Provided for non-commercial research and educational use. Not for reproduction, distribution or commercial use.

Mathematica Balkanica

Mathematical Society of South-Eastern Europe
A quarterly published by
the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences – National Committee for Mathematics

The attached copy is furnished for non-commercial research and education use only. Authors are permitted to post this version of the article to their personal websites or institutional repositories and to share with other researchers in the form of electronic reprints.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to third party websites are prohibited.

For further information on Mathematica Balkanica visit the website of the journal http://www.mathbalkanica.info

or contact:

Mathematica Balkanica - Editorial Office; Acad. G. Bonchev str., Bl. 25A, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria Phone: +359-2-979-6311, Fax: +359-2-870-7273, E-mail: balmat@bas.bg



New series Vol.9, 1995, Fasc.1

A Note on the Hypergeometric Identities of Cayley and Orr

E. Montaldit, H.M. Srivastavat

Presented by P. Kenderov

The object of the present note is to give a rather simple proof of the classical hypergeometric identities of Cayley and Orr, which entirely avoids the use both of differential equations and of relations between generalized hypergeometric series. Our alternative derivations provide a novel insight into the various other hypergeometric identities of Cayley and Orr types.

1. Introduction

In 1858, while discussing certain relations in planetary theory, A. Cayley [3] stated without proof the interesting theorem:

If

(1.1)
$$(1-z)^{a+b-c} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a,2b;2c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \delta_{n} z^{n} ,$$

then

$$(1.2) _2F_1\left(a,b;c+\frac{1}{2};z\right)_2F_1\left(c-a,c-b;c+\frac{1}{2};z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_n}{\left(c+\frac{1}{2}\right)_n} \delta_n z^n.$$

Forty years later, while investigating the differential equation satisfied by the product of two hypergeometric series, W. M. Orr [6] gave a proof of Cayley's theorem and of several other relations of a similar nature. In particular, he showed that:

52

If

(1.3)
$$(1-z)^{a+b-c-\frac{1}{2}} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a-1,2b;2c-1;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} z^{n} ,$$

then

$$(1.4) _2F_1(a,b;c;z)_2F_1\left(c-a+\frac{1}{2},c-b-\frac{1}{2};c;z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(c-\frac{1}{2}\right)_n}{(c)_n} \lambda_n z^n ;$$

Furthermore, if

(1.5)
$$(1-z)^{a+b-c-\frac{1}{2}} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a,2b;2c;z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{n} z^{n} ,$$

then

$$(1.6) \quad {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z){}_{2}F_{1}\left(c-a+\frac{1}{2},c-b+\frac{1}{2};c+1;z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(c+\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n}}{(c+1)_{n}} \mu_{n} z^{n} .$$

The special case c = a + b of (1.2) is a famous formula for the square of a Gauss hypergeometric series:

$$(1.7) \left[{}_{2}F_{1}\left(a,b;a+b+\frac{1}{2};z\right) \right]^{2} = {}_{3}F_{2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2a, & 2b, & a+b & ; \\ & & & z \\ & 2a+2b, & a+b+\frac{1}{2} & ; \end{array} \right] ,$$

which was published by T. Czlausen [4] as long ago as 1828. The usefulness of the Clausen identity (1.7) in various fields of analysis has recently been pointed out by one of us [8].

The differential equation approach to the above identities involves a certain amount of algebraic work [2]. An alternative procedure, based on the transformation theory of generalized hypergeometric series [1, pp. 84-86], has been widely used in the literature. In this note we present a particular modus operandi, requiring very simple tools, which yields (1.2) and (1.4) in a somewhat thaumaturgic way. As we shall see, the key to achieve this goal is the elementary generating function (cf. [5, p.85]; see also [9, p.138 (8) with r = s = 1]):

(1.8)
$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(A)_r}{r!} y^r {}_2F_1(-r, B; C; u) = (1-y)^{-A} {}_2F_1\left(A, B; C; -\frac{yu}{1-y}\right) \quad (|y| < 1)$$

2. Description of the procedure

First of all, we rewrite Euler's transformation [9, p.33(21)] in the form:

$$(2.1) \qquad (2.1) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2c-2a)_r(c-b)_r}{(c)_r r!} z^r \sum_{s=0}^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} \frac{(-\frac{r}{2})_s(\frac{1-r}{2})_s(b)_s}{(c+\frac{1}{2})_s(1-c+b-r)_s s!} ,$$

which follows readily from Saalschutz's theorem [9,p.95].

Making use of the elementary series identity [9, p.101 (6)]:

(2.2)
$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\left[\frac{r}{2}\right]} g(r,s) = \sum_{r,s=0}^{\infty} g(r+2s,s),$$

we find from (2.1), after a straightforward manipulation, that

$$(2.3) \qquad (1-z)^{2(a+b-c)} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a,2b;2c;z) =$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c-a)_{s}(c-a+\frac{1}{2})_{s}}{(c+\frac{1}{2})_{s}s!} (-z^{2})^{s} \frac{(b)_{s}(c-b)_{s}}{(c)_{2s}}$$

$$\times {}_{2}F_{1}(2c-2a+2s,c-b+s;c+2s;z) .$$

Since

$$_2F_1(2c-2a+2s,c-b+s;c+2s;z) = (1-z)^{2a+b-2c-s} {}_2F_1(2a-c,b+s;c+2s;z)$$

 $(|arg(1-z)| \le \pi - \epsilon; \ 0 < \epsilon < \pi)$,

Equation (2.3) can be written as

$$(2.4) \qquad (1-z)^{b}{}_{2}F_{1}(2a,2b;2c;z) =$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{(c-a)_{s}(c-a+\frac{1}{2})_{s}}{(c+\frac{1}{2})_{s}s!} \left(-\frac{z^{2}}{1-z}\right)^{s} \frac{(b)_{s}(c-b)_{s}}{(c)_{2s}}$$

$$\times {}_{2}F_{1}(2a-c,b+s;c+2s;z) .$$

By expressing the ${}_{2}F_{1}$ in the right-hand side as an Eulerian integral:

$$_{2}F_{1}(2a-c,b+s;c+2s;z) = rac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b+s)} rac{(c)_{2s}}{(b)_{s}} \ imes \int_{0}^{1} t^{b+s-1} (1-t)^{c-b+s-1} (1-zt)^{c-2a} dt \ (Re(c) > Re(b) > 0; \ s = 0, 1, 2 \dots; \ |arg(1-z)| \le \pi - \epsilon; \ 0 < \epsilon < \pi),$$

we arrive at

$$\begin{split} &(1-z)^{b}{}_{2}F_{1}(2a,2b;2c;z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \\ &\times \int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{c-2a}{}_{2}F_{1}\left(c-a,c-a+\frac{1}{2};c+\frac{1}{2};-\frac{z^{2}t(1-t)}{1-z}\right) dt \\ &(Re(c) > Re(b) > 0; \; |arg(1-z)| \leq \pi - \epsilon; \; 0 < \epsilon < \pi) \end{split}$$

or, equivalently,

(2.6)
$$(1-z)^{2a+2b-c} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a,2b;2c;z) =$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{c-b-1} (1-t)^{b-1} (1-zt)^{2a-c}$$

$$\times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(a,a+\frac{1}{2};c+\frac{1}{2};-\frac{z^{2}t(1-t)}{1-z}\right) dt,$$

where we have made use of Euler's transformation referred to above. Next, we apply the generating function (1.8) and observe that

(2.7)
$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(a, a + \frac{1}{2}; c + \frac{1}{2}; -\frac{z^{2}t(1-t)}{1-z}\right) = \left(\frac{1-z}{1-zt}\right)^{a} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{r}}{r!} \left(\frac{z(1-t)}{1-zt}\right)^{r} \times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(-r, a + \frac{1}{2}; c + \frac{1}{2}; zt\right)$$

By substituting from (2.7) into (2.6), it follows that

$$\begin{split} &(1-z)^{a+b-c}{}_2F_1(2a,2b;2c;z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r}{r!} z^r \\ &\times \int_0^1 t^{c-b-1} (1-t)^{b+r-1} (1-zt)^{a-c-r} {}_2F_1 \left(-r,a+\frac{1}{2};c+\frac{1}{2};zt\right) dt = \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r}{r!} z^r \int_0^1 t^{c-b-1} (1-t)^{b+r-1} \\ &\times {}_2F_1 \left(c+r+\frac{1}{2},c-a;c+\frac{1}{2};zt\right) dt \\ &\qquad (Re(c) > Re(b) > 0) \end{split}$$

which, after explicit by evaluating the integral by means of [8, p.716 (1.18)],

yields

(2.8)
$$(1-z)^{a+b-c} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a,2b;2c;z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{r}(b)_{r}}{(c)_{r}r!} z^{r}$$

$$\times {}_{3}F_{2} \begin{bmatrix} c+r+\frac{1}{2}, & c-a, & c-b & ; \\ & & z \\ & c+\frac{1}{2}, & c+r & ; \end{bmatrix}.$$

As a final step, we replace z in (2.8) by zt, multiply both sides by $t^{c-1}(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and then integrate with respect to t over the interval (0,1). An amazing simplification occurs, because (cf. [8, p.716 (1.18)])

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{1}t^{c+r-1}(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}{}_{3}F_{2}\left[\begin{array}{cccc} c+r+\frac{1}{2}, & c-a, & c-b & & ; \\ & & & & zt \\ & & c+\frac{1}{2}, & c+r & & ; \end{array} \right]dt = \\ &= B\left(c+r,\frac{1}{2}\right){}_{2}F_{1}\left(c-a,c-b;c+\frac{1}{2};z\right) \\ & & (Re(c)>0; \ r=0,1,2,..) \end{split}$$

and we get the integral formula:

$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(a,b;c+\frac{1}{2};z\right){}_{2}F_{1}\left(c-a,c-b;c+\frac{1}{2};z\right) =$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(c+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{0}^{1} t^{c-1} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-zt)^{a+b-c} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a,2b;2c;zt) dt$$

$$(Re(c) > 0; |arg(1-z)| \le \pi - \epsilon; 0 < \epsilon < \pi)$$

which, as one immediately recognizes, is equivalent to Cayley's theorem. The various parametric and variable constraints (involved in our alternative derivation) can, of course, be waived by appealing to the principle of analytic continuation.

The derivation of Orr's first identity [Equations (1.3) and (1.4)] proceeds along similar lines. If, in (2.6), we replace a by $a - \frac{1}{2}$ and c by $c - \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$(1-z)^{2a+b-c-\frac{1}{2}} {}_2F_1(2a-1,2b;2c-1;z) = \frac{\Gamma(c-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b-\frac{1}{2})}$$

$$(2.10) \times \int_0^1 t^{c-b-\frac{3}{2}} (1-t)^{b-1} (1-zt)^{2a-c-\frac{1}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(a,a-\frac{1}{2};c;-\frac{z^2t(1-t)}{1-z}\right) dt$$

$$(Re(c)-\frac{1}{2}>Re(b)>0; |arg(1-z)| \le \pi-\epsilon; \ 0<\epsilon<\pi) \ .$$

Next, we use (1.8) once again to write

(2.11)
$${}_{2}F_{1}\left(a, a - \frac{1}{2}; c; -\frac{z^{2}t(1-t)}{1-z}\right) = \left(\frac{1-z}{1-zt}\right)^{a} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{r}}{r!} \left(\frac{z(1-t)}{1-zt}\right)^{r} \times {}_{2}F_{1}\left(-r, a - \frac{1}{2}; c; zt\right)$$

and repeat, mutatis mutandis, the steps leading to Equation (2.8), which now takes the form:

$$(2.12) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r(b)_r}{(c-\frac{1}{2})_r r!} z^r {}_3F_2 \begin{bmatrix} c+r, & c-a+\frac{1}{2}, & c-b-\frac{1}{2} & ; \\ & & z \\ & & c, & c+r-\frac{1}{2} & ; \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, we replace z by zt, multiply both sides by $t^{c-\frac{3}{2}}(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and then integrate with respect to t over the interval (0,1). The outcome is the integral formula:

$$(2.13) {}_{2}F_{1}(a,b;c;z){}_{2}F_{1}\left(c-a+\frac{1}{2},c-b-\frac{1}{2};c;z\right) =$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(c-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \int_{0}^{1} t^{c-\frac{3}{2}} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-zt)^{a+b-c-\frac{1}{2}} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a-1,2b;2c-1;zt)dt$$

$$(Re(c) > \frac{1}{2}; |arg(1-z)| \le \pi - \epsilon; \ 0 < \epsilon < \pi) ,$$

which is equivalent to the required result.

Orr's second identity [Equations (1.5) and (1.6)] is easily obtained from (2.13), with $a \to a+1$, so that

$$B\left(c - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) {}_{2}F_{1}(a+1, b; c; z) {}_{2}F_{1}\left(c - a - \frac{1}{2}, c - b - \frac{1}{2}; c; z\right) =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} t^{c - \frac{3}{2}} (1 - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - zt)^{a + b - c + \frac{1}{2}} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a + 1, 2b; 2c - 1; zt) dt$$

$$(Re(c) > \frac{1}{2}; |arg(1 - z)| \le \pi - \epsilon; 0 < \epsilon < \pi),$$

and from (2.9), with $c \to c - \frac{1}{2}$, so that

$$B\left(c - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) {}_{2}F_{1}(a, b; c; z) {}_{2}F_{1}\left(c - a - \frac{1}{2}, c - b - \frac{1}{2}; c; z\right) =$$

$$= \int_{0}^{1} t^{c - \frac{3}{2}} (1 - t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 - zt)^{a + b - c + \frac{1}{2}} {}_{2}F_{1}(2a, 2b; 2c - 1; zt) dt$$

$$(Re(c) > \frac{1}{2}; |arg(1 - z)| \le \pi - \epsilon; 0 < \epsilon < \pi).$$

By recalling the well-known relation [5, p.103 (35)]

$$(c-a-1)_2F_1(a,b;c;z) + a_2F_1(a+1,b;c;z) = (c-1)_2F_1(a,b;c-1;z)$$

between contiguous hypergeometric functions, equations (2.14) and (2.15) together imply

$$\begin{split} B\bigg(c-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\bigg)_2F_1(a,b;c-1;z)_2F_1\bigg(c-a-\frac{1}{2},c-b-\frac{1}{2};c;z\bigg) = \\ &= \int_0^1 t^{c-\frac{3}{2}}(1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-zt)^{a+b-c+\frac{1}{2}}{}_2F_1(2a,2b;2c-2;zt)dt \\ &\qquad (Re(c) > \frac{1}{2}; \; |arg(1-z)| \leq \pi - \epsilon; \; 0 < \epsilon < \pi) \end{split}$$

and this (with $c \to c + 1$) completes the proof.

3. Concluding remarks

Many other theorems of a type similar to Cayley's and Orr's theorems exist in the literature [7], and it would be interesting to prove them in the spirit of the present note (i.e., by avoiding differential equations or relations between hypergeometric ${}_{3}F_{2}$ and ${}_{4}F_{3}$ functions). We hope to come back to this subject in a future communication.

References

W. N. Bailey, Generalized Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1935; Reprinted by Stechert-Hafner, New York, 1964.
 J. L. Burchnall, T. W. Chaundy, The Hypergeometric Identities of Cayley, Orr and Bailey, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 50 (1949), 56-74.
 A. Cayley, On a Theorem Relating to Hypergeometric Series, Philos. Mag. (4) 16(1858) 356-357

¹⁶(1858), 356-357.

[4] T. Clausen, Ueber die Falle, wenn die Reihe von der Form

$$y = 1 + \frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{1 \cdot \gamma} x + \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1) \cdot \beta(\beta+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \gamma(\gamma+1)} x^2 + etc.$$

ein Quadrat von der Form

$$z = 1 + \frac{\alpha' \cdot \beta' \cdot \delta'}{1 \cdot \gamma' \cdot \epsilon'} x + \frac{\alpha'(\alpha'+1) \cdot \beta'(\beta'+1) \cdot \delta'(\delta'+1)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot \gamma'(\gamma'+1) \cdot \epsilon'(\epsilon'+1)} x^2 + etc.$$

hat, J. Reine Angew. Math. 3 (1828), 89-91.
[5] A. Erdélyi, W.Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F.G. Tricomi, Higher Transcen-

dental Functions, Vol. 1, Mc Graw-Hill, 1953.

[6] W.M. Orr, Theorems Relating to the Product of Two Hypergeometric Series, Trans. Cambridge Philos.Soc. 17 (1899), 1-15.

[7] L. J. Slater, Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cam-

bridge, 1966.
[8] H. M. Srivastava, Some Operational Techniques in the Theory of Generalized Gaussian and Clausenian Functions, in "The Mathematical Heritage of C.F. Gauss" (G.M. Rassias, Editor), World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1991, 712-732.
[9] H. M. Srivastava, H. L. Manocha, A Treatise on Generating Functions, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1984.

† Dipartimento di Fisica Universita' di Milano via Celoria 16 I-20133 Milano Italy

‡ Department of Mathematics and Statistics University of Victoria Victoria, British Columbia V 8 W 3 P4 Canada

Received 1.03.1993