators on Hilbert space. *Int. J. Appl. Math.* **32**, 4 (2019), 549–562, DOI: 10.12732/ijam.v32i4.1.
- [11] R. J. LIBERA. Some classes of regular univalent functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 755–758, <https://www.ams.org/journals/proc/1965-016-04/S0002-9939-1965-0178131-2/S0002-9939-1965-0178131-2.pdf>.
- [12] M.-J. LUO, R. K. RAINA. New Results for Srivastava’s  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz–Lerch zeta Function. *Filomat* **31**, 8 (2017), 2219–2229.
- [13] N. M. MUSTAFA, M. DARUS. Inclusion relations for subclasses of analytic functions defined by integral operator associated with the Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function. *Tamsui Oxf. J. Inf. Math. Sci.* **28**, 4 (2012), 379–393.
- [14] S. OWA, H. M. SRIVASTAVA. Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions. *Canad. J. Math.* **39**, 5 (1987), 1057–1077.
- [15] J. K. PRAJAPAT, A. K. MISHRA. Differential subordination and superordination results associated with Srivastava–Attiya integral operator. *Kyungpook Math. J.* **57**, 2 (2017), 233–244.
- [16] S. RAJESHWARI, B. VENKATESWARLU, P. THIRUPATHI REDDY, S. SRIDEVI. Some properties of meromorphically uniformly convex functions defined by Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function. *Palest. J. Math.* **11**, 1 (2022), 623–632.

- [17] S. RUSCHEWEYH. Neighborhoods of univalent functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81**, 4 (1981), 521–527.
- [18] H. SILVERMAN. Univalent functions with negative coefficients. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1975), 109–116.
- [19] H. SILVERMAN. Partial sums of starlike and convex functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **209**, 1 (1997), 221–227.
- [20] E. M. SILVIA. On partial sums of convex functions of order  $\alpha$ . *Houston J. Math.* **11**, 3 (1985), 397–404.
- [21] M. N. SRINIVAS, H. NIRANJAN, P. THIRUPATHI REDDY, B. VENKATESWARLU, S. ALTINKAYA, A. SHASHIKALA. Subclass of analytic functions connected with double zeta function. *Symmetry* **14**, 9 (2022), 1872, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14091872>.
- [22] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, J. CHOI. Series associated with the zeta and related functions. Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [23] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, S. OWA. An application of the fractional derivative, *Math. Japon.* **29**, 3 (1984), 383–389.
- [24] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, A. A. ATTIYA. An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz–Lerch zeta function and differential subordination. *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **18**, 3–4 (2007), 207–216.
- [25] H. M. SRIVASTAVA, A. K. WANAS, R. SRIVASTAVA. Applications of the  $q$ -Srivastava–Attiya operator involving a certain family of bi-univalent functions associated with the Horadam polynomials. *Symmetry* **13**, 7 (2021), 1230, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13071230>.
- [26] A. TASSADDIQ. New representation for Srivastava’s  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz–Lerch zeta functions. *Symmetry* **10**, 12 (2018), 733, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10120733>.
- [27] A. TASSADDIQ. Some difference equations for Srivastava’s  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz–Lerch zeta functions with applications. *Symmetry* **11**, 3 (2019), 311, <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym11030311>.
- [28] P. THIRUPATHI REDDY, B. VENKATESWARLU. New subclass of analytic functions involving Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta functions. *Int. J. Math. and Computation* **31**, 1 (2020), 76–83.

- [29] B. VENKATESWARLU, P. THIRUPATHI REDDY, R. MADHURI SHILPA, V. SUJATHA. A new subclass of meromorphic function with positive coefficients defined by Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions. *Dal'nevost. Mat. Zh. [Far Eastern Math. J.]* **21**, 1 (2021), 26–38.
- [30] B. VENKATESWARLU, P. THIRUPATHI REDDY, G. SWAPNA, R. MADHURI SHILPA. Certain classes of analytic functions defined by Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function. *J. Appl. Anal.* **28**, 1 (2022), 73–81.

*Department of Mathematics*

*Bahirji Smarak Mahavidyalay*

*Bashmathnagar – 431 512*

*Maharashtra, India*

e-mail: kishord1382@gmail.com (Kishor C. Deshmukh)

ingleraju11@gmail.com (Rajkumar N. Ingle)

*Department of Mathematics*

*DRK Institute of Science and Technology*

*Bowrampet, Hyderabad – 500 043*

*Telangana, India*

e-mail: reddypt2@gmail.com (Pinninti Thirupathi Reddy)

*Received June 21, 2022*

*Accepted December 21, 2022*