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# LOG-CONVEXITY OF WEIGHTED AREA INTEGRAL MEANS OF $\boldsymbol{H}^{p}$ FUNCTIONS ON THE UPPER HALF-PLANE 

Martin At. Stanev

Communicated by P. Pflug

Abstract. In the present work weighted area integral means $M_{p, \varphi}(f ; \operatorname{Im} z)$ are studied and it is proved that the function $y \rightarrow \log M_{p, \varphi}(f ; y)$ is convex in the case when $f$ belongs to a Hardy space on the upper half-plane.

1. Introduction. In the present paper we study three weighted area integral means of holomorphic on the upper half plane functions. They are defined as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{p, \varphi}^{(1)}(f ; y)=\frac{\int_{1}^{y} \varphi^{\prime}(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i t)|^{p} d x d t}{\int_{1}^{y} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t} \\
& M_{p, \varphi}^{(0)}(f ; y)=\frac{\int_{0}^{y} \varphi^{\prime}(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i t)|^{p} d x d t}{\int_{0}^{y} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]$$
M_{p, \varphi}^{(\infty)}(f ; y)=\frac{\int_{y}^{+\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(t) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i t)|^{p} d x d t}{\int_{y}^{+\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t}
$$
where $p>0, y>0$, the functions $f$ and $\varphi$ are such that the integrals exist and the fraction can be defined as a continuous function on $(0 ;+\infty)$.

The goal is to find specific conditions on the functions $f$ and $\varphi$ under which each one of these three weighted area integral means is log-convex on $(0 ;+\infty)$. This goal is partially achieved in Theorems $12,13,14,15$ where some sufficient conditions are presented. Our theorems show that in the case when $f$ belongs to the Hardy space $H^{p}, 2 \leq p<+\infty$, these three weighted area integral means are similar to the classical integral means

$$
M_{p}^{p}(f ; y)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i y)|^{p} d x, \quad y \in(0 ;+\infty)
$$

in terms of its monotonic growth and convexity behavior. Moreover, there is a specific weight $\varphi$ and a specific holomorphic function $f$ such that $f$ does not belong to any Hardy space and nevertheless such a similarity still exists.

In addition, note that Theorems 8 and 12 can be stated and proved with any positive number as the lower limit of the integrals instead of 1. Therefore, in this paper, the weighted area integral mean $M_{p, \varphi}^{(1)}$ represents without loss of generality the more general notion of weighted area integral means when the integrals involved in the definition of $M_{p, \varphi}^{(1)}$ have the lower limit 1 replaced by any positive number.

Some of the results in this paper were presented on the Second International Conference "Mathematics Days in Sofia", July 10-14, 2017, Sofia, Bulgaria.

During the period 2011-2016, there was a series of papers by Ch. Wang, J. Xiao and K. Zhu on weighted area integral means. In [8] volume integral means of holomorphic in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ functions were studied. Among various results they stated a conjecture about convexity of $\log M_{p, \alpha}(f, r)$ in $\log r$. In [7] authors studied monotonic growth and logarithmic convexity of integral means which are important from a geometric point of view. In [5], [6], [8] authors proved theorems about convexity of $\log$ of a weighted area integral mean in $\log r$ in the case of holomorphic functions in the unit disk of $\mathbb{C}$. They considered the weight function $\varphi$ with $\varphi^{\prime}\left(|z|^{2}\right)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{\alpha}$. In [2], [3], [4] authors studied the case when $f$ is an entire function on $\mathbb{C}$ and the weight function $\varphi$ with $\varphi^{\prime}\left(|z|^{2}\right)=e^{-\alpha|z|^{2}}$.

Note that the case of holomorphic functions on the upper half plane remainded unexplored.

Thus, the present paper contains theorems about weighted area integral means in a new case. We apply the method demonstrated in [5] and modify it with some details that are relevant to our goals.

A great deal of our computations are done and checked with a freeware open-source computer algebra system Maxima (wxMaxima) which is published at http://maxima.sf.net.

## 2. Definitions.

Definition 1. Let $I, I \subset(-\infty ;+\infty)$, be a non-empty open interval, and $\mathcal{D}^{n}(I)$ stand for the class of all real valued functions such that have a finite $n$-th derivative everywhere in $I$. If the functions $q: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty), \varphi: I \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ and $M: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty)$ are such that $q \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(I), M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I)$ then the functions $A, B_{0}, C_{0}, B, C, E_{1}, E_{2}, F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are defined as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi-q \varphi^{\prime 2}, \quad B_{0}=\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi^{2}, \quad C_{0}=q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 2} \\
B=\left(q \varphi^{\prime} M\right)^{\prime} \varphi^{2}, \quad C=q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 2} M^{2}, \quad E_{1}=A^{2} \varphi+E_{2} \\
E_{2}=A q \varphi \varphi^{\prime 2}-B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi \varphi^{\prime}+\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \\
F_{1}=\frac{B-\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}}{2 A}, \quad F_{2}=\frac{B+\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}}{2 A}
\end{gathered}
$$

where' denotes differentiation and $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are defined on the subset of $I$ defined by the conditions $A \neq 0, B^{2}-4 A C \geq 0$.

Note that if $A \neq 0, B^{2}-4 A C \geq 0$ then the functions $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are well defined real valued functions such that $A F_{i}^{2}-B F_{i}+C=0, i=1,2$.

Example 2. The following examples are used in the main theorems
(1) If $I=(0 ;+\infty), q(x)=1, \varphi(x)=\int_{1}^{x} t^{-a} d t$, where $x \in I$ and the constant $a>0$ then

$$
A(x)=-x^{-a-1}(\varphi(x)+1), \quad E_{1}(x)=a x^{-2 a-2} \varphi^{2}(x)
$$

(2) If $I=(0 ;+\infty), q(x)=1, \varphi(x)=\int_{1}^{x} e^{-t} d t$, where $x \in I$ then

$$
A(x)=-e^{-x-1}, \quad E_{1}(x)=e^{-2 x-1} \varphi^{2}(x)
$$

(3) If $I=(0 ;+\infty), q(x)=1, \varphi(x)=\int_{0}^{x} t^{-a} d t$, where $x \in I$ and the constant $a<1$ then

$$
A(x)=(a-1)^{-1} x^{-2 a}, \quad E_{1}(x)=0
$$

(4) If $I=(0 ;+\infty), q(x)=1, \varphi(x)=\int_{0}^{x} e^{-t} d t$, where $x \in I$ then

$$
A(x)=-e^{-x}, \quad E_{1}(x)=e^{-2 x} \varphi^{2}(x)
$$

(5) If $I=(0 ;+\infty), q(x)=1, \varphi(x)=-\int_{x}^{+\infty} t^{-a} d t$, where $x \in I$ and the constant $a>1$ then

$$
A(x)=(a-1)^{-1} x^{-2 a}, \quad E_{1}(x)=0
$$

(6) If $I=(0 ;+\infty), q(x)=1, \varphi(x)=-\int_{x}^{+\infty} e^{-t} d t$, where $x \in I$ then

$$
A(x)=0, \quad E_{1}(x)=0
$$

(7) If $I=(0 ;+\infty), q(x)=1, \varphi(x)=-\int_{x}^{+\infty} t^{a} e^{-t} d t$, where $x \in I$ and the constant $a<0$ then

$$
A(x)>0, \quad E_{1}(x)>0 .
$$

The computations which are needed in (1)-(7) are simple and straight-forward and because of this they are omitted.

Auxiliary example: $I=(0 ;+\infty), q(x)=1, \varphi(x)=-\int_{x}^{+\infty} e^{t-e^{t}} d t$, where $x \in I$,

$$
A=-e^{x-2 e^{x}}<0, \quad E_{2}=0, \quad E_{1}=A^{2} \varphi+E_{2}<0
$$

## 3. Auxiliary results.

Lemma 3. Let $I, I \subset(-\infty ;+\infty)$, be a non-empty open interval. If the functions $q: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty), \varphi: I \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ are such that $q \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(I)$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in I$, then the following identities hold on $I$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{2}=A^{2} \varphi-\left(A B_{0}-A q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& E_{1}=-q^{2} \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 3}\left(\frac{\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi}{q \varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 4. Note that it follows by this lemma and the definition of $E_{2}$ that

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{align*}
A^{2} \varphi+\left(-E_{2}\right) & =A B_{0}-A q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}  \tag{3}\\
\varphi\left(-E_{2}\right) & =(-1)\left(A C_{0}-B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}+B_{0} q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Let the functions $q$ and $\varphi$ meet the conditions from the lemma. Identity (1) follows from the computation ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A^{2} \varphi-\left(A B_{0}-A q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)-E_{2}\right) \varphi \\
& =A^{2} \varphi^{2}-\left(A B_{0}-A q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right) \varphi \\
& =A\left(B_{0} \varphi-C_{0}\right)-A B_{0} \varphi+\underline{A q \varphi\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}-\xlongequal{A^{\prime} q \varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime}} \\
& =-\left(A C_{0}-B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}+B_{0} q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =-2 A C_{0}+q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(A \varphi-B_{0}\right)-q \varphi \varphi^{\prime}\left(A^{\prime} \varphi^{2}-B_{0}^{\prime} \varphi\right) \\
& =-\underline{2 A C_{0}}-q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q \varphi \varphi^{\prime 2}-q \varphi \varphi^{\prime}\left(-\underline{B_{0} q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \left.=\underline{q \varphi \varphi^{\prime}}+B_{0} \varphi^{\prime}-C_{0}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.=q \varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(B_{0} \varphi^{\prime}-C_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to prove identity (2) note that by identity (1) it follows that $E_{1}=2 A^{2} \varphi-A B_{0}+A q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}$. So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=A\left(2 A \varphi-B_{0}+q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)-A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} \\
& =A\left(2\left(\underline{\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi}-\underline{\underline{q \varphi^{\prime 2}}}\right) \varphi-\underline{\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi^{2}}+q\left(\underline{\underline{2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime 2}}}+\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)-A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} \\
& =A\left(\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi^{2}+q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right)-A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}=A\left(\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime}+q \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{\varphi^{2}}{\varphi^{\prime}}-A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} \\
& =-\frac{\varphi^{2}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\left(A^{\prime} q \varphi^{\prime 2}-A\left(q \varphi^{\prime 2}\right)^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{\varphi^{2}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\left(q \varphi^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{A}{q \varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=-q^{2} \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 3}\left(\frac{A}{q \varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =-q^{2} \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 3}\left(\frac{\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi-q \varphi^{\prime 2}}{q \varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=-q^{2} \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 3}\left(\frac{\varphi\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{q \varphi^{2}}\right)^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5. Let $I, I \subset(-\infty ;+\infty)$, be a non-empty open interval. Assume the functions $q: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty), \varphi: I \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ and $M: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty)$ are

[^1]such that $q \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(I), M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I)$. Then, the following identities hold on I
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& B^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=B\left(B-B_{0} M\right)+B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2}  \tag{4}\\
& \\
& \quad+\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q M\left(B-B_{0} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

(5) $\quad C^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=C q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} M+2 B C-B_{0} C M$.

Proof. The proof of identity (4) is as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=\left(M\left(B_{0}+q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)\right)^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =M^{\prime}\left(B_{0}+q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M+M\left(B_{0}^{\prime}+\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =M^{\prime} B q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}+B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2} \\
& +\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2}+\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2} \\
& =B q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{\prime}+B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2} \\
& +\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} q M M^{\prime}+q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =B\left(B-B_{0} M\right)+B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2} \\
& +\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q M\left(B-B_{0} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of identity (5) is as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=\left(q \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{2} M^{2}\right)^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =\left(\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2}+q \varphi^{\prime}\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} M^{2}+q \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{2} 2 M M^{\prime}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =B_{0} C M+q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} C M+2 q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 2} M^{2} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{\prime} \\
& =B_{0} C M+q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} C M+2 C\left(B-B_{0} M\right) \\
& =C q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} M+2 B C-B_{0} C M .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 6. Let $I, I \subset(-\infty ;+\infty)$, be a non-empty open interval. Assume the functions $q: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty), \varphi: I \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty), M: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty)$ and $h: I \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ meet the conditions
(i) $q \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(I), M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I)$,
(ii) there exists a non empty open subinterval $J$ of $I, J \subseteq I$, such that $A(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in J$ and $B^{2}-4 A C \geq 0$ on $J$,
(iii) $h^{\prime}=\varphi^{\prime} M$ on $I$.

Then, the following identities hold on J

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M  \tag{6}\\
& \quad=F_{1}\left(\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M  \tag{7}\\
& \quad=F_{2}\left(\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Each of these identities is a result of direct simple and rather long computations.

The proof of identity (6) is as follows.

$$
\left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=\left(h^{\prime}-F_{1}^{\prime}\right) A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\varphi^{\prime} M A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M-F_{1}^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of the functions $F_{1}, F_{2}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) & =\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}=-2 A F_{1}+B \\
A F_{1}^{2}-B F_{1}+C & =0 \Longrightarrow A^{\prime} F_{1}^{2}-B^{\prime} F_{1}+C^{\prime}=F_{1}^{\prime}\left(-2 A F_{1}+B\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $F_{1}^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right)=A^{\prime} F_{1}^{2}-B^{\prime} F_{1}+C^{\prime}$.
So, from (8) it follows that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) C & \\
& \\
& \\
=A\left(F_{2}-\left(A^{\prime} F_{1}^{2}-B_{1}\right)\left(-A F_{1}^{2}+B F_{1}\right)-A^{\prime} F_{1}^{2} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M\right. & \\
& +B^{\prime} F_{1} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M-\varphi^{\prime} M
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now, identities (4) and (5) from Lemma 5 allow us to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =-A^{2} F_{1}^{2} F_{2}+A B F_{1} F_{2}+A^{2} F_{1}^{3}-A B F_{1}^{2}-A^{\prime} F_{1}^{2} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \\
& \quad+B F_{1}\left(B-B_{0} M\right)+B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2} F_{1}+\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q M\left(B-B_{0} M\right) F_{1} \\
& \quad+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime} F_{1}-C q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} M-2 B C+B_{0} C M \\
& =-A C F_{1}+\underline{B C}+A^{2} F_{1}^{3}-\underline{A B F_{1}^{2}}-A^{\prime} F_{1}^{2} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \\
& \quad+\underline{\left(A F_{1}^{2}+C\right)\left(B-B_{0} M\right)}+B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2} F_{1}+\underline{\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q M B F_{1}} \\
& -\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q B_{0} M^{2} F_{1}+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime} F_{1}-\left(-A F_{1}^{2}+\underline{B F_{1}}\right) q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} M \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

where all the underlined parts cancel out. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =-A C F_{1}+A^{2} F_{1}^{3}-A^{\prime} F_{1}^{2} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M+B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2} F_{1} \\
& \quad-\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q B_{0} M^{2} F_{1}+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime} F_{1}+A F_{1}^{2} q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} M \\
& =F_{1}\left(A^{2} F_{1}^{2}-\left(A B_{0}-A q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+A^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right) F_{1} M\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left(A C_{0}-B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}+\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q B_{0}\right) M^{2}+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, by identities (3) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{1}\left(\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2} F_{1}+E_{2} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=F_{1}\left(\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The computations that prove identity (7) are omitted as they are similar to those that prove identity (6).

Lemma 7. Let $I, I \subset(-\infty ;+\infty)$, be a non-empty open interval. Assume the functions $q: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty), \varphi: I \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty), M: I \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty)$ and $h: I \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ meet the conditions
(i) $q \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I), \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(I), M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(I)$,
(ii) there exists a non empty open subinterval $J$ of $I, J \subseteq I$, such that $A=0$ and $\varphi^{\prime}>0$ on $J$ and $B(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in J$,
(iii) $h^{\prime}=\varphi^{\prime} M$ on $I$.

Then, the following identity holds on $J$

$$
\left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)^{\prime} B^{2} q \varphi^{\prime} M=C^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}
$$

Proof. Claim. $\left(\frac{\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=0$ on $J$ and $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in J$.
Indeed, note that $A=0$ implies $\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \varphi=q \varphi^{\prime 2}>0$. So, $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in J$. Therefore, from $A=0$ follows that $\frac{\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}=\frac{q \varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}$ and

$$
\left(\frac{\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{q \varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{A}{\varphi^{2}}=0
$$

Thus, the claim is proved.
Now, the lemma follows from the following computations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)^{\prime} B q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=\left(h^{\prime}-\frac{C^{\prime} B-C B^{\prime}}{B^{2}}\right) B q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =\varphi^{\prime} M B q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M+B^{\prime} \frac{C}{B} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M-C^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M
\end{aligned}
$$

$B^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$ are substituted accordingly to identities (4) and (5) from Lemma 5

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)^{\prime} B q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =\underline{B C}+\frac{C}{B}\left(\underline{B\left(B-B_{0} M\right)}+B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2}+\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q M\left(\underline{B}-B_{0} M\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left.+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)-\underline{\left(C q\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} M+2 B C-B_{0} C M\right)}
$$

where all the underlined parts cancel out. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)^{\prime} B q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& =\frac{C}{B}\left(B_{0}^{\prime} q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M^{2}-\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} q M^{2} B_{0}+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{C}{B}\left(q M^{2}\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{B_{0}}{\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\frac{C}{B}\left(q M^{2}\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{\left(q \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}}{\varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}+C \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, accordingly to the claim, it follows that

$$
\left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)^{\prime} B q \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=\frac{C^{2}}{B} \varphi^{2}\left(q \frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime} .
$$

4. Main theorems. In this section Theorems 8, 9, 10 are stated and proved, and these theorems represent the main theorems of the paper. These theorems are about the case when $q(x)=1$ for all $x \in(0 ;+\infty)$.

Note that Definition 1 and the results from the previous section, all they are used in the proofs of the main theorems in the specific case when $q(x)=1$ for all $x \in(0 ;+\infty)$. In particular,

$$
A=\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi-\varphi^{\prime 2}, \quad B=\left(\varphi^{\prime} M\right)^{\prime} \varphi^{2}, \quad C=\varphi^{2} \varphi^{2} M^{2}
$$

and, by $(2), E_{1}=-\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 3}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=-\varphi^{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2}\right)$.
The following Theorem can be stated and proved with any positive number as the lower limit of the integrals instead of 1.

Theorem 8. Assume the functions $\varphi:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty), M:$ $(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty)$ and $h:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ are such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(0 ;+\infty)$, $M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and meet the conditions
(i) $M^{\prime}<0$, and $(\log M)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(ii) $\varphi^{\prime}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$, and $\varphi(x)=\int_{1}^{x} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t$ for all $x \in(0 ;+\infty)$,
(iii) $\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2} \leq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(iv) $h(x)=\int_{1}^{x} \varphi^{\prime}(t) M(t) d t$ for all $x \in(0 ;+\infty)$.

Then, $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and moreover,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}<0,\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Proof. $\quad \operatorname{Claim} 1 . \frac{h}{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$.
Indeed, accordingly to the assumptions, it is clear that the functions $h$ and $\varphi$ belong to $\mathcal{D}^{3}(0 ;+\infty)$. Moreover, $\varphi(x)=0 \Longleftrightarrow x=1$. So, it is sufficient to prove that $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ has asymptotic expansion of the form

$$
\frac{h(x)}{\varphi(x)}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}(x-1)+\alpha_{2}(x-1)^{2}+o(x-1)^{2}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$, where $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are real numbers that do not depend on $x$.
The expansion is obtained as follows. Note that $h(1)=\varphi(1)=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(x)=h^{\prime}(1)(x-1)+\frac{1}{2} h^{\prime \prime}(1)(x-1)^{2}+\frac{1}{6} h^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)(x-1)^{3}+o(x-1)^{3}  \tag{9}\\
& \varphi(x)=\varphi^{\prime}(1)(x-1)+\frac{1}{2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(1)(x-1)^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)(x-1)^{3}+o(x-1)^{3} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$ with $\varphi^{\prime}(1) \neq 0$ (by the assumptions of the theorem). Therefore,

$$
\frac{h(x)}{\varphi(x)}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1}(x-1)+\beta_{2}(x-1)^{2}+o(x-1)^{2}
$$

where $\beta_{0}=\frac{h^{\prime}(1)}{\varphi^{\prime}(1)}, \beta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(h^{\prime \prime}(1)-\varphi^{\prime \prime}(1) \beta_{0}\right) \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(1)}$, and

$$
\beta_{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left(h^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)-3 \beta_{1} \varphi^{\prime \prime}(1)-\beta_{0} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)\right) \frac{1}{\varphi^{\prime}(1)}
$$

So,

$$
\frac{h(x)}{\varphi(x)}=M(1)+\frac{1}{2} M^{\prime}(1)(x-1)+\frac{1}{6}\left(M^{\prime \prime}(1)+\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(1)}{2 \varphi^{\prime}(1)} M^{\prime}(1)\right)(x-1)^{2}+o(x-1)^{2}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$ and the claim is proved.
Let us define the value of $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ at $x=1$ to be equal to

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{h(x)}{\varphi(x)}=M(1)
$$

and note that $M(1)>0$. Moreover,

$$
\left.\left(\frac{h(x)}{\varphi(x)}\right)^{\prime}\right|_{x=1}=\frac{1}{2} M^{\prime}(1),\left.\quad\left(\frac{h(x)}{\varphi(x)}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right|_{x=1}=\frac{1}{3}\left(M^{\prime \prime}(1)+\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(1)}{2 \varphi^{\prime}(1)} M^{\prime}(1)\right)
$$

where $\left.\right|_{x=1}$ stands for 'the value at $x=1$ '.
Now, it follows from the claim and from $\frac{h}{\varphi}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ that $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ is well defined on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and belongs to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$.

Here, it is verified that the functions $h, \varphi$ and $M$ satisfy an important simple inequality.

Claim 2. $h-\varphi M>0$ on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$.
This inequality holds because of

$$
h(x)-\varphi(x) M(x)=(-1) \int_{1}^{x} \varphi(t) M^{\prime}(t) d t>0
$$

for all $x \in(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$.
Hence, the derivative

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}=(-1) \frac{\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi^{2}}(h-\varphi M)<0, \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

The derivative $\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is calculated as follows.
Accordingly to the assumptions of the theorem, $E_{1} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Furthermore, by the definition of $C$ the inequality $C>0$ holds on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$, and

$$
A(1)=\varphi^{\prime \prime}(1) \varphi(1)-\varphi^{\prime 2}(1)=-\varphi^{\prime 2}(1)<0
$$

$$
\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}+\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2}}{\varphi^{\prime 3}} \leq 0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

and $\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} B(x)=B(1)=0, \lim _{x \rightarrow 1} C(x)=C(1)=0$.
So, $\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}-1$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$; therefore,

- $\left.\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right|_{x=1}<0 \Longrightarrow$ two possible cases exist: $A<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ or there exists a number $x_{A} \in(0 ; 1)$ such that $A>0$ on $\left(0 ; x_{A}\right), A\left(x_{A}\right)=0$ and $A<0$ on $\left(x_{A} ;+\infty\right)$ (these cases are discussed bellow as Case 1 and Case 2);
- $\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$, its value $\left.\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right|_{x=1}=0$ and hence $\varphi^{\prime \prime}<0$, $B=\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} M+\varphi^{\prime} M^{\prime}\right) \varphi^{2}<0$ on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$.

Now, by $\varphi^{\prime \prime}<0$ and

$$
B^{2}-4 A C=\varphi^{2}\left(\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 2} M^{\prime 2}+2 \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime} M M^{\prime}+\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi-2 \varphi^{\prime 2}\right)^{2} M^{2}\right)
$$

it follows that $B^{2}-4 A C \geq 0$ on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$.
Therefore, the functions $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are real valued well defined functions on the subset of $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$ where $A$ does not vanish and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} F_{1}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} F_{2}(x)=0
$$

by the definition $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$.
Case 1. Let us suppose that the function $\varphi$ is such that

$$
A<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

In this case, $F_{2}<0<\frac{B}{2 A}<F_{1}$ on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$. Moreover,

$$
\left.\left(A F^{2}-B F+C\right)\right|_{F=\varphi M}=-\varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime} M M^{\prime} \begin{cases}<0, & x \in(0 ; 1) \\ >0, & x \in(1 ;+\infty)\end{cases}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{cases}\varphi M<F_{2}<0<F_{1}, & \text { on }(0 ; 1) \\ F_{2}<0<\varphi M<F_{1}, & \text { on }(1 ;+\infty)\end{cases}
$$

In order to prove $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$ it is sufficient to prove that values of $h$ are outside the interval of roots of the equation $A F^{2}$ $B F+C=0$.

First, by identity (7) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{2}\left(\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $(0 ; 1)$. By the definition of $F_{2}$, it follows that the left hand side limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(h(x)-F_{2}(x)\right)=0
$$

Thus, $h-F_{2}<0$, and hence $h<F_{2}<F_{1}$ on ( $0 ; 1$ ). Therefore,

$$
A h^{2}-B h+C<0 \text { on }(0 ; 1)
$$

Second, by the identity (6) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{1}\left(\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

hence, $\left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $(1 ;+\infty)$. By the definition of $F_{1}$, it follows that the right hand side limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{+}}\left(h(x)-F_{1}(x)\right)=0
$$

Thus, $h-F_{1}>0$, and hence, $F_{2}<F_{1}<h$ on $(1 ;+\infty)$. Therefore,

$$
A h^{2}-B h+C<0 \text { on }(1 ;+\infty)
$$

Hence, in Case 1, $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$.
Case 2. Let us suppose that the function $\varphi$ is such that there exists a number $x_{A} \in(0 ; 1)$ such that $A>0$ on $\left(0 ; x_{A}\right), A\left(x_{A}\right)=0$ and $A<0$ on $\left(x_{A} ;+\infty\right)$.

Note, if $x \in(1 ;+\infty)$ then the proof of $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $(1 ;+\infty)$ is identical with the one showed in Second part of Case 1, so it is omitted here.

Thus, it is sufficient to prove $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $(0 ; 1)$.
In this case,

$$
\left.\left(A F^{2}-B F+C\right)\right|_{F=\varphi M}=-\varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime} M M^{\prime}<0 \text { on }(0 ; 1)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{cases}F_{1}<\varphi M<F_{2}<0, & \text { on }\left(0 ; x_{A}\right), \\ \varphi M<F_{2}<0<F_{1}, & \text { on }\left(x_{A} ; 1\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Note, $\varphi M<h$ from Claim 2, and $h<0$ on $(0 ; 1)$.
By identity (7) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{2}\left(\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence, $\left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $\left(0 ; x_{A}\right) \cup\left(x_{A} ; 1\right)$. So, $h-F_{2}$ increases on $\left(0 ; x_{A}\right) \cup$ $\left(x_{A} ; 1\right)$.

Moreover, $h-F_{2}$ increases on $(0 ; 1)$ because of continuity of $h$ and continuity of

$$
F_{2}=\frac{2 C}{B-\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}} \text { at } x_{A}, \quad F_{2}\left(x_{A}\right)=\frac{C\left(x_{A}\right)}{B\left(x_{A}\right)}
$$

Therefore, $h-F_{2}<0$ on $(0 ; 1)$, as $\lim _{x \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left(h-F_{2}\right)=0$ and hence,

$$
\begin{cases}F_{1}<\varphi M<h<F_{2}<0, & \text { on }\left(0 ; x_{A}\right) \\ \varphi M<h<F_{2}<0<F_{1}, & \text { on }\left(x_{A} ; 1\right)\end{cases}
$$

Hence $A h^{2}-B h+\left.C\right|_{x_{A}}=-\left.B\left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)\right|_{x_{A}}=-\left.B\left(h-F_{2}\right)\right|_{x_{A}}<0$, and

$$
A h^{2}-B h+C<0 \text { on }(0 ; 1)
$$

Hence, in Case 2, $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
A h^{2}-B h+C<0 \text { on }(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in both cases, Case 1 and Case 2.
Claim. There exists the limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(-1)\left(A h^{2}-B h+C\right)}{\varphi^{2} h^{2}}>0
$$

and the second derivative

$$
\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\frac{-1}{\varphi^{2} h^{2}}\left(A h^{2}-B h+C\right) \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

In particular, $\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is a well defined continuous function on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
The proof about the limit of this Claim is sketched out only. The limit is computed by using expansions (9), (10),

$$
M(x)=M(1)+M^{\prime}(1)(x-1)+\frac{1}{2} M^{\prime \prime}(1)(x-1)^{2}+o(x-1)^{2}, \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow 1
$$

and the values of the derivatives of $h$ are substituted as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
h^{\prime}(1)=\varphi^{\prime}(1) M(1), \quad h^{\prime \prime}(1)=\varphi^{\prime \prime}(1) M(1)+\varphi^{\prime}(1) M^{\prime}(1), \\
h^{\prime \prime \prime}(1)=\varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}(1) M(1)+2 \varphi^{\prime \prime}(1) M^{\prime}(1)+\varphi^{\prime}(1) M^{\prime \prime}(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

The elements of the numerator, $A h^{2}, B h$ and $C$, are calculated with a precision of $o(x-1)^{4}$. So, the numerator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (-1)\left(A(x) h(x)^{2}-B(x) h(x)+C(x)\right) \\
= & \frac{\varphi^{\prime 4}(1) M^{2}(1)}{6}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}(1)}{M(1)} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(1)}{\varphi^{\prime}(1)}+\frac{4 M(1) M^{\prime \prime}(1)-3 M^{\prime 2}(1)}{2 M^{2}(1)}\right)(x-1)^{4}+o(x-1)^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$.
The denominator, $\varphi^{2} h^{2}$, is calculated with a precision of $o(x-1)^{4}$. So, the denominator

$$
\varphi^{2} h^{2}=M^{2}(1) \varphi^{\prime 4}(1)(x-1)^{4}+o(x-1)^{4}
$$

as $x \rightarrow 1$.
Thus, there exists the limit

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} \frac{(-1)\left(A h^{2}-B h+C\right)}{\varphi^{2} h^{2}}=\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}(1)}{M(1)} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(1)}{\varphi^{\prime}(1)}+\frac{4 M(1) M^{\prime \prime}(1)-3 M^{\prime 2}(1)}{2 M^{2}(1)}\right)
$$

Note that the limit is a positive number because of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M^{\prime}(1) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(1) \geq 0 \\
& 4 M(1) M^{\prime \prime}(1)-3 M^{2}(1)= M(1) M^{\prime \prime}(1)+3\left(M(1) M^{\prime \prime}(1)-M^{\prime 2}(1)\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we calculate the second derivative

$$
\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{h^{\prime} \varphi}{h \varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\varphi M}{h}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{(-1)\left(A h^{2}-B h+C\right)}{\varphi^{2} h^{2}}
$$

on $(0 ; 1) \cup(1 ;+\infty)$ and it is continuous on $(0 ; 1)$ and $(1 ;+\infty)$. The existence of the finite limit of the second derivative as $x \rightarrow 1$ is already proved in this Claim. This result and the existence of the finite derivative $\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ at $x=1$ (note that $\left.\log \frac{h}{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)\right)$, they imply that the second derivative $\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is a continuous function at $x=1$. Therefore, the second derivative $\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is a continuous function on $(0 ;+\infty)$.

So, the Claim is proved.
By this Claim and (11) it follows that the second derivative

$$
\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Thus, the theorem is proved.
Theorem 9. Assume the functions $\varphi:[0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow[0 ;+\infty), M:[0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow$ $(0 ;+\infty)$ and $h:[0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow[0 ;+\infty)$ are such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(0 ;+\infty), M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and meet the conditions
(i) the right hand side limit $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(x) \varphi(x)}{\varphi^{\prime 2}(x)}<1$,
(ii) the functions $M$ and $M^{\prime}$ are continuous from the right at $x=0$ and $M(0) \neq$ $+\infty$ and $M^{\prime}(0) \neq-\infty$,
(iii) $M^{\prime}<0,(\log M)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(iv) $\varphi^{\prime}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and $\varphi(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t$ for all $x \in(0 ;+\infty)$,
(v) $\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime 2} \leq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(vi) $h(x)=\int_{0}^{x} \varphi^{\prime}(t) M(t) d t$ for all $x \in(0 ;+\infty)$.

Then, $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and moreover,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}<0,\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Proof. By the assumptions, it is clear that $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$. Furthermore,

$$
h(x)-\varphi(x) M(x)=\int_{0}^{x}(-1) \varphi(t) M^{\prime}(t) d t>0 \text { for all } x \in(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{-\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi^{2}}(h-\varphi M)<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Accordingly to the assumptions of the theorem, $E_{1} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Furthermore,

$$
\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}+\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2}}{\varphi^{\prime 3}} \leq 0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

So, $\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}-1$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and $\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}} \leq \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}<0$.
The inequalities $A<0, C>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ imply that $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are well defined real valued functions on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and together with the inequality

$$
\left.\left(A F^{2}-B F+C\right)\right|_{F=\varphi M}=-\varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime} M M^{\prime}>0
$$

it follows that

$$
F_{2}<0<\varphi M<F_{1} \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

We apply the identity (6) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{1}\left(\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Moreover, $\left.\left(h-F_{1}\right)\right|_{0^{+}}=0$ because of $h\left(0^{+}\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} F_{1}(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{B-\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}}{2 A} \\
& =\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} M+\varphi^{\prime} M^{\prime}\right) \varphi^{2}-\sqrt{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} M+\varphi^{\prime} M^{\prime}\right)^{2} \varphi^{4}-4 A \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 2} M^{2}}}{2 A}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varphi \frac{\left(\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}+1\right) M+\frac{\varphi}{\varphi^{\prime}} M^{\prime}\right)-\sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}+1\right) M+\frac{\varphi}{\varphi^{\prime}} M^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 \frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}} M^{2}}}{2 \frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}}=0
$$

Hence, $h-F_{1}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Therefore, $F_{2}<0<\varphi M<F_{1}<h$ and

$$
A h^{2}-B h+C<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Thus, the second derivative

$$
\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{h^{\prime} \varphi}{h \varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\varphi M}{h}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{(-1)\left(A h^{2}-B h+C\right)}{\varphi^{2} h^{2}}>0
$$

on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
The proof of the theorem is completed.
Theorem 10. Assume the functions $\varphi:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ; 0), M$ : $(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(0 ;+\infty)$ and $h:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ; 0)$ are such that $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(0 ;+\infty)$, $M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and meet the conditions
(i) $M^{\prime}<0$ and $(\log M)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(ii) $\varphi^{\prime}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and $\varphi(x)=-\int_{x}^{+\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t, \forall x \in(0 ;+\infty)$,
(iii) $\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2} \leq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(iv) $h(x)=-\int_{x}^{+\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(t) M(t) d t$ for all $x \in(0 ;+\infty)$.

Then, $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and moreover,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}<0,\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Proof. By the assumptions, it is clear that $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$.

Claim. $h-\varphi M>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Indeed, by the assumptions of the theorem

- $(h-\varphi M)^{\prime}=-\varphi M^{\prime}<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$;
- $M$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and $M>0$. So, the limit $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} M(x)$ exists and it is a non-negative number.

Therefore, $h-\varphi M$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty}(h(x)-\varphi(x) M(x))=0
$$

Hence, $h-\varphi M>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
It follows from this Claim that the derivative

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{-\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi^{2}}(h-\varphi M)<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Note that for every $x \in(0 ;+\infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(A F^{2}-B F+C\right)\right|_{F=\varphi M}=-\varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime} M M^{\prime}<0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly to the assumptions of the theorem, $E_{1} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Furthermore,

$$
\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}+\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2}}{\varphi^{\prime 3}} \leq 0
$$

and $\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Now, there are three cases to consider (and it is not possible to prove that $\varphi^{\prime \prime}<0$ ).

Case 1. $A<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. In this case $B^{2}-4 A C>0$ and hence $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are well defined real valued functions such that

$$
\varphi M<F_{2}<0<F_{1}
$$

Hence, $0=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(x) M(x) \leq \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F_{2}(x) \leq 0$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty}\left(h(x)-F_{2}(x)\right)=0
$$

We apply the identity (7) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{2}\left(\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and $h-F_{2}$ increases on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Therefore, $h-F_{2}<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and by $\varphi M<h<F_{2}<0<F_{1}$ it follows that

$$
A h^{2}-B h+C<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Case 2. There exists $x_{A} \in(0 ;+\infty)$ such that $A>0$ on $\left(0 ; x_{A}\right), A\left(x_{A}\right)=0$, $A<0$ on $\left(x_{A} ;+\infty\right)$.

In this case,

- if $x \in\left(0 ; x_{A}\right)$ then

$$
\left.\left(A F^{2}-B F+C\right)\right|_{F=\varphi M}=-\varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime} M M^{\prime}<0
$$

$C>0$ and hence $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are well defined real valued functions such that

$$
F_{1}<\varphi M<F_{2}<0
$$

We apply the identity (7) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{2}\left(\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $\left(0 ; x_{A}\right)$.

- if $x \in\left(x_{A} ;+\infty\right)$ then $B^{2}-4 A C>0$ and hence $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are well defined real valued functions such that

$$
\varphi M<F_{2}<0<F_{1}
$$

Hence, $0=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(x) M(x) \leq \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F_{2}(x) \leq 0$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty}\left(h(x)-F_{2}(x)\right)=0
$$

We apply the identity (7) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{2}\left(\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)<0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $\left(x_{A} ;+\infty\right)$.

Thus, $h-F_{2}$ increases on $\left(0 ; x_{A}\right)$ and on $\left(x_{A} ;+\infty\right)$.
Moreover, in this case, $\varphi^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{A}\right)<0$. Hence, the inequality $B=\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} M+\right.$ $\left.\varphi^{\prime} M^{\prime}\right) \varphi^{2}<0$ holds in a neighborhood of $x_{A}$. So,

$$
F_{2}=\frac{2 C}{B-\sqrt{B^{2}-4 A C}}
$$

is continuous.
Hence, $h-F_{2}$ increases on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Therefore, $h-F_{2}<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Now, we prove that $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Indeed,

- $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $\left(0 ; x_{A}\right)$ because of $F_{1}<\varphi M<h<F_{2}<0$ and $A>0$;
- $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $\left(x_{A} ;+\infty\right)$ because of $\varphi M<h<F_{2}<0<F_{1}$ and $A<0$.

Case 3. $A>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. In this case,

$$
\left.\left(A F^{2}-B F+C\right)\right|_{F=\varphi M}=-\varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime} M M^{\prime}<0
$$

$C>0$ and hence $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are well defined real valued functions such that

$$
F_{1}<\varphi M<F_{2}<0
$$

Hence, $0=\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \varphi(x) M(x) \leq \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F_{2}(x) \leq 0$ and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty}\left(h(x)-F_{2}(x)\right)=0 .
$$

We apply the identity (7) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$ to obtain $\left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{1}-F_{2}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M$

$$
=F_{2}\left(\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{2}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)<0
$$

So, $\left(h-F_{2}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Hence, $h-F_{2}$ increases on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Therefore, $h-F_{2}<0$ and $F_{1}<\varphi M<h<F_{2}<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.

So,

$$
A h^{2}-B h+C<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

holds in Case 3 and moreover, it holds in all three cases.
The second derivative

$$
\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{h^{\prime} \varphi}{h \varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\varphi M}{h}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{(-1)\left(A h^{2}-B h+C\right)}{\varphi^{2} h^{2}}>0
$$

on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Thus the theorem is proved.
5. Applications. Here we start with a note about integral means of holomorphic on the upper half-plane functions proved in a paper [1] by G. Hardy, A. Ingham, G. Pólya in 1927. In Subsection 4.3 they proved that if the holomorphic function in a strip of the complex plane meets some conditions such as growth at infinity $O\left(e^{e^{k|z|}}\right)$ and convergence of the integrals on the boundaries of the strip then in the case when $2 \leq p<+\infty$ the integral mean

$$
M(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i y)|^{p} d x
$$

has first derivative

$$
M^{\prime}(y)=p \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i y)|^{p-2}\left(u u_{y}^{\prime}+v v_{y}^{\prime}\right) d x
$$

and second derivative

$$
M^{\prime \prime}(y)=p^{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i y)|^{p-2}\left(u_{y}^{\prime 2}+v_{y}^{\prime 2}\right) d x
$$

where $u$ is the real part of $f$ and $v$ is the imaginary part of $f$. Moreover, they proved that

$$
M^{\prime 2} \leq M^{\prime \prime} M
$$

So, $(\log M)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$. Note that, $M^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$.
In the present paper we consider such an integral mean of holomorphic on the upper half-plane function under the conditions $2 \leq p<+\infty$ and

$$
\sup _{y>0} M(y)<+\infty
$$

i.e. we consider function $f$ that belongs to the Hardy space $H^{p}$ of holomorphic on the upper half-plane functions. It is well known (see "Bounded analytic functions" by J. Garnett) that such a function meets the growth condition $|f(x+i y)|=$ $O\left(y^{-1 / p}\right)$ (both, as $y \rightarrow 0^{+}$and $y \rightarrow+\infty$ ), the integral mean $M$ is a nonincreasing function on $(0 ;+\infty)$, the right hand side limit at $y=0$ is

$$
M(0)=M\left(0^{+}\right)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} M(y)=\sup _{y>0} M(y)<+\infty
$$

where $M(0)$ is defined to be the $L^{p}$ norm to the power of $p$ of the boundary values of $f$.

Now, note if $f$ is not the zero function then $M^{\prime}<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Indeed, if there is a $y_{0} \in(0 ;+\infty)$ such that $M^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)=0$ then

- on the one hand, $M^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $\left(y_{0} ;+\infty\right)$ because of $M^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$,
- on the other hand, $M^{\prime} \leq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ as $M$ is a non-increasing function. Hence, $M^{\prime}=0$ on $\left(y_{0} ;+\infty\right)$. Therefore, $M^{\prime \prime}=0$ on $\left(y_{0} ;+\infty\right)$. So, $\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{2}=$ $u_{y}^{\prime 2}+v_{y}^{\prime 2}=0$ and $f=0$ because it is the only constant function that belongs to the Hardy space $H^{p}, 2 \leq p<+\infty$.

Thus, we have proved the following lemma
Lemma 11. Let $p$ be such that $2 \leq p<+\infty, f \in H^{p}$ ( $H^{p}$ is the Hardy space of holomorphic functions on the upper half-plane). If $f$ is not the zero function then the integral mean

$$
M(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i y)|^{p} d x
$$

is a bounded continuous function on $[0 ;+\infty)$ such that $M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and

$$
M>0, \quad M^{\prime}<0, \quad M^{\prime \prime}>0, \quad(\log M)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

From this point of our paper through the its end $p$ is such that $2 \leq p<$ $+\infty$ and $H^{p}$ is the Hardy space of holomorphic functions on the upper half-plane, $f \neq 0$, i.e. $f$ is not the zero function and the integral mean

$$
M(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+i y)|^{p} d x
$$

is defined for $y \in[0 ;+\infty)$.
Note, Definition 1 from Section 2 and the results from Section 3, all they are used in the proofs of the following theorems in the specific case when $q(y)=1$ for all $y \in(0 ;+\infty)$. In particular,

$$
A=\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi-\varphi^{\prime 2}, \quad B=\left(\varphi^{\prime} M\right)^{\prime} \varphi^{2}, \quad C=\varphi^{2} \varphi^{2} M^{2}
$$

and, by $(2), E_{1}=-\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 3}\left(\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=-\varphi^{2}\left(\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2}\right)$.
The following Theorem can be stated and proved with any positive number as the lower limit of the integrals instead of 1.

Theorem 12. Let $2 \leq p<+\infty, f \in H^{p} \backslash\{0\}$. Assume the functions $\varphi:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ and $h:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ meet the conditions
(i) $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(0 ;+\infty), \varphi(y)=\int_{1}^{y} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t$ for all $y \in(0 ;+\infty)$,
(ii) $\varphi^{\prime}>0$ and $\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime 2} \leq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(iii) $h(y)=\int_{1}^{y} \varphi^{\prime}(t) M(t) d t$ for all $y \in(0 ;+\infty)$.

Then, $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and moreover,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}<0,\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

This theorem is a simple corollary of Lemma 11 and Theorem 8 and we omit the details. Theorem 12 holds with each one of the following functions

- $\varphi(y)=\int_{1}^{y} t^{-a} d t, a>0 ;$
- $\varphi(y)=\int_{1}^{y} e^{-t} d t$.

Theorem 13. Let $2 \leq p<+\infty, f \in H^{p} \backslash\{0\}$. Assume the functions $\varphi:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ and $h:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ meet the conditions
(i) $\varphi(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t, \varphi^{\prime}>0$ for all $y \in(0 ;+\infty)$,
(ii) $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(y) \varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime 2}(y)}<1, \varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2} \leq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$, or as an alternative
(ií) $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(y) \varphi(y)}{\varphi^{\prime 2}(y)}=1, \varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2}<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(iii) $h(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \varphi^{\prime}(t) M(t) d t$ for all $y \in(0 ;+\infty)$.

Then, $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and moreover,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}<0,\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Proof. By Lemma $11, M>0$ and $M^{\prime}<0,(\log M)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
As in the proof of Theorem 9, by the assumptions, it is clear that $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$. Furthermore,

$$
h(y)-\varphi(y) M(y)=\int_{0}^{y}(-1) \varphi(t) M^{\prime}(t) d t>0 \text { for all } y \in(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Hence,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{-\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi^{2}}(h-\varphi M)<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Accordingly to the assumptions of the theorem, $E_{1} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Furthermore,

- in the case of the assumption (ii),

$$
\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}+\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2}}{\varphi^{\prime 3}} \leq 0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

So, $\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}-1$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and

$$
\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}} \leq \lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}<0
$$

- in the case of the assumption $\left(i i^{\prime}\right)$,

$$
\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}+\varphi^{\prime 2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2}}{\varphi^{\prime 3}}<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

So, $\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}=\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}-1$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and

$$
\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}<\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}=0
$$

Thus, in both cases, $A<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
The inequalities $A<0, C>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ imply that the functions $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are real valued well defined functions on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and together with the inequality

$$
\left.\left(A F^{2}-B F+C\right)\right|_{F=\varphi M}=-\varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime} M M^{\prime}>0
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}<0<\varphi M<F_{1} \text { on }(0 ;+\infty) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply the identity (6) from Lemma 6 with $q=1$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2}-F_{1}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M \\
& \quad=F_{1}\left(\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{1}-\varphi M\right)+E_{1} M\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h-F_{1}\right)^{\prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ and

$$
M_{\varepsilon}(y)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}|f(x+(y+\varepsilon) i)|^{p} d x, \quad \forall y \in(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Thus,

$$
M_{\varepsilon}(y)=M(y+\varepsilon), M_{\varepsilon}(0)=M(\varepsilon), M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(0)=M^{\prime}(\varepsilon), h_{\varepsilon}(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \varphi(t) M(t+\varepsilon) d t
$$ and

$$
B_{\varepsilon}(y)=\left(\varphi(y) M(y+\varepsilon)+\varphi^{\prime}(y) M^{\prime}(y+\varepsilon)\right) \varphi^{2}(y)
$$

$C_{\varepsilon}=\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 2} M^{2}(y+\varepsilon)$, where $y>0$. As it is above, functions

$$
F_{1, \varepsilon}=\frac{B_{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{B_{\varepsilon}^{2}-4 A C_{\varepsilon}}}{2 A}, \quad F_{2, \varepsilon}=\frac{B_{\varepsilon}+\sqrt{B_{\varepsilon}^{2}-4 A C_{\varepsilon}}}{2 A}
$$

are real valued well defined functions on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and together with the inequality

$$
\left.\left(A F^{2}-B_{\varepsilon} F+C_{\varepsilon}\right)\right|_{F=\varphi M_{\varepsilon}}=-\varphi^{3} \varphi^{\prime} M_{\varepsilon} M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}>0
$$

it follows that

$$
F_{2, \varepsilon}<0<\varphi M_{\varepsilon}<F_{1, \varepsilon} \text { on }(0 ;+\infty) .
$$

We apply the identity (6) from Lemma 6 wiht $q=1$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(h_{\varepsilon}-F_{1, \varepsilon}\right)^{\prime} A\left(F_{2, \varepsilon}-F_{1, \varepsilon}\right) \varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M_{\varepsilon} \\
& =F_{1, \varepsilon}\left(\left(F_{1, \varepsilon}-\varphi M_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(A^{2}\left(F_{1, \varepsilon}-\varphi M_{\varepsilon}\right)+E_{1} M_{\varepsilon}\right)+C \varphi^{2}\left(\frac{M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}}{M_{\varepsilon}}\right)^{\prime}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\left(h_{\varepsilon}-F_{1, \varepsilon}\right)^{\prime}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Moreover, $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(h_{\varepsilon}-F_{1, \varepsilon}\right)=0$ because of $\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} h_{\varepsilon}=0$ and
$\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} F_{1, \varepsilon}(y)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{B_{\varepsilon}-\sqrt{B_{\varepsilon}^{2}-4 A C_{\varepsilon}}}{2 A}$
$=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{+}} \varphi \frac{\left(\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}+1\right) M_{\varepsilon}+\frac{\varphi}{\varphi^{\prime}} M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)-\sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}+1\right) M_{\varepsilon}+\frac{\varphi}{\varphi^{\prime}} M_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}\right)^{2}-4 \frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}} M_{\varepsilon}^{2}}}{2 \frac{A}{\varphi^{\prime 2}}}=0$.
Hence, $h_{\varepsilon}-F_{1, \varepsilon}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Fix $y>0$. Hence, $h(y)-F_{1}(y)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}}\left(h_{\varepsilon}-F_{1, \varepsilon}\right) \geq 0$.
So, $h-F_{1} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and by (14) it follows that

$$
h-F_{1}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Therefore, by $A<0$ and (13) it follows that $A h^{2}-B h+C<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
The second derivative

$$
\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{h^{\prime} \varphi}{h \varphi^{\prime}}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\frac{\varphi M}{h}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{(-1)\left(A h^{2}-B h+C\right)}{\varphi^{2} h^{2}}>0
$$

on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Theorem 13 holds with each one of the following functions

- $\varphi(y)=\int_{0}^{y} t^{-a} d t, a<1$;
- $\varphi(y)=\int_{0}^{y} e^{-t} d t$.

Theorem 14. Let $2 \leq p<+\infty, f \in H^{p} \backslash\{0\}$. Assume the functions $\varphi:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ and $h:(0 ;+\infty) \rightarrow(-\infty ;+\infty)$ meet the conditions
(i) $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{3}(0 ;+\infty), \varphi(y)=-\int_{y}^{+\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(t) d t$ for all $y \in(0 ;+\infty)$,
(ii) $\varphi^{\prime}>0$ and $\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime \prime}+\varphi \varphi^{\prime} \varphi^{\prime \prime \prime}-2 \varphi \varphi^{\prime \prime 2} \leq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$,
(iii) $h(y)=-\int_{y}^{+\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(t) M(t) d t$ for all $y \in(0 ;+\infty)$.

Then, $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and moreover,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}<0,\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

This theorem is a simple corollary of Lemma 11 and Theorem 10 and we omit the details. Theorem 14 holds with each one of the following functions

- $\varphi(y)=-\int_{y}^{+\infty} t^{-a} d t, a>1 ;$
- $\varphi(y)=-\int_{y}^{+\infty} t^{-a} e^{-t} d t, a<0$.

Theorem 15. Let $2 \leq p<+\infty, f \in H^{p} \backslash\{0\}, \varphi(y)=-\int_{y}^{+\infty} e^{-t} d t$, $h(y)=-\int_{y}^{+\infty} e^{-t} M(t) d t$ for all $y \in(0 ;+\infty)$. Then, $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$ and moreover,

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}<0,\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Proof. By Lemma 11, $M \in \mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$,

$$
M>0, \quad M^{\prime}<0, \quad M^{\prime \prime}>0, \quad(\log M)^{\prime \prime} \geq 0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

By the assumptions, it is clear that $\frac{h}{\varphi}$ and $\log \frac{h}{\varphi}$ both belong to $\mathcal{D}^{2}(0 ;+\infty)$.
Claim. $h-\varphi M>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$. Indeed, by the assumptions of the theorem

- $(h-\varphi M)^{\prime}=-\varphi M^{\prime}<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$;
- $M$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and $M>0$. So, the limit $\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty} M(y)$ exists and it is a non-negative number.

Therefore, $h-\varphi M$ decreases on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and

$$
\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty}(h(y)-\varphi(y) M(y))=0
$$

Hence, $h-\varphi M>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
It follows from this Claim that the derivative

$$
\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{-\varphi^{\prime}}{\varphi^{2}}(h-\varphi M)<0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Note,

$$
A=\varphi^{\prime \prime} \varphi-\varphi^{\prime 2}=0, \quad B=\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime} M+\varphi^{\prime} M^{\prime}\right) \varphi^{2}<0, \quad C=\varphi^{2} \varphi^{\prime 2} M^{2}
$$

By Lemma 7 with $q=1$,

$$
\left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)^{\prime} B^{2} \varphi^{\prime} M=C^{2}\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

So, $\left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)^{\prime} \geq 0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$ Therefore, $h-\frac{C}{B}$ increases on $(0 ;+\infty)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty}\left(h(y)-\frac{C(y)}{B(y)}\right)=\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty} h(y)-\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{C(y)}{B(y)} \\
& =\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\varphi^{2}(y) \varphi^{\prime 2}(y) M^{2}(y)}{\left(\varphi^{\prime \prime}(y) M(y)+\varphi^{\prime}(y) M^{\prime}(y)\right) \varphi^{2}(y)}=\lim _{y \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\varphi^{\prime}(y) M(y)}{\frac{\varphi^{\prime \prime}(y)}{\varphi^{\prime}(y)}+\frac{M^{\prime}(y)}{M(y)}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

because in the last equation the numerator tends to 0 and the denominator tends to sum of $(-1)$ and a non-positive number.

Claim. $h-\frac{C}{B}<0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
Indeed, if there is $y_{0} \in(0 ;+\infty)$ such that $h\left(y_{0}\right)-\frac{C\left(y_{0}\right)}{B\left(y_{0}\right)}=0$ then $h-\frac{C}{B}=$ 0 on $\left(y_{0} ;+\infty\right)$. $\left(\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}\right)^{\prime}=0$ on $\left(y_{0} ;+\infty\right)$ which means that $\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}$ is a non zero constant on $\left(y_{0} ;+\infty\right)$ (because of $f$ is not the zero function). The equation $h^{\prime}=\left(\frac{C}{B}\right)^{\prime}$ then gives us $\frac{M^{\prime}}{M}=1$ on $\left(y_{0} ;+\infty\right)$. Therefore, $M(y)=e^{y}$.const and by $M>0, M^{\prime} \leq 0$ it follows that $M=0$ on $\left(y_{0} ;+\infty\right)$ which is impossible because of $f$ is not the zero function.

Now, the second derivative

$$
\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\frac{B\left(h-\frac{C}{B}\right)}{h^{2} \varphi^{2}}>0 \text { on }(0 ;+\infty)
$$

Note that in some specific cases it seems reasonable to change parts of the proofs with an argument for $-B h+C<0$. In particular, such an approach will make us to use part of the proof of Lemma 7. However, we prefer not to do this.

Example 16 (An auxiliary example). If

$$
\varphi(y)=-\int_{y}^{+\infty} e^{t-e^{t}} d t, M(y)=e^{y^{2}}, h(y)=-\int_{y}^{+\infty} \varphi^{\prime}(t) M(t) d t
$$

then $\left(\frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime}>0$ and $\left(\log \frac{h}{\varphi}\right)^{\prime \prime}>0$ on $(0 ;+\infty)$.
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